



# राजपत्र, हिमाचल प्रदेश

हिमाचल प्रदेश राज्यशासन द्वारा प्रकाशित

खंड ५० ]

सिवला, शनिवार, 16 मई, 1992/26 बैशाख, 1914

[ संखा २०

## विषय सूची

|       |  |                    |
|-------|--|--------------------|
| भाग १ | वैधानिक विषयों को छोड़ कर हिमाचल प्रदेश के राज्यपाल और हिमाचल प्रदेश हाई कोर्ट द्वारा भविसूचनाएं इत्यादि   | ५१६—५३५<br>तथा     |
| भाग २ | वैधानिक विषयों को छोड़ कर विभिन्न विभागों के अधिकारों और जिला मैजिस्ट्रेटों द्वारा भविसूचनाएं इत्यादि  | ५३३—५७७<br>५३८—५३० |
| भाग ३ | मध्यविधान, विधेयक और विधेयकी पर प्रबन्ध समिति के भूतिवेदन, वैधानिक नियम तथा हिमाचल प्रदेश के राज्यपाल, हिमाचल प्रदेश हाई कोर्ट, फाईनेंसियल कमिश्नर तथा कायरिंसर माफ इनमें दैनंदिन घारिसूचित गांदेश इत्यादि | ५३०—५३१            |
| भाग ४ | स्थानीय स्वामत वासन, म्यूनिसिपल बोर्ड, डिस्ट्रिक्ट बोर्ड, नोटिफाइड और दाउन एसिया तथा पंचामती राज विभाग   | —                  |
| भाग ५ | वैधिक भविसूचनाएं और विभाग  | ५३१—५३२<br>तथा ५७४ |
| भाग ६ | भारतीय राजपत्र इत्यादि में से पुनः प्रकाशन   | —                  |
| भाग ७ | भारतीय निर्वाचन आयोग (Election Commission of India) को वैधानिक भविसूचनाएं तथा मन्त्र निर्वाचन सम्बन्धी भविसूचनाएं  | —                  |
| —     | मनुसूरक  | —                  |

16 मई, 1992/५६ बैशाख, १४१४ को समाप्त होने वाले संस्कृत में निम्नलिखित विषयों 'एसाधारण राजपत्र, हिमाचल प्रदेश' में प्रकाशित हुई:—

| विषय की संख्या   | विभाग का नाम            | विषय   |
|--|-------------------------|--|
| क्रमांक एल० एल० श्ल० श्ल०-वी० (६)<br>३/१३-लैंजिसलेशन, विनाक १३ मई, १९९२. | विधि विभाग              | हिमाचल प्रदेश मोठर रिप्रिट (विकास पर कराधान) संबोधन विधेयक, १९९३ (१९९३ का विधेयक संख्या० ५) का प्रकाशन।                |
| क्रमांक एल०-एल० श्ल०-वी० (६):<br>१/१३-लैंजिसलेशन, विनाक १३ मई, १९९२.     | तथेव-                   | हिमाचल प्रदेश लाधारण विकास पर (मंसोधान) विधेयक, १९९३ (१९९३ का विधेयक संख्या० १३) का इसके मंगेजी स्पान्टर सहित प्रकाशन। |
| संख्या ई० एक्स० एक्स०-एक्स० (१८)<br>५/८७-III, विनाक ५ मई, १९९२.          | मानवाधी पर कराधान विभाग | समुक्त मानवाधी पर कराधान मानवत (विकास केन्द्र) को मानवत की सहायता हेतु नियुक्ति का इसके मंगेजी स्पान्टर सहित प्रकाशन।  |

**भाग 1—वैधानिक नियमों को छोड़ कर हिमाचल प्रदेश के राज्यपाल और हिमाचल प्रदेश हाई कोर्ट**

**NOTIFICATIONS**

*Shimla-1, the 24th February, 1992*

No. HHC/Jus/14-91/S/84-II.—It is hereby notified that the Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ved Parkash Bhatnagar has relinquished the charge of the office of the Judge, High Court of Himachal Pradesh, in the forenoon of February 24, 1992 on attaining the age of superannuation.

By order,

O. P. SHARMA,  
Registrar (V).

*Shimla-1, the 27th February/3rd March, 1992*

No. HHC/GAZ/14-29/74-III.—The Hon'ble the Chief Justice and Judges are pleased to grant *Ex-post-facto* sanction for 20 days commuted leave w.e.f. 7-1-1992 to 26-1-1992 in favour of Shri B. S. Chauhan, District and Sessions Judge-cum-Special Judge (Forests), Shimla.

Certified that Shri Chauhan has joined the same post and at the same station from where he proceeded on leave, after expiry of the above period of leave.

Also certified that Shri B. S. Chauhan would have continued to have held the post of District and Sessions Judge-cum-Special Judge (Forests), Shimla, but for his proceeding on leave for the above period.

By order,  
Sd/-  
Additional Registrar(S).

**हिमाचल प्रदेश सरकार**

**PERSONNEL (A-I) DEPARTMENT**

**NOTIFICATIONS**

*Shimla-2, the 2nd November, 1985*

No. Per (A-I)-B(6)-1/85.—The Governor, Himachal Pradesh is pleased to order the following transfers and postings of Officers, in public interest, with immediate effect:—

- Shri M.L. Nahar, AC to D.C. Kangra is transferred & posted as S.D.O.(C) Kangra relieving Sh. Ram Dass Panwar of its additional charge.
- Sh. S.C. Kalsotra, Asstt. Commr. to Divisional Commissioner Kangra is transferred & posted as Asstt. Commr. to Deputy Commissioner, Kangra.

By order,  
P. K. MATTOO,  
Chief Secretary.

*Shimla-2, the 26th November, 1985*

No.10-1/72-DP-App-1/85.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 20 of the Code of Criminal Procedure 1973, the Governor, Himachal Pradesh, is pleased to appoint Shri P. S. Kuthlehra, District Revenue Officer, Chamba to be the Executive Magistrate with all the powers of the Executive Magistrate under the said Code to be exercised within the local limits of District Chamba with immediate effect subject to the conditions contained in Government of Himachal Pradesh, Home Department's letters No Home-B (B)-12-5/84 dated 4-12-84 and 28-12-84.

Sd/-  
Commissioner-cum-Secretary.

**SECRETARIAT ADMINISTRATION SERVICES-(II)**

**OFFICE ORDER**

*Shimla-2, the 30th November, 1985*

No. SAD-I-602/67-II.—Whereas Shri Prem Lal Gupta, Section Officer, Himachal Pradesh Secretariate whose date of birth as entered in the office record is 1-11-1927 on the basis of date of birth shown in the Matriculation Certificate, represented on 9-5-1977 for correction of his date of birth from 1-11-27 to 1-11-1929.

2. Whereas the aforesaid representation of Shri Prem Lal Gupta, Section Officer was considered by the Government but rejected as being time-barred.

3. Whereas Shri Prem Lal Gupta, Section officer in the meantime filed a civil suit in the Court of Sub Judge 1st Class Shimla for correction of his date of birth in the official record as 1-11-1929 instead of 1-11-1927 and was able to secure an interim stay from the said court restraining the State Government from retiring Shri Prem Lal Gupta w.e.f. 31-10-1985.

4. Whereas the State Government filed an appeal in the Court of District and Sessions Judge, Shimla against the stay order of the Sub Judge 1st Class Shimla and whereas the said appeal has been listed for order on 5-12-1985.

5. Now, therefore, in view of the stay orders passed by the Sub Judge 1st Class, Shimla, the retirement of Shri Prem Lal Gupta which was due w.e.f. 31-10-85 is stayed till further orders.

Sd/-  
Secretary.

*Shimla-2, the 2nd December, 1985*

No.10-2/73-DP-App-1/85.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 20 of the Code of Criminal Procedure 1973, the Governor, Himachal Pradesh, is pleased to appoint the following Naib-Tehsildars to be the Executive Magistrates under the said Code to be exercised within their respective jurisdiction as shown against their names with immediate effect subject to the conditions contained in Government of Himachal Pradesh, Home Department's letters No Home-B(B)-12-5/84-dated 4-12-84 and 28-12-84:—

|                   |   |                 |
|-------------------|---|-----------------|
| 1. Shri Hans Raj. | Naib Tehsildar Land<br>Acquisition officer<br>at Nahan. | Sirmur district |
| 2. Shri F.C.Gupta | " at Solan  | Solan district  |

Sd/-  
Commissioner-cum-Secretary.

*Shimla-2, the 2nd December, 1985*

No.DP-App-1/85.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2) of Section 20 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, the Governor, Himachal Pradesh is pleased to appoint Shri Ajay Mittal, I.A.S. Additional Deputy Commissioner, Chamba, to be an Additional District Magistrate with all the powers of the district Magistrate under the said Code or under any other law for the time being in force, to be exercised within the local limits of Chamba district with immediate effect.

Sd/-  
Special Secretary.

*Shimla-2, the 2nd December, 1985*

No.10-4/72-DP-App-1/85.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 20 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, the Governor, Himachal Pradesh, is pleased to appoint Shri Virinderjit Singh, Tehsildar (Recovery) Shimla to be the Executive Magistrate with all the powers of an Executive Magistrate under the said Code to be exercised within the local limits of Shimla's District with immediate effect subject to the conditions contained in Government of Himachal Pradesh, Home Departments letters No. Home-B(B)-12-5/84, dated 4-12-84 and 28-12-84.

Sd/-  
Commissioner-cum-Secretary.

*Shimla-2, the 5th December, 1985*

No. Per(SAS-I)-B(3)-9/81.—In continuation of this Department notification of even number dated the 22nd October, 1982, the Governor, Himachal Pradesh in consultation with the Himachal Pradesh Public Service Commission is pleased to continue the *ad-hoc* appointment of Shri Padam Raj Gupta as Research Officer (Class-II Gazetted) in the pay scale of Rs. 825-25-850-30-1000/40-1200/50-1400-60-1580 in Himachal Pradesh Secretariat for a further period with effect from 1-1-1983 to 30-9-1985.

2. This arrangement will not confer any right whatsoever on Shri Padam Raj Gupta regarding his continuance as such.

G. S. RATHORE  
Deputy Secretary.

Shimla-2, the 6th December, 1985

No. Per(Vig.)A(4)-2/83-II.—The Governor, Himachal Pradesh is pleased to order that the hours of work & holidays for the Lokayukta and his office shall be such as may be declared by the Lokayukta from time to time, but save as otherwise so declared, the Lokayukta and his Office shall observe the same public holidays as are observed by the High Court of the Himachal Pradesh and such local holidays as are declared by the local authorities from time to time.

By order,  
A. K. MOHAPATRA,  
Secretary.

Shimla-2, the 7th December, 1985

No. 10-5/72-DP-App.(I).—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 20 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, the Governor, Himachal Pradesh, is pleased to appoint Shri Atma Ram Saini, Naib-Tehsildar, Una to be the Executive Magistrate with all the powers of an Executive Magistrate under the said Code to be exercised within the local limits of Tehsil Una, District Una with immediate effect subject to the conditions contained in Government of Himachal Pradesh, Home Department's letters No. Home-B(B)12-5/84 dated 4-12-84 and 28-12-84.

Shimla-2, the 7th December, 1985

No. 10-3/73-DP-App.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 20 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, the Governor, Himachal Pradesh, is pleased to appoint Shri Prithi Singh Tehsildar (Election) Nahar to be the Executive Magistrate with all the powers of an Executive Magistrate under the said Code to be exercised within the local limits of Tehsil Nahar, District Sirmour with immediate effect subject to the conditions contained in Government of Himachal Pradesh, Home Department's letters No. Home-B(B)12-5/84, dated 4-12-84 and 28-12-84.

Sd/-  
Commissioner-cum-Secretary.

Shimla-2, the December, 1985

No. Per(A-I)-B(6)-1/85.—The Governor, Himachal Pradesh, in supersession of this Department's letter No. Per (A-I)-B(6)-1/83, dated the 6th January, 1985, is pleased to order that the Deputy Director, Himachal Pradesh Institute of Public Administration, Shimla will cease to function as *ex officio* Under Secretary (Training) and Under Secretary (AR) to Government of Himachal Pradesh with immediate effect.

P. K. MATTOO,  
Chief Secretary.

Shimla-2, the 9th December, 1985

No. 10-4/72-DP-Appt-Vol.II.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 20 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, the Governor, Himachal Pradesh, is pleased to appoint Shri Krishan Dutt Premy Naib-Tehsildar, Kupvi (Shimla District) to be the Executive magistrate with all the powers of an Executive Magistrate under the said Code to be exercised within the local limits of Sub-Tehsil Kupvi, District Shimla with immediate effect subject to the conditions contained in Government of Himachal Pradesh, Home Department's letters No. Home-B(B)12-5/84, dated 4-12-84 and 28-12-84.

Shimla-2, the 9th December, 1985

No. 10-3/72-DP-Appt-Vol.II.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 20 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, the Governor, Himachal Pradesh, is pleased to appoint Shri S.P. Gupta Tehsildar, Chachiot, (Mandi District) to be the Executive Magistrate with all the powers of an Executive Magistrate under the said Code to be exercised within the local limits of Tehsil Chachiot District Mandi with immediate effect subject to the conditions contained in Government of Himachal Pradesh, Home Department's letters No. Home-B(B) 12-3/84, dated 4-12-84 and 28-12-84.

Shimla-2, the 9th December, 1985

No. 10-3/72-DP-Appt.Vol.II.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2) of Section 20 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, the Governor, Himachal Pradesh is pleased to appoint Shri P.C. Kapoor I.A.S., Addl. Deputy Commissioner, Mandi to be an Additional District Magistrate with all the powers of the District Magistrate under the said Code or under any other law for the time being in force, to be exercised within the local limits of District Mandi with immediate effect.

Sd/-  
Commissioner-cum-Secretary.

Shimla-2, the 12th December, 1985

No. 5-10/7/DP-App.—The Governor, Himachal Pradesh is pleased to appoint Shri Swatantra Singh, I.A.S. (HP-1972), Special Secretary (PWD, IPH, LSG and Housing) to the Government of Himachal Pradesh to officiate temporarily in the Selection Grade of I.A.S. viz. Rs. 2000-125/2-2250 with effect from 1st November, 1985.

2. The Governor is further pleased to grant proforma promotion to Shri Swatantra Singh, IAS(HP-72), formerly Deputy Secretary to Government of India, Department of Administrative Reforms and Public Grievances, New Delhi, in the Selection Grade of IAS, viz. Rs. 2000-125/2-2250 with effect from 1-1-85 to 31-10-85 under the 'Next Below Rule'.

By order,  
P. K. MATTOO,  
Chief Secretary.

Shimla-2, the 13th December, 1985

No. 3-1/74-DP-App.(I).—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 20 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, the Governor, Himachal Pradesh, is pleased to appoint Shri M.L. Gupta, Under Secretary (Home & Vigilance) to the Government of Himachal Pradesh, to be the Executive Magistrate with all the powers of an Executive Magistrate under the said Code to be exercised within the local limits of Shimla District with immediate effect subject to the conditions contained in Government of Himachal Pradesh Home Department's letters No. Home-B (B)-12-5/84, dated 4-12-1984 and 28-12-1984.

Sd/-  
Commissioner-cum-Secretary.

Shimla-2, the 16th December, 1985

No. Per(A-I)-B(6)1/85.—The Governor, Himachal Pradesh, is pleased to order the transfers and postings of the following Himachal Pradesh Administrative Service officers with immediate effect in the public interest :—

| Sl. No.          | Name                         | Form   | To |
|------------------|------------------------------|--|----|
| S/Shri           |                              |  |    |
| 1. R.C.Kapil     | S.D.O.(C) Dalhousie          | ADM, Mandi vice Sh. J. N. Jiwan proceeding on deputation.  |    |
| 2. S.C.Mehta     | Under transfer RRO Bilaspur. | Assistant - Commr. to Div. Commr. Kangra against vacant post.  |    |
| 3. M.C.Chauhan   | LAO-II, PWD, Shimla          | S.D.O. (C) Dehra against vacant post.  |    |
| 4. J. S. Rana    | Secretary, STA, Shimla       | Under Secy. (Law) to the Government of Himachal Pradesh. He shall also hold the additional charge of Director, Deptl. Enquiries, Himachal Pradesh till further orders. |    |
| 5. Sarjeet Singh | AC to DC Chamba              | SDO (C) Dalhousie  |    |
| 6. C. P. Verma   | AC (LR) Hamirpur             | AC to DC Hamirpur against vacant post.   |    |
| 7. L. R. Mohil   | AC(LR) Shimla                | AC to DC, Shimla against vacant post.  |    |
| 8. R. N. Batta   | AC(LR) Shimla                | R.T.O. Shimla against vacant post.   |    |
| 9. O. P. Sharma  | AC (LR) Mandi                | AC to DC Chamba. LAO (PWD)   |    |
| 10. R. D. Panwar | AC(LR) Kangra                | Hamirpur vice Sh. J. S. Ramola, presently on leave and retiring on 31-12-85.   |    |

2. The Governor, Himachal Pradesh, is further pleased to place the services of Sh. Jiwan Nand Jiwan, ADM, Mandi, at the disposal of State Electricity Board for appointment as Secretary on deputation basis on the usual terms and condition for a period of one year in the first instance from the date of taking over as such.

3. The Governor, Himachal Pradesh is further pleased to order that :—

1. Sh. Kashmir Chand, Deputy Excise & Taxation Commissioner (Hqrs.) shall hold additional charge of the post of Deputy Excise & Taxation Commissioner (SZ) till further orders.
2. Shri B.R. Verma, LAO-I, PWD, Shimla shall hold additional charge of the post of LAO-II, PWD, Shimla till further orders.
3. Shri Paras Ram Sharma, LAO (Industries) Bilaspur shall hold additional charge of the post of RRO, Bilaspur till further orders.

## AGRICULTURE DEPARTMENT

## NOTIFICATION

Shimla-2, the 6th December, 1985

4. Shri R.L.Mohil, Assistant Commissioner, Tourism shall hold the charge of the post of Secretary, STA, in addition to his own duties till further orders.

4. The Governor, Himachal Pradesh, is further pleased to order the cancellation of the transfers of S/Shri Amar Singh Rathore-II, SDO(C) Hamirpur, and Dhruv Vashist, AC (LR) Kulu ordered *vide* this Department's notification of even number, dated 13th November, 1985.

By order,  
P. K. MATTOO,  
Chief Secretary.

Shimla-2, the 17th December, 1985

No. 10-2/73-DP-Appit.Vol-II.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Sub-section (1) of Section 20 of the Code Criminal Procedure, 1973, the Governor, Himachal Pradesh, is pleased to appoint the following Naib-Tehsildars, to be the Executive Magistrates with all the powers of an Executive Magistrate under the said Code to be exercised within the local limits of Tehsils of District Solan shown against their names, with immediate effect subject to the conditions contained in Government of Himachal Pradesh, Home Department's letters No.Home-(B)12-5/84, dated 4-12-84 and 28-12-84 :—

|                                    |                |
|------------------------------------|----------------|
| 1. Sh. Madan Singh, Naib-Tehsildar | Kasuli Tehsil. |
| 2. Sh. Om Parkash. -do-            | Solan.         |

Shimla-2, the 18th December, 1985

No. 10-4/73-DP-Appit.Vol.II.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 20 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, the Governor, Himachal Pradesh, is pleased to appoint Shri C.P.Verma, Assistant Commissioner (LR) to Deputy Commissioner Hamirpur, to be the Executive Magistrate with all the powers of an Executive Magistrate under the said Code to be exercised within the local limits of District Hamirpur with immediate effect subject to the conditions contained in Government of Himachal Pradesh, Home Department's letters No.Home-B(B) 12-5/84, dated 4-12-84 and 28-12-1984.

Sd/-  
Commissioner-cum-Secretary.

Shimla-2, the 18th December, 1985

No.1-15/73-DP-Appit.—The Governor, Himachal Pradesh is pleased to order that during the leave period of Sh. A.K.Goswami, IAS, Principal Secretary to Chief Minister & Commissioner-cum-Secretary (Tourism & YSS) to Government of Himachal Pradesh, charge of these posts will be held as under :—

- (i) Sh.B.B.Tondon, IAS, Commissioner-cum-Secretary (PWD, IPH, LSG & Housing) will hold charge of the post of Principal Secretary to Chief Minister, in addition to his own duties.
- (ii) Sh. S.S.Parmar, IAS, Secretary (SAD, GAD, AR & Training) to Government of Himachal Pradesh will hold charge of the post of Secretary (Tourism & Youth Services & Sports), in addition to his own duties.

The Governor is further pleased to order that Sh. Yogesh Khanna, IAS, Special Secretary (Pers. AR & Trg.) to Government of Himachal Pradesh will hold additional charge of the post of Registrar, Co-operative Societies, Himachal Pradesh.

By order,  
P. K. MATTOO,  
Chief Secretary.

Shimla-171002, the 10th April, 1992

No. Per (A-I)-B (6)-5/78.—In pursuance of provisions of Section 17 read with Section 10(f) of the State Financial Corporation Act, 1951 (LXIII of 1951), the Governor, Himachal Pradesh, (in consultation with and after obtaining the advise of the Industrial Development Bank of India as also the Board of Directors of the Himachal Pradesh Financial Corporation) is pleased to appoint Shri S. S. Parmar, IAS, as Managing Director, Himachal Pradesh Financial Corporation with effect from 8-11-1990.

By order,  
Sd/-  
Chief Secretary.

## ANIMAL HUSBANDRY DEPARTMENT

## NOTIFICATION

Shimla-2, the 5th December, 1985

No.1-55/73-AH(Seett)-IV.—On the recommendations of the Departmental Promotion Committee, Governor, Himachal Pradesh, is pleased to confirm the following Veterinary Assistant Surgeons (Class-II-Gazetted) in the pay scale of Rs.850-30-1000/40-1200/50-1400-60-1700 with effect from the dates shown against each :—

| SI.No. | Name of the officer        | Date from which confirmed |
|--------|----------------------------|---------------------------|
| 1.     | Dr. Shiv Saran Chauhan     | 27-1-1984                 |
| 2.     | Dr. Sada Ram Sankhyan      | -do-                      |
| 3.     | Dr. Nand Lal Bhaik         | -do-                      |
| 4.     | Dr. Parmod Kumar           | -do-                      |
| 5.     | Dr. Kishori Lal Sharma     | -do-                      |
| 6.     | Dr. Subhash Chand Sharma   | -do-                      |
| 7.     | Dr. Rajinder Parsad Kalia  | -do-                      |
| 8.     | Dr. Surjeet Singh Bhatia   | -do-                      |
| 9.     | Dr. Pushp Raj Vaidya       | -do-                      |
| 10.    | Dr. Anil Kapoor            | -do-                      |
| 11.    | Dr. Raghbir Singh Kaushal  | 6-6-1985                  |
| 12.    | Dr. Gopal Kapoor           | -do-                      |
| 13.    | Dr. Ashok Kumar Sharma     | -do-                      |
| 14.    | Dr. Pawan Kumar Mehta      | -do-                      |
| 15.    | Dr. Rajinder Parsad Sharma | -do-                      |
| 16.    | Dr. Ashok Kumar Khanna     | -do-                      |
| 17.    | Dr. Deepak Behal           | -do-                      |
| 18.    | Dr. Garish Kumar Vaidya    | -do-                      |
| 19.    | Dr. Lohbjang Bodh          | -do-                      |
| 20.    | Dr. Sunit Kumar Puri       | -do-                      |
| 21.    | Dr. Rajinder Kumar Verma   | -do-                      |

By order,  
B. C. NEGI,  
Secretary.

## CORRIGENDUM

Shimla-171002, the 10th March, 1992

No. Ahy-B(3)-10/91.—Please read the date of appointment of Dr. Bikram Singh Khurana, Veterinary Officer as "6-11-1991" instead of "6-11-1990" appearing in this department notification of even number, dated 1-1-1992.

Sd/-  
Secretary.

## COOPERATION DEPARTMENT

## NOTIFICATIONS

Shimla-2, the 26th November, 1985

No. Coop.B(16)-17/84.—The Governor, Himachal Pradesh, on the recommendations of the Departmental Promotion Committee, is pleased to order the confirmation of Sh.L.C.Kapoor as District Cooperative & Supply Officer (now Deputy Registrar, Cooperative Societies, w.e.f. 5-10-1967, in the pay scale of Rs. 250—750 (pre-revised) in the Cooperation Department.

Shimla-2, the 28th November, 1985

No. Coop.A(2)21/85(S).—In continuation of this Department letter number 7-31/69-Coop-(S)-II, dated the 8th June, 1976, the Governor, Himachal Pradesh is pleased to appoint the Additional Registrar, Cooperative Societies, Dharamsala as a Government nominee on the Board of Directors of the Palampur Cooperative tea Factory Palampur in place of Deputy Registrar, Cooperative Societies (West) division Palampur, with immediate effect.

Shimla-2, the 29th November, 1985

No. Coop.A(2)28/85-(4).—In partial modification of this Department Notification number 7-31/69-Coop-III, dated the 30th August, 1984, the Governor, Himachal Pradesh is pleased to nominate Shri Madan Singh Kunwar as non-official member on the Board of Directors of the Kailash District Cooperative Marketing and Supply Federation Ltd., Dhali in place of the Government nominee the District Food and Supplies Controller, Shimla with immediate effect.

Shimla-2, the 29th November, 1985

No. 10-9/71-Coop(s)-III.—In partial modification of this department Notification of even number, dated the 24th May and 15th November, 1984, the Governor, Himachal Pradesh, in exercise of the powers conferred upon him by section 98 of the Himachal Pradesh Cooperative Societies Act, 1968 (Act No.3 of 1969), is pleased to constitute the Himachal Pradesh State Cooperative Council consisting of the following members. The manner and quantum of travelling allowance and daily allowance in respect of non-official members and the members of the Legislative Assembly appointed/nominated to the State Cooperative Council for attending the meetings of the Council has been determined in consultation with the Finance Department and notified separately *vide* this department notification of even number dated the 7th January, 1984.

The constitution/functions/term of office of the State Cooperative Council would be as under :—

- (i) Chairman: State Minister (Cooperation).
- (ii) Chairman of Apex Societies:
  - (a) Chairman, H.P. State Co-op. Marketing and Consumer's Federation Ltd. Shimla.
  - (b) Chairman, H. P. State Co-op. Bank Ltd. Shimla.
  - (c) Chairman, Himachal Pradesh Cooperative State Land Development Bank Ltd. Shimla.
  - (d) Chairman, H.P. State Co-op. Union Ltd; Shimla.
- (iii) Five non-official members namely :
  - 1. Sh. Ganesh Dutt Bharwali MLA (Chintpurni).
  - 2. Sh. Prem Singh MLA.
  - 3. Chairman, The Lahaul Potato Growers Cooperative & Processing Society, Manali.
  - 4. Shri Ishwar Dass, MLA (Ani).
  - 5. Shri Jaishi Ram Sharma (Ex-Principal Coop. Training College Chandigarh) Village & PO Jubbal, District Shimla, Himachal Pradesh.
- (iv) Three members, as elected by the members of the H.P. Legislative Assembly from amongst themselves:—
  - (a) Shri Nehar Singh, MLA (Rohru).
  - (b) Shri Ram Partap Chandel, MLA (Doon).
  - (c) Shri Satya Parash Thakur, MLA (Inner Seraj).
- (v) The Commissioner-cum-Secretary (Cooperation) to the Government of Himachal Pradesh.
- (vi) The Registrar, Cooperative Societies, HP, Shimla.
- (vii) The Director of Agriculture, HP, Shimla.
- (viii) The Director of Industries, HP, Shimla.
- (ix) The Financial Commissioner (Dev.) to the Government of Himachal Pradesh.
- (x) The Financial Commissioner-cum-Secretary (Fin.) to the Government of Himachal Pradesh Shimla.
- (xi) The Financial Commissioner-cum-Secretary (Ind.) to the Government of Himachal Pradesh Shimla.
- (xii) The Director of Horticulture, Himachal Pradesh Shimla.
- (xiii) The Director of Animal Husbandry, Himachal Pradesh, Shimla.

2. The Registrar, Cooperative Societies, Himachal Pradesh, will act as Member-Secretary to the Council.

3. The functions of the Council as constituted shall be as follows:—

- (a) To advise the State Government on all general questions relating to Cooperative movement.
- (b) To review the Cooperative movement and to suggest ways for coordinating the activities of the Cooperative Societies in the State.
- (c) To suggest ways and means to remove the difficulties experienced by the Cooperative Societies in the State in the administration.
- (d) To make *suo-motu* recommendation to the State Government in regard to any matter relating to the administration of Cooperative Societies.
- (e) To report to the State Government on such matters as may be referred to it by the State Government for its opinion.
- (f) The council should meet at least once a year with the prior concurrence of the Chairman.
- (g) The term of office of the non-official nominated members of the Council as mentioned in clause (iii) above, shall be one year provided that the same is extended by the Government from time to time.

By order,

S. S. SIDHU,  
Commissioner-cum-Secretary.

## EXCISE AND TAXATION DEPARTMENT

### NOTIFICATION

Shimla-2, the 26th November, 1985

No. EX-N-B(2)-7/84.—On the recommendation of the Departmental

Promotion Committee, the Governor, Himachal Pradesh is pleased to promote the following Excise & Taxation Inspectors and a member of ministerial staff as Excise & Taxation officers (Class-II) gazetted in the pay scale of Rs 825—1580 with immediate effect and post them at the stations mentioned against their names :—

| Sr.No. | Name of officer    | Place of posting   |
|--------|--------------------|--|
| 1.     | Shri Madho Ram     | Tunnu-Hatti Barrier, Distt. Chamba.  |
| 2.     | Shri O.N. Sharma   | E.T.O. office, Solan.  |
| 3.     | Shri Ishwari Kumar | Kandwal Barrier, District Kangra. He will also assist the AETC Kangra in assessment of Sales Tax and Passengers and Goods Tax cases. |
| 4.     | Smt. Bala Devi     | Behrai Barrier, District Sirmaur.  |

The above promotions are subject to the results of civil writ petitions pending in the High Court of Himachal Pradesh. The promoted will be on probation for two years

S. S. SIDHU,  
Secretary.

## EDUCATION DEPARTMENT

### NOTIFICATIONS

Shimla-2, the 26th November, 1985

No. Edn(B)6-9/76-III.—The Governor, Himachal Pradesh, is pleased to promote the following officers of the School and Inspection Cadre of Education Department as Deputy Directors of Education (Schools) purely on temporary/ad-hoc basis for a period of 6 months in the scale of Rs 1200—1850 with immediate effect in the public interest :—

- (i) Shri Bhupinder Raj Sharma, Asstt. Director (Primary Education), Himachal Pradesh, Shimla, and
- (ii) Shri B.D. Mehta, District Education Officer, (Secondary) Kangra District, Dharamsala.

2. The Governor, Himachal Pradesh is further pleased to appoint Shri Bhupinder Raj Sharma on his promotion as Deputy Director of Education (Schools) in the Directorate of Primary Education, Himachal Pradesh Shimla and to place the Services of Shri B.D. Mehta, Deputy Director of Education (Schools) at the disposal of Board of School Education, Himachal Pradesh, Dharamsala for his posting as additional Secretary in the Himachal Pradesh Board of School Education, Dharamsala.

Shimla-2, the 26th November, 1985

No. Shiksha-II(Kha) (4)3/80-II.—In partial modification of this department Notification No. Edn-I (B) 6-8/76-III, dated Shimla-2, the 5th June, 1985, the Governor, Himachal Pradesh is pleased to order that Shri Rajinder Kumar, Headmaster, Government High School, Pubowal (Una) under orders of posting as Headmaster Government High School, Koithi-Kod (Kangra) will join as Headmaster Government High School, Kungrath (Una) vice Shri Dewan Chand, Headmaster who after attaining the age of superannuation shall retire from Government service w.e.f. 20-11-1985.

Shimla-2, the 28th November, 1985

No. EDN-(16)1.85-Shiksha-Ka.—In partial modification of this Department Notification of even number, dated 31st October, 1985, the Governor, Himachal Pradesh, is pleased to order that Shri Kundan Lal Sharma, T.G.T., Government High School, Nurpur, District Kangra on his promotion as Headmaster on ad-hoc basis will join as such in Government Haigh School, Chaklu, District Chamba.

2. The Governor, Himachal Pradesh, is further pleased to order that Shri Malaghan Singh, T.G.T., Government High School, Botalgar, District Sirmour on his promotion as Headmaster, on ad-hoc basis will join as such in Government High School, Barthata, District Shimla.

Shimla-2, the .....

No. Shiksha-Ka (8)-2/81.—The Governor, Himachal Pradesh is pleased to grant extension in service to Shri Attar Singh, D.E.O. (Primary) Bilaspur, District Bilaspur for a period of two years w.e.f. 1-5-1986 to 30-4-1988 being a National Awardee.

2. The Governor, Himachal Pradesh is further pleased to order that Shri Attar Singh shall now retire on 30-4-1988 (A.N.).

3. This issues with the prior concurrence of the Finance Department obtained *vide* U.O. No.2163-11-27/74-Fin (Reg.) dated 1-11-85.

M. K. KAW,  
Commissioner-cum-Secretary.

## FOOD AND SUPPLIES DEPARTMENT

## CORRIGENDA

Shimla-2, the 6th December, 1985

No. FDS.F(6)(6)-1/75-III.—Please substitute the name of Shri M. S. Chandla, Additional Managing Director, Punjab State Co-operative Supplies and Marketing Federation as Member of the said Arbitration Committee in place of Shri I. L. Dawra, Additional Director, the Punjab State Co-operative Supplies and Marketing Federation Ltd, Chandigarh (who has since been transferred as Deputy Secretary, Department of Urban Development in the Government of Punjab, Chandigarh) as nominee of the Punjab Markfed in the Arbitration Committee constituted *vide* Notification No. FDS.F(6)(6)-1/75-III, dated the 4th July 1985.

Shimla-2, the 7th December, 1985

No. FDS.G(7)-2/82.—In this Department's notification of even number, dated 4-10-1985 for the words appearing in Para-4 may please read "Land Acquisition Collector" Paonta Sahib, instead of "Land Acquisition Collector Nahan".

By order,  
S. S. SIDHU,  
Secretary.

FINANCE DEPARTMENT  
(TREASURIES AND ACCOUNTS ORGNN).

## NOTIFICATION

Shimla-2, the 2nd December, 1985

No. 3-5/74-Fin(T/A).—The Governor, Himachal Pradesh is pleased to promote Shri Arvind Kumar Shukla, Assistant Treasury Officer in the pay scale of Rs. 750—1300 as Treasury Officer in the pay scale of Rs. 825—1580 (Class-II) on purely temporary and *ad hoc* basis for a period of six months or till the post is filled up on regular basis whichever is earlier.

As a result of above *ad hoc* promotion, the Governor, Himachal Pradesh is further pleased to order the transfer and posting of Shri Arvind Kumar Shukla, Assistant Treasury Officer as Treasury Officer in Keylong Treasury in the public interest with immediate effect.

S. M. KANWAR,  
Financial Commissioner.

## REGULATION

Shimla-2, the 24th April, 1992

No. Fin. (C) A (2)-3/79.—The Governor, Himachal Pradesh is pleased to order that the powers delegated to 'Deputy Commissioners' Himachal Pradesh under Rule 19.6 Sr. No. 46 (A) of H. P. F. R. 1971, Vol. I *vide* Himachal Pradesh Government Finance Department, Notification No. 15-4/71-Fin. (R&E), dated 9th May, 1972 are delegated to the 'Director Sainik Welfare' Himachal Pradesh as under :—

| Sl. No. | Nature of powers                               | Authority to which the power is delegated | Extent of power delegated   |
|---------|--|---|---|
| 46-A    | To grant, in cash rewards of gallantry awards. | Director Sainik Welfare H. P.             | Full powers at the rates and on the conditions prescribed by the Government in General Administrative Department and scrutiny of the cases through the concerned Revenue Offices. |

The orders will come into force with immediate effect.

By order,  
Kr. SHAMSHER SINGH,  
Commissioner-cum-Secretary.

## HOUSING DEPARTMENT

## NOTIFICATIONS

Shimla-2, the 18th April, 1992

No. HSG-6(F) 6-6/92.—In exercise of the powers vested in him under sections 28 and 30 of the Himachal Pradesh Housing Board Act, 1972 the Governor of Himachal Pradesh is pleased to accord sanction to the executing of Self-Financing Scheme at Dharamshala by the Himachal Pradesh Housing Board at a total cost of Rs. 1,27,70,100/- (Rupees one crore twenty Seven Lakh Seventy thousand and one hundred) only.

Shimla-2, the 24th April, 1992

No. HSG-(6) (F) 6-5/91.—In exercise of the powers vested in him under sections 28 and 30 of the Himachal Pradesh Housing Board Act, 1972 the Governor of Himachal Pradesh is pleased to accord sanction to the executing of Self-Financing Scheme at Parwanoo by the Himachal Pradesh Housing Board at a total cost of Rs. 48,05,000/- (Rupees forty eight lakh five thousand) only.

Shimla-171002, the 24th April, 1992

No. HSG-6(F) 6-1/91.—In exercise of the powers vested in him under Section 28 and 30 of the Himachal Pradesh Housing Board Act, 1972 the Governor of Himachal Pradesh is pleased to accord sanction to the executing of Self Financing Scheme at Sanjauli by the Himachal Pradesh Housing Board at a total cost of Rs. 1,31,50,795/- (Rupees one crore, thirty one lakh, fifty thousand, seven hundred ninety five) only.

By order,

A. K. MAHAPATRA,  
Commissioner-cum-Secretary.

## HORTICULTURE DEPARTMENT

## NOTIFICATION

Shimla-2, the 25th June, 1986

No. 23-167/63-Hort. Sectt. II.—The Governor of Himachal Pradesh is pleased to accord his approval allowing Shri H. L. Kochhar Project Director (Co-ordinator) to cross efficiency bar at Rs. 2000/- in the pay scale of Rs. 1775-75-2000/100-2100, w.e.f. 1-6-86, thus raising his pay to Rs. 2100/- P.M.

By order,

P. S. RANA,  
Commissioner-cum-Secretary (A.P.C.).

## CORRIGENDUM

Shimla-171002, the 24th July, 1986

No. HFC (B)12-2/77-II.—In para 1, in line No. 6, of the office order No. HRC(B)12-2/77-II, dated 8-4-86, regarding selection of Officer/officials for training on Apple Technology in Australia, add the following after the word 'deputation':—

"which will last for 3 months".

P. S. RANA,  
Commissioner-cum-Secretary.

## HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE DEPARTMENT

## NOTIFICATIONS

Shimla-2, the 30th November, 1985

No. Health-B(3)-106/85.—The Governor, Himachal Pradesh is pleased to appoint Dr. Kamal Kapoor as HPHS Grade-II in the pay scale of Rs. 940-30-1000-40-1200 /50-1400/60-1700-75-1850 for a period of six months on *ad hoc* basis from 15-7-85 (P.N.) or till the post is filled up on regular basis whichever is earlier.

O. P. YADAVA,  
Secretary.

Shimla-2, the 2/3rd December, 1985

**No. Health-A(1)-2/85.**—The Governor, Himachal Pradesh is pleased to order that the Director of Ayurveda, Himachal Pradesh, shall be the Cadre Controlling Authority in respect of the staff allocated from the Health and Family Welfare Department to the Ayurveda Department with immediate effect.

By order  
O. P. YADAVA,  
Secretary.

Shimla-2, the 9th December, 1985

**No. Health-B (3)-127/83.**—In pursuance of Rule 9 (7) of the Himachal Pradesh Health Services Rules, 1974 and on the recommendation of the Himachal Pradesh Public Service Commission, the Governor, Himachal Pradesh is pleased to appoint Dr. Guru Dutt Sharma as G.D.O.II in the pay scale of Rs. 940-30-1000-40-1200/50-1400/60-1700-75-1850 and allowances as admissible under the rules w.e.f. 21-6-85 (F.N.). He will be on probation for a period of two years.

Shimla-2, the 9th December, 1985

**No. Health-B(3)-60/82.**—In pursuance of Rule 9(7) the Himachal Pradesh Health Services Rules, 1974 and on the recommendation of the Himachal Pradesh Public Service Commission, the Governor, Himachal Pradesh is pleased to appoint Dr. (Mrs.) Sumanjai Dhiman as G.D.O. II in the pay scale of Rs. 940-30-1000-40-1200/50-1400/60-1700-75-1850 and allowances as admissible under the rules w.e.f. 13-6-85 (F.N.). He will be on probation for a period of two years.

Sd/-  
Deputy Secretary.

Shimla-2, the 10th December, 1985

**No. Health-B(3)-117/84.**—In pursuance of Rule 9 (7) of the Himachal Pradesh Health Services Rules, 1974 and on the recommendation of the Himachal Pradesh Public Service Commission, the Governor, Himachal Pradesh is pleased to appoint Dr. (Mrs.) Susheela Parshera as H.P.H.S. Grade-II in the pay scale of Rs. 940-30-1000-40-1200/50-1400/60-1700-75-1850 and allowances as admissible under the rules w.e.f. 24-7-85 (F.N.). He will be on probation for a period of two years.

Shimla-2, the 10th December, 1985

**No. Health-B(3)-37/85.**—In pursuance of Rule 9 (7) of the Himachal Pradesh Health Services Rules, 1974 and on the recommendation of the Himachal Pradesh Public Service Commission, the Governor, Himachal Pradesh is pleased to appoint Dr. (Miss) Anjana Sharma as H.P.H.S. Grade-II in the pay scale of Rs. 940-30-1000-40-1200/50-1400/60-1700-75-1850 and allowances as admissible under the rules w.e.f. 9-9-85. She will be on probation for a period of two years.

Shimla-2, the 10th December, 1985

**No. Health-B(3)-112/85.**—The Governor, Himachal Pradesh is pleased to appoint Dr (Mrs.) Vinod Singh as H.P.H.S. Grade-II in the pay scale of Rs. 940-30-1000-40-1200/50-1400/60-1700-75-1850 for a period of six months on ad hoc basis from 15-5-85 (F.N.) or till the post is filled up on regular basis whichever is earlier.

Shimla-2, the 10th December, 1985

**No. Health-B(3)-111/85.**—The Governor, Himachal Pradesh is pleased to appoint Dr. (Mrs.) Neelam Jaswal as Dental Surgeon Grade-II in the pay scale of Rs. 940-30-1000-40-1200/50-1400/60-1700-75-1850 for a period of six months on ad hoc basis from 28-8-85 (F.N.) or till the post is filled up on regular basis whichever is earlier.

Shimla-2, the 10/11th December, 1985

**No. Health-A(4)3/85.**—The Governor, Himachal Pradesh is pleased to constitute the following Committee's to review cases of Government servants for retention in service after the age of 50/55 years or completion 30 years of service under the Himachal Pradesh Civil Services (Promature Retirements) Rules, 1976 in the Department of Health and Family Welfare, Himachal Pradesh :—

1. **Class-I and II**  
(i) Secretary (Health & Family Welfare) .. Chairman  
(ii) Director of Health Services .. Member  
(iii) Dy. Secretary Under Secretary (Health and Family Welfare) .. Member

2. **Class-III**  
(i) Director of Health Services .. Chairman  
(ii) Deputy Director of Health Services (Senior) .. Member  
(iii) Deputy Director of Health Services .. Member

3. **Class-IV**

(i) Chief Medical Officer Medical Superintendent .. Chairman  
(ii) Medical Officer (Senior) .. Member  
(iii) Medical Officer of Health .. Member

The above committee shall review all cases in accordance with the directions/guidelines issued by the Government from time to time and make necessary recommendations accordingly to the Director of Health Services and Government, as the case may be, every year in the month of September. However, the recommendations by the aforesaid Committee, for the current financial year should be made by 28th February, 1986.

Shimla-2, the 11th December, 1985

**No. Health-B(3)-113/85.**—The Governor, Himachal Pradesh is pleased to appoint Dr. (Mrs.) Veena Gopal as H.P.H.S. Grade-II in the pay scale of Rs. 940-30-1000-40-1200/50-1400/60-1700-75-1850 for a period of six months on ad hoc basis from 25-7-85 (F.N.) or till the post is filled up on regular basis whichever is earlier.

Shimla-2, the 11th December, 1985

**No. Health-B (3)-114/85.**—The Governor, Himachal Pradesh is pleased to appoint Dr. (Mrs.) Sneh Sood, as H.P.H.S. Grade-II in the pay scale of Rs. 940-30-1000-40-1200/50-1400/60-1700-75-1850 for a period of six months on ad hoc basis from 3-5-85 (F.N.) or till the post is filled up on regular basis whichever is earlier.

Shimla-2, the 11th December, 1985

**No. Health-B (3)-15/85.**—The Governor, Himachal Pradesh is pleased to appoint Dr. Ramesh Chand as H.P.H.S. Grade-II in the pay scale of Rs. 940-30-1000-40-1200/50-1400/60-1700-75-1850 for a period of six months on ad hoc basis from 24-6-1985 (F.N.) or till post is filled up on regular basis whichever is earlier.

Shimla-2, the 12th December, 1985

**No. Health-B (3)-96/85.**—The Governor, Himachal Pradesh is pleased to appoint Dr. Deepak Malhotra as H.P.H.S. Grade-II in the pay scale of Rs. 940-30-1000-40-1200/50-1400/60-1700-75-1850, for a period of six months/ad hoc basis from 19-6-85 (F.N.) or till the post is filled up on regular basis whichever is earlier.

Shimla-2, the 16th December, 1985

**No. Health-B(3)-38/85.**—In pursuance of Rule 9 (7) of the Himachal Pradesh Health Services Rules, 1974 and on the recommendation of the Himachal Pradesh Public Service Commission, the Governor, Himachal Pradesh is pleased to appoint Dr. Rajiv Raina as H.P.H.S. Grade-II, in the pay scale of Rs. 940-30-1000-40-1200/50-1400/60-1700-75-1850 and allowances as admissible under the rules w.e.f. 9-9-85 (A.N.). He will be on probation for a period of two years.

Shimla-2, the 16th December, 1985

**No. Health-B(3)-78/85.**—The Governor, Himachal Pradesh is pleased to appoint Dr. (Miss) Niruta Farmahan as H.P.H.S. Grade-II in the pay scale of Rs. 940-30-1000-40-1200/50-1400/60-1700-75-1850 for a period of six months on ad hoc basis from 9-8-85 (F.N.) or till the post is filled up on regular basis whichever is earlier.

Shimla-2, the 16th December, 1985

**No. Health-B (3)-133/83.**—In pursuance of Rule 9 (7) of the Himachal Pradesh Health Services Rules, 1974 and on the recommendation of the Himachal Pradesh Public Service Commission, the Governor, Himachal Pradesh is pleased to appoint Dr. Rakesh Agnihotri as H.P.H.S. Grade-II in the pay scale of Rs. 940-30-1000-40-1200/50-1400/60-1700-75-1850 and allowances as admissible under the rules w.e.f. 6-9-85. He will be on probation for a period of two years.

O.P.YADAVA,  
Secretary.

Shimla-2, the 25th June, 1986

**No. Health-B(3)-32/86.**—The Governor, Himachal Pradesh is pleased to appoint Dr. B. K. Gautam as H.P.H.S. Grade-II in the pay scale of Rs. 940-30-1000-40-1200/50-1400/60-1700-75-1850 for a period of six months on ad hoc basis from 21-12-1985 (F.N.) or till the post is filled up on regular basis whichever is earlier.

Shimla-2, the 25th June, 1986

**No. Health-B(3)-28/86.**—The Governor, Himachal Pradesh is pleased to appoint Dr. Nareesh Sharma as H.P.H.S. Grade-II in the pay scale of Rs. 940-30-1000-40-1200/50-1400/60-1700-75-1850 for a period of three years on contract basis from 13-2-1986 (F.N.) or till the post is filled up on regular basis whichever is earlier.

## CORRIGENDUM

Shimla-2, the 27th June, 1986

No. Health-B(3)-55/85.—Please read the date "10-4-1985" AN instead of "10-4-1984 (A.N.)" appearing in this Department notification No. Health-B(3)-55/85, dated 13-9-1985, regarding acceptance of resignation of Dr. Ashwani Kumar Dewan, HPHS-II, SHC-Nand, District Solan.

## NOTIFICATIONS

Shimla-2, the 21st July, 1986

No. Health-B(9)-2/82(A).—In continuation of this Department notification of even number, dated 26-5-1984, the Governor, Himachal Pradesh is pleased to allow to continue Dr. Sukh Ram Thakur, Assistant Prof. as Associate Prof. of orthopaedics in the pay scale of Rs. 1200-50-1300-60-1540/60-1900 Plus Rs. 100/- as special pay against the vacancy caused due to deputation (on foreign assignment) of Dr. Kuldip Singh Associate Professor with effect from 19-5-1986 till such time Dr. Kuldip Singh resumes his duties.

Shimla-2, the 26th July, 1986

No. Health-B(9)-10-85.—The Governor, Himachal Pradesh is pleased to promote Dr. J. D. Santoshi, Lecturer, Department of Anaesthesiology, Indira Gandhi Medical College, Shimla as Assistant Professor of Anaesthesiology, in the pay scale of Rs. 1200-50-1300-60-1540/60-1900 in Indira Gandhi Medical College Shimla purely on temporary basis against the post of Associate Professor for a period of six months in the first instance or till the same is filled on regular basis, whichever is earlier, with immediate effect.

ARVIND KAUL,  
Secretary.

## INDUSTRIES DEPARTMENT

## NOTIFICATION

Shimla-2, the 25th November, 1985

No. Ind(F)-7-2 77-Vol.I.—In partial modification of this Department notification number Ind. (F) 7-2/77, dated 5-4-1977, the Governor of Himachal Pradesh is pleased to include Managing Director, Himachal Pradesh State Electronic Development Corporation LTD., Shimla as one of the members of the State Level Committee for grant of Central Investment Subsidy to Industrial Unit in Himachal Pradesh, with immediate effect.

A. N. VIDYARTHI,  
Commissioner-cum-Secretary.

## CORRIGENDUM

Shimla-2, the 3rd December, 1985

No. Udyog (Chh)14-8 85.—In partial modification of this Department notification of even No., dated the 18th June, 1985, regarding constitution of Entrepreneurs Guidance Bureau (EGB), the Governor, Himachal Pradesh is pleased to constitute the E.G.B. as under:—

1. Deputy Director (Industries), Himachal Pradesh Government.
2. Industrial Advisor, Himachal Pradesh Mineral & Industrial Development Corporation Ltd. Shimla-1.
3. The General Manager, Himachal Pradesh Financial Corporation Ltd., Shimla-1.
4. General Manager (Technical), Himachal Pradesh State Electronics Development Corporation Ltd..
5. Director/Executive Engineer (Commercial), Himachal Pradesh State Electricity Board.
6. Assistant Environmental Engineer, Himachal Pradesh State Board for Prevention and Control of Water Pollution, Shimla.
7. The General Manager (Headquarters), Himachal Pradesh State Forest Corporation Ltd., Shimla-1.
8. Superintending Engineer, Irrigation & Public Health Himachal Pradesh Shimla.
9. Deputy Under Secretary (Revenue) to the Government of Himachal Pradesh.

2. The terms and conditions of the E.G.B. will be same as notified *vide* this Department Notification of even No., dated 18-6-1985.

By order,  
A. N. VIDYARTHI,  
Commissioner-cum-Secretary.

## NOTIFICATION

Shimla-2, the 6th December, 1985

No. 2-35-69-SI(SSEC).—In exercise of the powers vested in him under Article 63 of the Articles of Association of the Himachal Pradesh State Small Industries and Export Corporation Ltd; the Governor, Himachal Pradesh is pleased to appoint Shri K.C. Sharma, Director, Institutional Finance and Public Undertakings, as Director of the Board of Directors of Himachal Pradesh State Small Industries and Export Corporation Ltd. Vice Miss Parminder Hira with immediate effect.

A. N. VIDYARTHI,  
Commissioner-cum-Secretary.

बहुदेशीय परियोजनाएं एवं विद्युत विभाग

अधिसंचार

शिमला-2, 20 अक्टूबर, 1992

संख्या विद्युत-क-3-172/89.—यह: राज्यपाल, हिमाचल प्रदेश की महं प्रतीत होता है कि राष्ट्रीय जल विद्युत परियोजना नियम सीमा (एन.एच.पी.सी.) जो कि भूमि अर्जन अधिनियम, 1894 (1864 का पहला अधिनियम) की धारा 3 के बाण्ड (सी 0 सी 0) के अवधिनामन सरकार के स्वामित्व और नियन्त्रण के प्रधीन एक नियम है के द्वारा अपने अध पर सार्वजनिक प्रयोजन नामत: महाल नलेख ह 03/0 म 0 37, तहसील मनूषी, जिला चम्बा में चमोरा जल विद्युत परियोजना चरण-1 के नलेख-पादवर हाऊस सड़क नियम व जनाशय सेवा के विषय में हतु भूमि प्राप्ति करनी प्रवेशित है। अतएव एन.एच.पी.सी. यह अधिसंचित किया जाता है कि उक्त परिक्षेत्र में जैसा कि निम्न विवरणों में निर्दिष्ट किया गया है, उपरोक्त प्रयोजन के लिए भूमि का अर्जन अपेक्षित है।

2. यह अधिसूचना ऐसे सभी व्यक्तियों को, जो इससे सम्बंधित हैं या हो सकते हैं, की जानकारी के लिए भूमि अर्जन अधिनियम, 1894 की धारा 4 के अन्तर्गत जारी की जाती है।

3. पूर्वोक्त धारा द्वारा प्रदत गतिविधि का प्रयोग करने हेतु, राज्यपाल, हिमाचल प्रदेश, इस दृष्टि इस उपकरण में कार्यदत्त नहीं प्रधिकारियों, उनके कर्मचारियों और अभिकारी की इनामों की दिक्षि भी भूमि में प्रवेश करने तथा बर्वेशण करने तथा उस धारा द्वारा प्रोत्साहित अथवा अनुमति सभी अन्य कार्यों को करने के लिए महं व्यक्तिगत अवधि देते हैं।

4. कोई भी हितवद्ध व्यक्ति, जिसे उक्त परिक्षेत्र में कियत भूमि के अर्जन पर कोई आपाति हो, तो वह इस अधिसूचना के प्रकाशित होने के तीस दिन की अवधि के भीतर नियमित रूप में भू-अर्जन समाहर्ता, चमोरा जल विद्युत परियोजना हिल्फ्कूट्ट, डाकबाना सुन्नानपुर, जिला चम्बा, हिमाचल प्रदेश के समस्त अपनी आपति दावर कर सकता है।

## विवरण

जिला : चम्बा

नहीं : सनूषी

| गांव      | वर्मा भूमि | वीथी विस्ता | लेन्ड |
|-----------|------------|-------------|-------|
| 1         | 2          | 3           | 4     |
| तनेश (37) | 302/11     | 0           | 7     |
|           | 304/12     | 0           | 17    |
|           | 306/12     | 3           | 5     |
|           | 307/13     | 0           | 4     |
|           | 309/14     | 1           | 4     |
|           | 15         | 0           | 15    |
|           | 311/16     | 0           | 14    |
|           | 17         | 0           | 19    |
|           | 313/18     | 0           | 12    |
|           | 315/19     | 0           | 1     |
|           | 316/19     | 0           | 1     |
|           | 29/1       | 2           | 7     |
|           | 317/30     | 1           | 10    |
|           | 318/30     | 8           | 19    |
|           | 31         | 0           | 1     |
|           | 33         | 2           | 2     |
|           | 34         | 5           | 4     |
|           | 37         | 5           | 14    |
|           | 319/38     | 0           | 16    |
|           | 321/39     | 0           | 5     |
|           | 323/40     | 0           | 9     |
|           | 325/43     | 0           | 3     |
|           | 326/43     | 0           | 19    |
|           | 327/44     | 1           | 7     |

| 1       | 2 | 3  | 4  |   |          |                          |    |
|---------|---|----|----|---|----------|--------------------------|----|
|         |   |    |    | 381/299   |          | 0                        | 10 |
| 45      |   | 1  | 0  | 382/299   |          | 2                        | 1  |
| 329/46  |   | 1  | 15 | 300   |          | 30                       | 5  |
| 47      |   | 0  | 2  | 301   |          | 59                       | 0  |
| 48      |   | 0  | 6  |   |          |                          |    |
| 331/49  |   | 0  | 4  | किला .. 97  |          | 197                      | 2  |
| 50      |   | 0  | 9  |   |          |                          |    |
| 333/51  |   | 1  | 3  | मत: राज्यपाल, हिमाचल प्रदेश को यह प्रतीत होता है कि राष्ट्रीय जन विद्युत परियोजना निगम संस्थित (एन० ए० ३० पी० ८०) जो कि सामिय अर्जन प्रधिनियम, 1894 (1894 का पहला प्रधिनियम), |          |                          |    |
| 335/52  |   | 0  | 1  | को धारा 3 के ब्रह्म (मी० पी० १०) के अन्तर्गत मरकार के स्वामित्व   |          |                          |    |
| 337/56  |   | 0  | 2  | श्रीर नियन्त्रण के अन्तर्गत एक निगम है, के द्वारा प्राप्त अपने पर   |          |                          |    |
| 339/74  |   | 0  | 1  | मार्वेजिनक प्रयोजन नामत: * हेतु भूमि अर्जित करनी प्रयोक्तित है।   |          |                          |    |
| 341/75  |   | 0  | 16 | अतिरिक्त प्रतिवारा यह प्रधिनियम है, के द्वारा प्राप्त अपने पर   |          |                          |    |
| 342/75  |   | 0  | 4  | परिष्कर में जैसा कि नीचे विवरणी में निश्चित किया गया है,  |          |                          |    |
| 76      |   | 0  | 10 | उपरोक्त* प्रयोजन के निए भूमि का अन्तर्गत है।  |          |                          |    |
| 77      |   | 0  | 9  |   |          |                          |    |
| 78      |   | 0  | 3  |   |          |                          |    |
| 79      |   | 0  | 2  |   |          |                          |    |
| 80      |   | 0  | 1  | 2 यह अधिकारी एमे मधी वर्कियां को, जो इसमें स्वामित्व  |          |                          |    |
| 81      |   | 0  | 1  | को मरकते हैं, की जानकारी के लिये सूची अर्जन प्रधिनियम, 1894   |          |                          |    |
| 82      |   | 0  | 2  | को धारा 4 के उल्लंघनों के अन्तर्गत जारी की जाती है।   |          |                          |    |
| 83      |   | 0  | 1  |   |          |                          |    |
| 84      |   | 0  | 1  | 3. पूर्वोत्तर धारा द्वारा प्रदत्त जिलों का प्रयोग करने हुए,   |          |                          |    |
| 85      |   | 0  | 1  | राज्यपाल, हिमाचल प्रदेश इस समय इस उपकरण में कार्यन मधी अधिकारियों, उनके कर्मचारियों और प्रक्रियों को इनके को किसी भी भूमि में   |          |                          |    |
| 107     |   | 1  | 0  | प्रवेग करने तथा सर्वेक्षण करने और उस प्राप्त धारा द्वारा अंदरित अधिकार  |          |                          |    |
| 110     |   | 1  | 18 | अनुमति भूमि अन्व तथा कोई करने के लिये सहारे प्राप्तित है।   |          |                          |    |
| 113     |   | 1  | 9  |   |          |                          |    |
| 125     |   | 3  | 9  |   |          |                          |    |
| 161     |   | 14 | 4  | 4. कोई भी ऐसा हिन्दू वर्कित, जिस उपरिक्त में कार्यन   |          |                          |    |
| 167     |   | 7  | 13 | भूमि के अन्तर्गत करने पर कोई अपत्ति हो, तो वह इस अधिकूचना   |          |                          |    |
| 347/170 |   | 0  | 19 | के प्रक्रियत होने के नीय दिन की अवधि के भीतर निवित कर में   |          |                          |    |
| 350/171 |   | 0  | 12 | भू-अर्जन समाहर्ता, चमोरा जल विद्युत परियोजना, हिमाचल, डाकखाना सुलानपुर, जिला चमोरा, हिमाचल प्रदेश के समस्त अधिकार   |          |                          |    |
| 351/171 |   | 0  | 1  |   |          |                          |    |
| 352/171 |   | 0  | 2  |   |          |                          |    |
| 172     |   | 0  | 5  |   |          |                          |    |
| 353/173 |   | 0  | 14 |   |          |                          |    |
| 174     |   | 0  | 4  | *मुहुरा मोहुरी ह० ब० न० २९४, नहरान व जिला चमोरा, ह० प्र०  |          |                          |    |
| 175     |   | 0  | 3  | में चमोरा जल विद्युत परियोजना भरण-१ के जलाशय क्षेत्र के विषयान  |          |                          |    |
| 176     |   | 0  | 3  | होतु।   |          |                          |    |
| 177     |   | 0  | 5  |   |          |                          |    |
| 178     |   | 0  | 3  | वस्त्रा वस्त्र-५(५) ५/१०.   |          | जिला-२, २२ अप्रैल, १९९२. |    |
| 179     |   | 0  | 4  |   |          |                          |    |
| 355/180 |   | 0  | 2  |   | विवरणी   |                          |    |
| 357/184 |   | 0  | 1  |   |          |                          |    |
| 358/184 |   | 0  | 16 | जिला चमोरा  |          | नहरान : चमोरा            |    |
| 359/189 |   | 0  | 1  |   |          |                          |    |
| 361/190 |   | 0  | 4  |   |          |                          |    |
| 191     |   | 0  | 1  | चमोरा   |          | संलग्न                   |    |
| 194     |   | 0  | 6  | चमोरा नं०   |          | चमोरा विस्ता             |    |
| 363/197 |   | 0  | 19 | 2   | 3        | 4                        |    |
| 364/197 |   | 1  | 8  | मोहुरी  | 638/1    | 0                        | 15 |
| 365/198 |   | 0  | 1  |   | 639/1    | 1                        | 4  |
| 367/232 |   | 0  | 1  |   | 646/640  | 2                        | 18 |
| 369/236 |   | 0  | 17 |   | 647/640  | 3                        | 12 |
| 237     |   | 0  | 1  |   | 648/642  | 1                        | 12 |
| 238     |   | 0  | 1  |   | 2        | 0                        | 2  |
| 239     |   | 0  | 1  |   | 4        | 3                        | 10 |
| 240     |   | 0  | 2  |   | 5        | 3                        | 0  |
| 241     |   | 0  | 4  |   | 6        | 0                        | 1  |
| 242     |   | 0  | 2  |   | 641/7    | 3                        | 8  |
| 243     |   | 0  | 3  |   | 8        | 0                        | 15 |
| 371/244 |   | 0  | 3  |   | 9        | 1                        | 8  |
| 373/245 |   | 0  | 12 |   | 672/10/1 | 1                        | 5  |
| 246     |   | 0  | 2  |   | 673/10/1 | 1                        | 5  |
| 247     |   | 0  | 3  |   | 682/648  | 0                        | 13 |
| 248     |   | 0  | 3  |   | 683/648  | 0                        | 1  |
| 375/249 |   | 0  | 12 |   | 678/650  | 6                        | 4  |
| 237     |   | 0  | 8  |   | 173      | 8                        | 17 |
| 377/258 |   | 3  | 13 |   | 176/1    | 9                        | 8  |
| 378/258 |   | 0  | 1  |   |          |                          |    |
| 379/258 |   | 14 | 1  | जिला .. 19  |          | 40                       | 18 |

\*मुहाल राजपुरा, ह0 ब0 न0 284, तहसील व जिला चम्बा में चमेरा जल विद्युत परियोजना चरण-1 में जलाशय क्षेत्र के विपणन हेतु।

संख्या विद्युत-छ(5)-6/90. शिमला-2, 22 अप्रैल, 1992.  
विवरणी

| मौजा          | खसरा नं0    | बी0 वि0 वि0 | क्षेत्र |
|---------------|-------------|-------------|---------|
| राजपुरा (284) | 598         | 0 4 0       |         |
|               | 600         | 15 5 0      |         |
|               | 600/1       | 1 12 0      |         |
|               | 601         | 0 19 0      |         |
|               | 602         | 0 12 0      |         |
|               | 603         | 2 3 0       |         |
|               | 604         | 0 0 18      |         |
|               | 605         | 1 16 0      |         |
|               | 606         | 1 0 0       |         |
|               | 607         | 0 9 0       |         |
|               | 608         | 0 11 0      |         |
|               | 609         | 1 18 0      |         |
|               | 610         | 1 1 0       |         |
|               | 611         | 3 0 0       |         |
|               | 612         | 0 19 0      |         |
|               | 613         | 4 0 0       |         |
|               | 613/1       | 0 16 0      |         |
|               | 614         | 5 7 0       |         |
|               | 615         | 7 6 0       |         |
|               | 616/1       | 1 8 0       |         |
|               | 617/1       | 0 15 0      |         |
|               | 617/1       | 1 1 0       |         |
|               | 621         | 0 8 0       |         |
|               | 622/1       | 0 16 0      |         |
|               | 623         | 0 3 0       |         |
|               | 624         | 0 2 0       |         |
|               | 625/1       | 8 6 0       |         |
|               | 1183/1035/1 | 0 1 0       |         |
|               | 1206/988    | 0 1 0       |         |
|               | 1207/988    | 0 4 0       |         |
|               | 1275/1208   | 0 4 0       |         |
|               | 1276/1208   | 0 1 0       |         |
|               | 1277/1208   | 0 1 0       |         |
|               | 1278/1208   | 0 3 0       |         |
|               | 1279/1208   | 0 2 0       |         |
|               | 1280/1208   | 0 3 0       |         |
| किता ..       | 36          | 62 17 18    |         |

|  | 1 | 2     | 3 | 4  |
|--|---|-------|---|----|
|  |   | 410/4 | 1 | 2  |
|  |   | 424   | 0 | 5  |
|  |   | 426   | 0 | 10 |
|  |   | 427   | 1 | 1  |
|  |   | 430/3 | 0 | 2  |
|  |   | 431/3 | 0 | 15 |

\*मुहाल गढ़ियार, ह0 ब0 न0 65, तहसील भटियात, जिला चम्बा में चमेरा जल विद्युत चरण-1 की चौ0 शेरपुर सड़क के निर्माण हेतु।

संख्या विद्युत-छ(5)-141/89. शिमला-2, 22 अप्रैल, 1992.

| गढ़ियार (65) | खसरा नं0 | बी0 वि0 वि0 | क्षेत्र |
|--------------|----------|-------------|---------|
|              |          | 370/2       | 0 3     |

किता .. 1 0 0 3

तहसील : सलूणी

\*मुहाल काण्डी, ह0 ब0 न0 45, तहसील सलूणी, जिला चम्बा में चमेरा जल विद्युत परियोजना के जलाशय क्षेत्र में विलयन हेतु।

संख्या विद्युत-छ(5)-10/88. शिमला-2, 22 अप्रैल, 1992.

| गांव        | खसरा नं0 | बी0 वि0 वि0 | क्षेत्र |
|-------------|----------|-------------|---------|
| काण्डी (45) | 1144     | 0 0 8       |         |
|             | 1145     | 0 7 0       |         |
|             | 1146     | 0 0 9       |         |
|             | 1147     | 0 4 0       |         |
| किता ..     | 4        | 0 11 17     |         |

\*मुहाल वरंगाल, ह0 ब0 न0 38, तहसील सलूणी, जिला चम्बा में चमेरा जल विद्युत परियोजना के जलाशय क्षेत्र में विपणन हेतु।

संख्या विद्युत-छ(5)-41/88. शिमला-2, 22 अप्रैल, 1992.

| गांव        | खसरा नं0 | बी0 वि0 वि0 | क्षेत्र |
|-------------|----------|-------------|---------|
| वरंगाल (38) | 81       | 0 6         |         |
|             | 82       | 0 2         |         |
|             | 84       | 0 2         |         |
|             | 85       | 0 2         |         |
|             | 87       | 2 0         |         |
|             | 88       | 0 1         |         |
|             | 89       | 0 2         |         |
|             | 90       | 0 11        |         |
|             | 92       | 0 16        |         |
|             | 93       | 0 2         |         |
|             | 94       | 0 1         |         |
|             | 95       | 0 9         |         |
| किता ..     | 977/98   | 0 2         |         |

107 0 1

108 0 4

109 0 1

110 0 1

843 0 1

844 0 1

845 0 1

846 0 3

847/1 0 1

847/2 0 2

848/1 0 1

848/2 0 1

848/3 0 3

848/4 0 3

तहसील : भटियात

\*मुहाल चौहड़ी, तहसील भटियात, जिला चम्बा में चमेरा जल विद्युत परियोजना चरण-1 की चौहड़ी-शेरपुर (सर्तियस्त) सड़क में आई भूमि अर्जित करने हेतु।

संख्या विद्युत-छ(5)-6/91. शिमला-2, 22 अप्रैल, 1992.

विवरणी

| गांव        | खसरा नं0 | बी0 वि0 वि0 | क्षेत्र |
|-------------|----------|-------------|---------|
| 1           | 2        | 3 4         |         |
| चौहड़ी (64) | 184/1    | 0 7         |         |
|             | 186/1    | 0 4         |         |
| किता ..     | 2        | 0 11        |         |

\*मुहाल गढ़ियार, ह0 ब0 न0 35, तहसील भटियात, जिला चम्बा में चमेरा विद्युत परियोजना चरण-1 के लिए विद्युत उप-केन्द्र तथा डीजल जनरेटर सेट के निर्माण हेतु।

संख्या विद्युत-छ(5) 139/89. शिमला-2, 22 अप्रैल, 1992.

गढ़ियार (65) 409/3 0 16

410/3 0 5

| 1         | 2 | 3  | 4   | 1   | 2                         | 3  | 4  |
|-----------|---|----|-----|---|---------------------------|----|----|
| 864       |   | 7  | 9   |   | 533/445/2                 | 1  | 1  |
| 895       |   | 0  | 3   | किता . .  | 4                         | 15 | 4  |
| 896       |   | 0  | 4   |   |                           |    |    |
| 897       |   | 1  | 3   | *मुहाल बगी (बैरी), ह0 व0 नं 20, तहसील-सलौनी, जिला चम्बा में चम्बा जल विद्युत परियोजना चरण-1 द्वारा स्थिरीय और प्रवं विजली वर के निर्माण हेतु। |                           |    |    |
| 898       |   | 0  | 8   | संख्या विद्युत-छ(5)-165/89.   | शिमला-2, 22 अप्रैल, 1992. |    |    |
| 899       |   | 0  | 13  | बगी (20)  | 978                       | 0  | 5  |
| 900       |   | 0  | 10  |   | 981                       | 2  | 15 |
| 901/1     |   | 0  | 19  |   | 983                       | 0  | 6  |
| 902       |   | 3  | 18  |   | 984                       | 2  | 14 |
| 903       |   | 7  | 19  |   | 985                       | 0  | 6  |
| 904       |   | 15 | 18  |   | 986                       | 1  | 3  |
| 905       |   | 0  | 1   |   | 987                       | 0  | 5  |
| 906       |   | 0  | 3   |   | 988                       | 0  | 15 |
| 907/1     |   | 9  | 3   |   | 989                       | 0  | 18 |
| 907/2     |   | 0  | 1   |   | 990                       | 0  | 7  |
| 907/3     |   | 0  | 1   |   | 991                       | 0  | 10 |
| 908       |   | 0  | 18  |   | 992                       | 2  | 8  |
| 909       |   | 2  | 19  |   | 993                       | 1  | 6  |
| 910       |   | 1  | 4   |   | 994                       | 0  | 13 |
| 911       |   | 0  | 7   |   | 995                       | 0  | 17 |
| 912       |   | 0  | 1   |   | 996                       | 1  | 16 |
| 913       |   | 13 | 4   |   | 997                       | 2  | 2  |
| 914       |   | 0  | 7   |   | 998                       | 1  | 13 |
| 915       |   | 0  | 11  |   | 999                       | 0  | 19 |
| 916       |   | 0  | 3   |   | 1004                      | 0  | 8  |
| 917       |   | 0  | 14  |   | 1005                      | 2  | 1  |
| 918       |   | 1  | 8   |   | 1007                      | 1  | 10 |
| 919       |   | 9  | 5   |   | 1009                      | 7  | 9  |
| 921       |   | 0  | 6   |   | 1011                      | 0  | 12 |
| 922       |   | 1  | 1   |   | 1012                      | 0  | 10 |
| 923       |   | 0  | 17  |   | 1013                      | 1  | 4  |
| 924       |   | 0  | 6   |   | 1014                      | 1  | 11 |
| 925       |   | 0  | 6   |   | 1015                      | 2  | 2  |
| 926       |   | 0  | 3   |   | 1019                      | 4  | 6  |
| 927       |   | 0  | 9   |   | 1030                      | 0  | 2  |
| 928       |   | 0  | 3   |   |                           |    |    |
| 929       |   | 0  | 8   |   |                           |    |    |
| 930       |   | 2  | 5   |   |                           |    |    |
| 931       |   | 0  | 7   |   |                           |    |    |
| 932       |   | 1  | 17  |   |                           |    |    |
| 424/934/1 |   | 33 | 15  | किता  | 30                        | 43 | 13 |
| 935       |   | 0  | 1   |   |                           |    |    |
| 936       |   | 0  | 1   |   |                           |    |    |
| 937       |   | 7  | 11  |   |                           |    |    |
| 938       |   | 0  | 1   |   |                           |    |    |
| 939       |   | 3  | 8   | लोक निर्माण विभाग   |                           |    |    |
| 940       |   | 0  | 9   | प्रधिसूचनाएँ  |                           |    |    |
| 941       |   | 0  | 3   |   |                           |    |    |
| 942       |   | 0  | 1   |   |                           |    |    |
| 943       |   | 0  | 3   |   |                           |    |    |
| 944       |   | 3  | 13  |   |                           |    |    |
| किता      |   | 78 | 125 |   |                           |    |    |

आदेश द्वारा,

हस्ताक्षरित/-

वित्तायुक्त एवं सचिव।

लोक निर्माण विभाग  
प्रधिसूचनाएँ  
शिमला-2, 9 अप्रैल, 1992

संख्या-लो० नि० (ब) ७ (१) २११/१।—यह: हिमाचल प्रदेश के राज्यपाल को यह प्रतीत होता है कि हिमाचल प्रदेश सरकार को सरकारी व्यय पर सार्वजनिक प्रयोजन हेतु नामतः गांव सोलंग, सोलंग-I, सोलंग-II, सास्कीर और सैंज तहसील जुबल, जिला शिमला में कठंगा-सोलंग सड़क के निर्माण हेतु भूमि प्रर्जित करनी प्रयोगित है। अतएव एवं द्वारा यह प्रधिसूचित किया जाता है कि उक्त परिस्थित में जैसा कि निम्न विवरणी में निर्दिष्ट किया गया है, उपरोक्त प्रयोजन के लिये भूमि का प्रर्जन प्रयोक्तित है।

2. यह प्रधिसूचना ऐसे सभी व्यवितयों को, जो इससे सम्बन्धित हो सकते हैं, की जानकारी के लिए भूमि प्रर्जन प्रधिनियम, 1894 की धारा 4 के उपबन्धों के अन्तर्गत जारी की जाती है।

\*मुहाल जन्देहा, ह0 व0 नं 24, तहसील सलौनी, जिला चम्बा में चम्बा जल विद्युत परियोजना, चरण-1 के तले रुपांवर हाऊप सड़क के निर्माण हेतु।

संख्या विद्युत-छ(5)-159/89. शिमला-2, 22 अप्रैल, 1992।

जन्देहा (24)

1/1

5 18

10/1

0 19

533/445/1

7 6

3. पूर्वोक्त धारा द्वारा प्रदत व्यवितयों का प्रयोग करते हुए, राज्यपाल, हिमाचल प्रदेश इस समय इस उपक्रम में कार्यात्मक सभी प्राधिकारियों उनके कर्मचारियों और श्रमिकों को इलाके की किसी भी भूमि में प्रवेश करने तथा सर्वेक्षण करने और उस धारा द्वारा प्रयोक्तित या अनुमत अन्य सभी कार्यों को करने के लिये सहृद प्राधिकार देते हैं।

4. कोई भी हितवद्ध व्यवस्था, जिसे उक्त परिस्केत्र में कथित भूमि के अपेक्षन पर कोई अपार्पण हो, तो वह इस अधिकारिता के प्रकाशित होने के 30 (तीस) दिन की अवधि के भीतर लिखित रूप में भू-अपेक्षन समाहितां (I) लोक निर्माण विभाग, शिमला-2 के समक्ष अपनी आपत्ति दाखिल कर सकता है।

## विवरणी

| क्रम      | खसरा सं | सेव |     | तहसील : जुड्हल | 1        | 2    | 3  | 4 |
|-----------|---------|-----|-----|----------------|----------|------|----|---|
|           |         | वी० | वि० |                |          |      |    |   |
| 1         | 2       | 3   | 4   |                |          |      |    |   |
| सोलंग     | 505     | 0   | 10  |                | 266      | 1    | 2  |   |
|           | 245     | 7   | 14  |                | 238      | 4    | 3  |   |
|           | 223     | 1   | 1   |                | 212      | 5    | 8  |   |
|           | 729/138 | 3   | 15  |                | 216      | 2    | 9  |   |
|           | 728/138 | 0   | 13  |                | 217      | 8    | 3  |   |
|           | 134     | 1   | 6   |                | 215      | 0    | 10 |   |
|           | 290     | 2   | 2   |                | 208      | 0    | 14 |   |
|           | 295     | 1   | 19  |                | 273      | 1    | 14 |   |
|           | 137     | 1   | 11  |                | 960/288  | 0    | 14 |   |
|           | 222     | 1   | 3   |                | 272      | 1    | 3  |   |
|           | 224     | 1   | 4   |                | 295      | 1    | 4  |   |
|           | 500     | 0   | 16  |                | 395      | 8    | 11 |   |
|           | 133/1   | 3   | 0   |                | 290      | 0    | 9  |   |
|           | 499     | 2   | 2   |                | 274      | 2    | 0  |   |
|           | 499/1   | 0   | 15  |                | 291      | 1    | 2  |   |
|           | 225     | 0   | 16  |                | 292      | 2    | 7  |   |
|           | 294     | 2   | 13  |                | 1000/351 | 5    | 0  |   |
|           | 717/1   | 269 | 17  |                | 203      | 7    | 13 |   |
|           | 725/133 | 22  | 5   |                | 204      | 10   | 3  |   |
| किला ..   | 19      | 325 | 2   |                | 205      | 3    | 14 |   |
| सोलंग-I   | 138     | 4   | 8   |                | 206      | 0    | 16 |   |
|           | 717/1   | 269 | 17  |                | 207      | 0    | 14 |   |
|           | 133     | 25  | 5   |                | 209      | 1    | 6  |   |
| किला ..   | 3       | 299 | 10  |                | 224      | 16   | 15 |   |
| सोलंग-III | 505     | 0   | 10  |                | 263      | 11   | 4  |   |
|           | 245     | 7   | 14  |                | 265      | 0    | 8  |   |
|           | 134     | 1   | 6   |                | 271      | 15   | 0  |   |
|           | 290     | 2   | 2   |                | 281      | 8    | 10 |   |
|           | 295     | 1   | 19  |                | 999/351  | 76   | 13 |   |
|           | 137     | 1   | 11  |                | 307      | 206  | 11 |   |
|           | 222     | 1   | 3   |                | 1000/403 | 17   | 5  |   |
|           | 500     | 0   | 16  |                | 525      | 7    | 16 |   |
|           | 133/1   | 3   | 0   |                | 527      | 3    | 9  |   |
|           | 499     | 2   | 2   |                | 530      | 2    | 0  |   |
|           | 499/1   | 0   | 15  |                | 532      | 11   | 16 |   |
| किला ..   | 11      | 22  | 16  |                | 214      | 1    | 3  |   |
| सार्कोर   | 590     | 9   | 7   |                | 533      | 550  | 7  |   |
|           | 591     | 8   | 2   |                | 523      | 32   | 1  |   |
|           | 211     | 1   | 10  |                | 543      | 3    | 4  |   |
|           | 222     | 0   | 6   |                | 392      | 1    | 16 |   |
|           | 223     | 2   | 10  |                | 1006/589 | 74   | 14 |   |
|           | 226     | 1   | 15  |                | 923/590  | 32   | 17 |   |
|           | 225     | 4   | 2   |                | 1008/601 | 58   | 5  |   |
|           | 234     | 3   | 12  |                | 520      | 1    | 11 |   |
|           | 531     | 3   | 18  |                | 922/599  | 1    | 15 |   |
|           | 396     | 0   | 6   |                |          |      |    |   |
|           | 370     | 6   | 3   |                |          |      |    |   |
|           | 544     | 5   | 16  |                |          |      |    |   |
|           |         |     |     | किला ..        | 69       | 3070 | 5  |   |

| 1 | 2         | 3  | 4  | 1  | 2         | 3     | 4  | 5  |
|---|-----------|----|----|----|-----------|-------|----|----|
|   | 834       | 0  | 19 |    | 544/1     | 0     | 00 | 16 |
|   | 835       | 1  | 12 |    | 545/1     | 0     | 00 | 03 |
|   | 837       | 0  | 3  |    | 547       | 0     | 00 | 97 |
|   | 836       | 1  | 1  |    | 548       | 0     | 00 | 60 |
|   | 838       | 0  | 1  |    | 548/1     | 0     | 00 | 10 |
|   | 829       | 0  | 6  |    | 549       | 0     | 04 | 24 |
|   | 854       | 2  | 3  |    | 550       | 0     | 00 | 20 |
|   | 868       | 0  | 9  |    | 549/1     | 0     | 02 | 71 |
|   | 915/814   | 0  | 1  |    | 551       | 0     | 01 | 88 |
|   | 916/814   | 0  | 1  |    | 552       | 0     | 00 | 45 |
|   | 812       | 0  | 9  |    | 553       | 0     | 00 | 39 |
|   | 813       | 0  | 17 |    | 554       | 0     | 00 | 16 |
|   | 917/814   | 15 | 5  |    | 630       | 0     | 01 | 01 |
|   | 817       | 1  | 6  |    | 916/1     | 0     | 00 | 78 |
|   | 839       | 4  | 7  |    | 917/1     | 0     | 00 | 04 |
|   | 840       | 0  | 12 |    | 918       | 0     | 05 | 61 |
|   | 841       | 1  | 1  |    | 919       | 0     | 04 | 32 |
|   | 850       | 0  | 15 |    |           |       |    |    |
|   | 851       | 2  | 1  |    | कित्ता .. | 32    | 0  | 42 |
|   | 852       | 3  | 13 |    |           |       |    |    |
|   | 853       | 2  | 17 |    | हटवाम/    | 574   | 0  | 06 |
|   | 857       | 0  | 18 |    | आलाड़ी    | 575   | 0  | 01 |
|   |           |    |    |    |           | 579   | 0  | 00 |
|   |           |    |    |    |           | 580   | 0  | 01 |
|   |           |    |    |    |           | 581   | 0  | 02 |
|   |           |    |    |    |           | 582   | 0  | 01 |
|   |           |    |    |    |           | 583   | 0  | 00 |
|   |           |    |    |    |           | 584   | 0  | 01 |
|   |           |    |    |    |           | 585   | 0  | 01 |
|   |           |    |    |    |           | 586   | 0  | 00 |
|   |           |    |    |    |           | 587   | 0  | 00 |
|   |           |    |    |    |           | 589/1 | 0  | 00 |
|   |           |    |    |    |           | 591   | 0  | 01 |
|   |           |    |    |    |           | 594/1 | 0  | 01 |
|   |           |    |    |    |           |       |    |    |
|   | कित्ता .. | 29 | 52 | 18 |           |       |    |    |

गिमला-2, 23 अप्रैल, 1992

संख्या लोक निर्माण (ख) 7(1) 92/91.—यतः हिमाचल प्रदेश के राज्यपाल को यह प्रतीत होता है कि हिमाचल प्रदेश सरकार को सरकारी व्यय पर सार्वजनिक प्रयोजन हेतु नामतः गांव बल्ला, कसवती, हटवास, वहटवास भेड़ी, तहसील व जिला कांगड़ा में मर्ला मस्सल रक्षूँ सड़क के निर्माण हेतु भूमि ली जानी अपेक्षित है अतएव एतद्वारा यह घोषित किया जाना है कि नीचे विवरण में वर्णित भूमि उपर्युक्त प्रयोजन के लिए अपेक्षित है ।

2. वह घोषणा भूमि अर्जन अधिनियम, 1894 की धारा 6 के उपबन्धों के अधीन इससे सम्बद्धित सभी व्यक्तियों की सूचना हेतु की जाती है तथा उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 7 के उपबन्धों के अधीन भू-अर्जन समाहर्ता, लोक निर्माण विभाग, कांगड़ा को उक्त भूमि के अर्जन करने के आदेश लेने का एतद्वारा निर्देश दिया जाता है ।

3. भूमि का रेखांक भू-अर्जन समाहर्ता, लोक निर्माण विभाग, कांगड़ा के कार्यालय में निरीक्षण किया जा सकता है ।

## विवरण

जिला : कांगड़ा तहसील : कांगड़ा

| गांव        | खसरा नं० | लेख |    |    | हैक्टेयर में |
|-------------|----------|-----|----|----|--------------|
|             |          | 1   | 2  | 3  |              |
| बल्ला कसवती | 478      | 0   | 00 | 24 | 636          |
| (कोर चम्बा) | 479      | 0   | 01 | 20 | 637          |
|             | 480      | 0   | 00 | 30 | 638          |
|             | 481/1    | 0   | 00 | 31 | 600          |
|             | 486      | 0   | 00 | 10 | 642          |
|             | 487      | 0   | 00 | 10 | 643          |
|             | 488      | 0   | 00 | 66 | 753          |
|             | 489      | 0   | 02 | 60 | 754          |
|             | 490      | 0   | 04 | 00 | 752          |
|             | 491      | 0   | 02 | 89 | 756          |
|             | 491/1    | 0   | 00 | 32 | 755          |
|             | 492      | 0   | 00 | 93 | 807          |
|             | 493      | 0   | 00 | 45 | 808          |
|             | 494      | 0   | 04 | 78 | 809          |
|             | 542/1    | 0   | 00 | 39 | 810          |

## TRIBAL DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

## NOTIFICATIONS

Shimla-2, the 5/6th December, 1985

No. TD (F)5-1/81-Part-I.—In partial modification of this Department notification of even number, dated the 25th July 1985, the Governor, Himachal Pradesh, is pleased to nominate Sh. Shonkia Ram, MLA as a non-official member on the High Powered Co-ordination and Review Committee at State level in place of Sh. R. D. Kashyap Ex-MLA appearing at Sl. No. 12 of the said notification.

Shimla-2, the 5/6th December, 1985

No. TD (F)5-1/81-Part-I.—In continuation of this Government notification of even number, dated 25th July, 1985 and dated 31st August, 1985 constituting the High Powered Co-ordination and Review Committee at State Level, the Governor, Himachal Pradesh, is further pleased to nominate Prof. Narain Chand Prashar, Member of Parliament, as a Non-official Member on the High Powered Co-ordination and Review Committee at State level. His name will appear at Sl. No. 3 of the notification dated 25th July, 1985 and the serial number 3 to 34 will be renumbered as 4 to 35.

This issues with the prior approval of the Hon'ble Speaker, Lok Sabha *vide* Senior Legislative Committee Officer, Lok Sabha Secretariat letter No. 7/83/CL/85, dated the 29th November, 1985.

By order,

A. N. VIDYARTHI,  
Commissioner-cum-Secretary.

## RURAL DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

## NOTIFICATION

Shimla-2, the 18th December, 1985

No. RD-I-B (2)1/84.—The Governor, Himachal Pradesh on the recommendations of the Departmental Promotion Committee, is pleased to confirm Sh. R. K. Kapila, BDO in the post of BDO (Class-II-Gazetted) in the pay scale of Rs. 825-25-850-30-1000/40-1200/50-1400-50-1580. His name will appear at Sl. No. 1 of this Department notification No. RD-I-B(9) 1/84-315-47, dated 1-3-85 and Nos. RD-I-B(9) 1/84-4365-79, dated 25/27-9-85 *vide* which confirmation of 11 BDO's were ordered.

2. The actual date of confirmation as also *inter-si*-seniority shall be assigned to him separately and will be subject to review on the finalisation of the seniority list.

B. C. NEGI,  
Financial Commissioner (Dev.)-cum-Secretary.SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY DEPARTMENT  
INTEGRATED RURAL ENERGY PLANNING  
PROGRAMME

## NOTIFICATIONS

Shimla-2, the 3rd December, 1985

No. PLG (B)2-7/84.—In continuation of Planning Department notification of even No., dated 21-2-1985, the Governor, Himachal Pradesh, in consultation with the Himachal Pradesh Public Service Commission is further pleased to extend the deputation period of Sh. Sita Ram Sharma, as Project Officer in the pay scale of Rs. 825-1580 (Class-II) in the Science and Technology Department (IREP) on usual terms and conditions (as has been notified *vide* this Department letter of even No., dated 12-8-1985) for a further period of two years *w.e.f.* 23-8-1985.

2. This issues with the powers delegated *vide* Finance Department Office Memorandum No. Fin(C) A(2)-8/77, dated the 7th May, 1985.

Shimla-2, the 17th December, 1985

No. PLG(B)2-7/84.—In continuation of the Planning Department notification No. PLG (B) 2-7/84, dated the 16th March, 1985, regarding the posting of Shri Sita Ram Sharma, Project Officer I.R. E.P. programme at Theog Block, District Shimla, the Governor, Himachal Pradesh is further pleased to order temporarily to shift Project Officer, Integrated Rural Energy Planning, Theog, along with staff to the State Headquarter in the office of Project Director (IREP) with immediate effect in the public interest till further orders.

The Governor, Himachal Pradesh is also pleased to order that the work relating to the implementation of the Integrated Rural Energy Planning Programme in Theog block will meanwhile be attended to by the Sub-Divisional Officer (Civil) Theog, District Shimla in addition to his own duties, henceforth, as is in the case of other Integrated Rural Energy Planning Programme Blocks.

By order,  
M. K. KAW,  
Commissioner-cum-Secretary.

भाग 2—वैधानिक नियमों को छोड़ कर विभिन्न विभागों के अध्यक्षों और जिला मैजिस्ट्रेटों द्वारा प्रधिसूचनाएं इत्यादि  
कार्यालय महानियंत्रिक पर्याय

व्यधिसूचना

शिमला-2, 24 अप्रैल, 1992

सं ० प्रा०-८७ (रजि०)।११२-४०३५-४१५।—हिमाचल प्रदेश

वसीका नवीसी नियम, 1971 के नियम 6 (2) के अनुसरण में विषेष वसीका नवीसी परीक्षा, 1992 नियंत्रित समय सारणी के प्रत्युत्तर नियम विहार, शिमला में वित्त विवर, हिमाचल प्रदेश लोक में वा आयोग के परीक्षा हाल में दिनांक 12 व 13 जून, 1992 को आयोजित की जाएगी। एचिक्य/पर्यावरण मन्त्रीद्वारा इस परीक्षा के लिए आवेदन-पत्र संगीत्यत प्रयत्न पर पर्यावरण के माध्यम से दिनांक

By order,

A. K. GOSWAMI,  
Commissioner-cum-Secretary.

25-5-1992 तक ग्रन्थीहस्ताक्षरी के कार्यालय को भेज सकते हैं।

## समय सारणी

| क्र. सं. | पेपर        | विषय           | तिथि   |
|----------|-------------|----------------|--|
| 1.       | पेपर नं 0 1 | वर्षाका नवीसी  | 12-6-1992 को प्रातः 10 बजे से सायं 1 बजे तक। |
| 2.       | पेपर नं 0 2 | विधि प्रक्रिया | 12-6-1992 सायं 2 बजे तक सायं 5 बजे तक।       |
| 3.       | पेपर नं 0 3 | श्रुतिलेख      | 13-6-1992 प्रातः 10 बजे से दोपहर 12 बजे तक।  |

हस्तांकित/-  
महानिरक्षक पंजीयन।कार्यालय जिला बांधा एवं आपूर्ति नियन्त्रक हमीरपुर,  
जिला हमीरपुर।

शुद्धि-पत्र

हमीरपुर, 4 मार्च, 1992

संख्या एफ० एस०/३/१९९२-१२-२-१९९२-इस विभाग की समसंबंधी अधिसूचना, दिनांक 12-2-1992 जिसमें मिट्टी तेल का रुट चार्ट निर्धारित किया गया है। मैं ० अष्ट्रा आयल कम्पनी डुग्गा के रुट चार्ट संख्या 10 को निम्न प्रकार से पढ़ा जाएः-

| कम्पनी का नाम | रुट संख्या | स्थान का नाम | उचित मूल्य की दुकान/मिट्टी तेल के परचून विक्रेता का नाम |
|---------------|------------|--------------|---|
| 1             | 2          | 3            | 4   |

|                                   |    |                        |   |
|-----------------------------------|----|------------------------|---|
| मैं० अष्ट्रा आयल<br>कम्पनी डुग्गा | 10 | जलाडी से<br>अनियारी तक | 1. जलाडी श्री जगत पाल<br>श्री सतिन्द्रपाल ।   |
|                                   |    |                        | 2. भूम्ल श्री संजीव कुमार<br>3. दगड़ी श्री सोमदत्त<br>4. जोलसपड़ सी० ५० एस०<br>जील सपड़ ।   |
|                                   |    |                        | 5. रंगां श्री सन्तोष कुमार<br>6. वडा १. श्री चंद्र किशोर<br>2. श्री राजेन्द्र प्रसाद<br>7. रेल. सी० १० एस०, रेल<br>8. अनियारी. सी० १० एस०,<br>अनियारी |
|                                   |    |                        | रुट संख्या ३ न ४ दोहुं-<br>राशा जाये ।  |
| 11.....                           |    |                        |   |

एस० एम० कटबाल,  
जिला दण्डाविकारी, हमीरपुर,  
जिला हमीरपुर।

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT MAGISTRATE  
HAMIRPUR, DISTRICT HAMIRPUR,  
HIMACHAL PRADESH

## NOTIFICATION

Hamirpur, the 24th March, 1992

No. NAC/NON/LFA-645-60.-In exercise of the powers vested in me under sub-rule (5) of rule 7.12 of the Punjab Motor Vehicles Rules, 1940 as applicable

to Himachal Pradesh I. S. M. Katwal, District Magistrate, Hamirpur, District Hamirpur, Himachal Pradesh do hereby fix fee in respect of originating/ passing through services/parking at the New Bus- Stand, Nadaun, District Hamirpur as follows:-

1. Parking fee of Vehicles at New Bus-Stand, Nadaun ..Rs. 2/- per vehicle per trip.
2. Parking fee for Night Stay per Vehicle (10 P. M. to 6 A. M.) ..Rs. 3/-
3. Parking fee for Taxi ..Rs. 4/- daily
4. Night Parking fee of each Taxi (10 P. M. to 6 A. M.) ..Rs. 3/- daily

This will come into force with immediate effect.

Place : Hamirpur.  
Date : 24-3-92S. M. KATWAL,  
District Magistrate,  
Hamirpur (H. P.)

## INDUSTRIES DEPARTMENT

## FORM "Q"

Shimla-2, the 20th March, 1992

## PUBLICATION UNDER SECTION 24 OF THE ACT

No. DIC/SML/Loan/4/26 (51)-11540.—Whereas a notice was served on Shri Niku Ram s/o Shri Giaru Ram on 12-7-86 under section 23 of the H. P. State Aid to Industries Act, 1971 calling upon said Shri Niku Ram to pay to me a sum of Rs. 8000/-+Int., P/Int. on or before 31-7-1986 and whereas the said sum of Rs. 8000/-+ Int., P/Int. has not been paid I hereby declare that said sum of Rs. 8000/-+interest+penal interest is due from the said Shri Niku Ram and the property described in the attached schedule is liable for the satisfaction of the said debt.

## SCHEDULE

Land measuring 13 bighas 19 biswas comprised in Khata Khatani No. 111, 106, Khasra Nos. 1011, 1012, 1115, 1116, 1118, 1157, 1168 and 1211, 1223, 1260/1126/2, 1128, 1121, 1218/1, 1218/3, belonging to Shri Niku Ram s/o Shri Giaru Ram situated at village & P. O. Chopal, District Shimla.

Sd/-  
General Manager,  
District Industries Centre,  
Shimla (H. P.).

FORM "Q"  
Shimla, the 20th March, 1992

## PUBLICATION UNDER SECTION 24 OF THE ACT

No. DIC/SML/Loan/4/96 (46)-4547.—Whereas a notice was served on Shri/Smt. Sunita Belg, d/o Shri P.N. Sharma on 4-6-87 under section 23 of the H.P. State Aid to Industries Act, 1971 calling upon said Smt. Sunita Belg to pay to me a sum of Rs. 4000/-+Int., P/Int. on or before the 30-6-87 and whereas the said sum of Rs. 4000/-+ Int. has not been paid, I hereby declare that said sum of Rs. 4000/-+ interest + penal interest is due from the said Smt. Sunita Belg and the property described in the attached schedule is liable for the satisfaction of the said debt.

## SCHEDULE

Candle making machine installed in Kenfield Estate, Chaura Maidan, Shimla-1, belonging to self Sunita Belg.

Sd/-  
General Manager,  
District Industries Centre,  
Shimla (H.P.).

## FORM "Q"

## PUBLICATION UNDER SECTION 24 OF THE ACT

Shimla, the 20th March, 1992

No. DIC/SML/Loan/4/80 (54)-11555.—Whereas a notice was served on Shri Tika Ram s/o Shri Ganga Ram on 12-7-86 under section 23 of the H. P. State Aid to Industries Act, 1971 calling upon said Shri Tika Ram s/o Shri Ganga Ram to pay to me sum of Rs. 5140/- + Intt., P/Intt. before 31-7-86 and whereas the said sum of Rs. 5140/- + Intt. + P/Intt. has not been paid I hereby declare that said sum of Rs. 5140 + Interest + Penalt Interest is due from the said Shri Tika Ram and the property described in the attached schedule is liable for the satisfaction of the said debt.

## SCHEDULE

Land measuring 2.8 bishwas situated in Chak Karana, Khatauni No. 29/44 belonging to Shri Tika Ram Mortgagor and 7.41 biswas land situated in Chak Karana, Pargana Dharthi, Tehsil Theog, District Shimla (H. P.) belonging to Shri Tika Ram, Khima Nand, Tulsi Ram and Narain Dutt i Khatauni No. 29/44, Kitte 23.

Sd/-  
General Manager,  
District Industries Centre,  
Shimla.

## FORM "Q"

## PUBLICATION UNDER SECTION 24 OF THE ACT

Shimla, the 20th March, 1992

No. DIC/SML/Loan 4/84(30).—Whereas a notice was served on Shri Balbir Singh s/o Shri Paras Ram on 12-7-86 under section 23 of the H. P. State Aid to Industries Act, 1971 calling upon said Shri Balbir Singh s/o Shri Paras Ram to pay to me a sum of Rs. 30,000/- plus interest on or before the 31-7-1986 and whereas the said sum of Rs. 30,000/- plus interest has not been paid, I hereby declare that said sum of Rs. 30,000/- plus interest plus penal interest is due from the said Shri Balbir Singh and the property described in the attached schedule is liable for the satisfaction of the said debt.

## SCHEDULE

Land comprised Khewat Khatauni No. 6/6, Kitte 7

आग 3—प्रार्थनायम्, विधे २५ और विधेयकों पर प्रवर समिति के प्रतिवेदन, वैयानिक नियम तथा हिमाचल प्रदेश क राज्यपाल, हिमाचल प्रदेश हाई कोर्ट, फाईनेन्शियल कमिशनर तथा कमिशनर आफ इकम टेक्स द्वारा ग्राहित सूचित आदेश इत्यादि

## ANIMAL HUSBANDRY DEPARTMENT

## NOTIFICATION

Shimla-2, the 23rd November, 1985

No. Ahy-B(3)-37/79.—In exercise of the powers vested in him under proviso to Article 309 of the Constitution of India, and all other powers in this behalf, the Governor, Himachal Pradesh in consultation with the Himachal Pradesh Public Service Commission is pleased to make the following rules to amend the Recruitment and Promotion Rules for the post of Deputy Director Animal, Husbandry/Project Officers, ICDP, Sheep Breeding and Calf Rearing, Poultry Development (Class-I) in the Animal Husbandry Department, Himachal Pradesh, notified *vide* Notification No. 18-12/63-III, dated the 22nd April, 1963 and as amended from time to time as under :—

1. *Short title and commencement.*—These rules may be called the Himachal Pradesh, Animal Husbandry Department (Class-I) Recruitment and Promotion and Certain Conditions of Services for the post of Deputy Director, Animal Husbandry/Project Officers, ICDP, Sheep Breeding and Calf Rearing, Poultry Development Project, Himachal Pradesh, (8th Amendment) Rules, 1980.

2. These rules shall come into force at once.

*Substitution of rules.*—The existing rule in col. No. 1 of the above rules shall be substituted as under :—

Col. No. 1 Deputy Director (AN)/ Project Officer, ICDP/Project Officer Sheep Breeding and Calf Rearing/ Project Officer, Poultry Development Project/Senior Research Officer, Sheep Breeding Farm, Tal.

By order,  
B. C. NEG1,  
Secretary.

measuring 130 bighas & 18 biswas situated in mauna Bage Sandhu, Pargana Rajana, Tehsil Theog exclusively belonging to Shri Balbir Singh s/o Shri Paras Ram.

Sd/-  
General Manager,  
District Industries Centre,  
Shimla.

## INDIRA GANDHI MEDICAL COLLEGE, SHIMLA

## OFFICE ORDER

Shimla-1, the 29th November, 1985

No. IFW (MC-A) A(2)2/79.—In exercise of the powers vested in me under rule 1.26 of Himachal Pradesh Financial Rules Vol. I, I hereby declare Dr. K. S. Rana, Deputy Medical Superintendent, Indira Gandhi Hospital, Shimla as Drawing and Disbursing Officer under the following Heads of Accounts in place of Dr. Brij Mohan Lal, Medical Superintendent, Indira Gandhi Hospital Shimla during his absence on orientation course on Epidemiological Services commencing from 2-12-85 to 20-12-85 or till Dr. Brij Mohan Lal resume his duty :

- (1) (i) 280—Medical  
A. Allopathy
- (i) B. Medical Relief. (b)(i)—Himachal Pradesh State Hospital Shimla.
- (ii) Training  
(d) (i) Post graduate Training of Doctors.
- (2) 282—Public Health,  
A—Public Health & Sanitation (Plan & Non-Plan).

The above named officer will also be Controlling Officer in respect of TA bills of Class III and IV employees of the Indira Gandhi Hospital, Shimla.

Dr. V. K. BHARGAVA,  
Director, Medical Education-cum-Principal.

## SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY DEPARTMENT

## NOTIFICATION

Shimla-2, the 7th October, 1985

No. IREP-PD-2(1)/85.—In exercise of the powers vested in me under rule 1.26 of the Himachal Pradesh Financial Rules 1971, Vol. I, I hereby declare the Sub-Divisional Officers (Civil) Badsar (at mehri) District Hamirpur and Bharmour, District Chamba, as Drawing and Disbursing Officers under Head of account "296-Sect. Economic Services (a) (ii) (iv) Integrated Rural Energy Programme. I further declare them as Controlling Officer for the purpose of T.A. etc. in respect of Class III and IV Government Servants of the Integrated Rural Energy Programme deployed in the respective officers under the said head.

Dr. R. C. CHAUHAN,  
Project Director.

## EXCISE AND TAXATION DEPARTMENT

## NOTIFICATION

Shimla-2, the 19th November, 1985

No. 14-27/67-E & T-V.—Whereas it has been reported that the declaration form 'C' (details to be given below) referred to in sub-section (4) of section 8 of the Central Sales Tax Act, 1956 (Act No. 74 of 1956) (hereinafter referred to as the said Act) have been lost/declared invalid, by the dealers/Assessing Authority concerned of this State registered under the said Act on account of the reason(s) stated against therein. Now, therefore, in pursuance of the provisions of sub-rule 10 of rule 6 of the Central Sales Tax (Himachal Pradesh) Rules, 1970 the declaration forms bearing numbers as shown as mentioned below shall be deemed to be obsolete and invalid with effect from the date noted against them :—

| Sl. No.                                | No. of declaration form 'C' which has been declared obsolete / invalid | Name & address of the Assessor         | Name and designation of the Assessor   | Date from which declaration form 'C' is declared invalid | Remarks |
|--|--|--|--|--|---------|
| R. C. No.                              | R. C. No. of the Assessor  | of the Assessor                        | of the Assessor                        | form 'C'   |         |
| date                                   | date   | date                                   | date                                   | date   |         |
| dealer                                 | dealer   | dealer                                 | dealer                                 | dealer   |         |
| to whom declaration form 'C' is issued | to whom declaration form 'C' is issued                                 | to whom declaration form 'C' is issued | to whom declaration form 'C' is issued | to whom declaration form 'C' is issued                   |         |

| 1                           | 2                       | 3          | 4                   | 5       | 6                |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------|------------|---------------------|---------|------------------|
| 1. Q/2 370451 to Q/2 370475 | M/s Auto Traders Shimla | Sant Mandi | Assessing Authority | 23-6-85 | Lost in transit. |



In these regulations unless, there is any thing repugnant in the subject or context: -

- (a) "The Act" means the Electricity (Supply) Act, 1948 (Central Act LIV of 1948);
- (b) "The Board" means the HPSEB established by the Government of H.P. under section 5 of the Act;
- (c) "Agenda" means the list of business proposed to be transacted at a meeting of the Board;
- (d) "Chairman" means the Chairman of the Board appointed under sub-section (5) of section 5 of the Act;
- (e) "Presiding Authority" means the Chairman or in his absence any one elected to preside over any meeting of the Board as provided for in clause 4(1) to these regulations;
- (f) "Meeting" means the meeting of the Board whether ordinary or extra-ordinary;
- (g) "Member" means the member of the Board appointed under sub-section (2) of section 5 of the Act;
- (h) "Secretary" means the secretary of the Board appointed under section 15 of the Act.

2. Other expressions have the meanings respectively assigned to them under the Indian Electricity Act, 1910 (Central Act, LIV 1910) and the Electricity (Supply) Act, 1948 (Central Act LIV of 1948).

3. If any doubt arises as to the interpretation of these regulations the decision of the Board thereon shall be final.

4. (i) The Chairman shall preside over every meeting of the Board and in his absence the members present shall elect the Chairman from amongst themselves for the meeting.

(ii) The Board shall meet at least once a month.

5. (i) Six clear days notice of every meeting shall be given to all Members. The necessity of the notice may be waived, if the date, time and place of the ensuing meeting have already been fixed at the previous meeting, or if the Chairman considers it necessary to hold a meeting for the transaction of any emergent business.

(ii) In the case of a member, who is out of India at the time fixed for the meeting, the notice to such member may be dispensed with.

6. (i) The Chairman or any Member may bring or cause to be brought any new matter, which in his opinion is urgent, before any meeting of the Board with or without notice.

(ii) Papers connected with the items on the agenda shall be made available, if required, at the time of the meeting.

(iii) In case one third of the total number of the members of Board give notice for discussing any matter, the Chairman shall call such a requisitioned meeting within 72 hours of such a notice.

7. (i) The Chairman shall ordinarily approve the agenda for a meeting of the Board except when the meeting is requisitioned under rule (6) (iii) of these Regulations. The Chairman shall approve the fixation of date and time for such meetings. Ordinarily the venue of a meeting shall be the Board's Committee Room unless for special reasons to be recorded in writing by the Chairman and indicated in the Board's meeting, a different venue is fixed by the Board.

(ii) A copy of the agenda with notes if any, shall be circulated to all the members of the Board.

8. (i) Any member may after receipt of notice of a meeting under clause 7(i) but within two days of the date fixed thereof shall intimate the Secretary that the time fixed for the meeting is not suitable and suggest another date thereof.

(ii) The Secretary shall place such communication forthwith for the orders of the Chairman, who may, in consultation with the other members, if possible, fix another suitable date for the meeting.

9. The order in which the business is to be transacted or any item taken up for consideration at any meeting shall be determined by the Presiding Authority.

10. Two-third members shall form a quorum.

11. (i) If at the time fixed for the meeting the number of members present is not equal to the quorum required therefor under clause 10, the Presiding authority shall wait for 20 minutes.

(ii) If at the expiration of the period fixed in clause 11 (i) the number of members present is not equal to the quorum required under clause 10, the presiding authority shall adjourn the meeting.

12. If the Board so resolves, the Presiding authority may at any time adjourn a meeting to any further day or to any hour of the same day and if practicable an intimation in that behalf shall be given to all members, who were not present at the meeting.

13. (i) When a meeting is adjourned to a future date, any item on the Agenda under discussion or remaining to be discussed at the time of such adjournment shall unless the presiding authority directs otherwise have the same precedence with it had in the Agenda immediately, before such adjournment in the business of the adjourned meeting.

(ii) From whole time members/Board the items can be got approved by circulation in which case the approval of all the members of whole Time Members/Board is necessary.

14. The Secretary shall ordinarily be present at all meetings and participate in all discussions as and when required, but shall not vote.

15. An officer of the Government or of the Board, not being a member thereof, may be invited by the Board, to attend any meeting of the Board. Such an officer may take part in the deliberations but shall not be entitled to vote.

16. The Chairman and in his absence a Chairman elected for conducting a meeting of the Board shall be entitled to vote, and in the event of equality of votes, he shall have a casting or second vote.

17. The Chairman or any other Member bring to the notice of the Government any difference of opinion arising between him and other Member in a meeting of the Board. After such a matter is reported, the Chairman/Member in a subsequent meeting, will place the directions/suggestions, if any received for the Government for consideration, and decision by the Board.

18. A decision taken by the majority of the members present shall be deemed to be the decision of the Board.

19. The Chairman shall be responsible for properly carrying out the directions and decisions of the Board. As soon as any decision is taken in the meeting of the Board, he shall get copy of the minutes recorded therein conveyed to the Government. He shall function as a liaison between the Government and the Board in matters arising out of the administration of the Act;

Provided that a member may, with prior intimation to the Chairman, liaise on behalf of the Board, in relation to matters falling in his jurisdiction, with the Government.

20. The decisions shall be recorded and compiled by the Secretary in an appropriate manner, subject to the approval of the Chairman/Presiding Officer, a copy being communicated to the each Member and shall be confirmed by the Board at the subsequent meeting.

21. Repeal and Savings.—H.P. State Electricity Board (Meeting) Regulations, 1972 notified by H. P. S. E. B. letter No. H. P. S. E. B. (Sectt) 70-3/72-6065-80, dated Shimla-4, the 31-1-74 are hereby repealed:

Provided any order/directions made or any thing done or any action taken under any provisions of the Regulations so repealed shall be deemed to have been made, issued, done or taken under the corresponding provisions

of these regulations.

By order of the Board.

Sd/-  
Secretary.

Shimla-4, 1st February, 1992

**No. HPSEB (Sectt.) 301-1/92.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (k) of section 79 of the Electricity (Supply) Act, 1948 (LIV of 1948), the Himachal Pradesh State Electricity Board is pleased to make the following regulations.

1. These regulations may be called Himachal Pradesh State Electricity Board Regulations of Business, 1992 and shall come into force at once.

2. In these regulations, unless there is anything repugnant to the subject or the context.—

(a) 'Act' means the Electricity (Supply) Act, 1948 (LIV of 1948), and shall include any statutory amendment, modification or re-enactment thereof for the time being in force.

(b) 'Government' means the Government of Himachal Pradesh.

(c) 'Board' means the Himachal Pradesh State Electricity Board constituted under section 5 of the Act and shall include its successors and assigns.

(f) 'Chairman' means the Chairman of the Board appointed under sub-section (5) of Section 5 of the Act and includes the officiating Chairman appointed under Section 11 of the Act.

(e) 'Member' means a Member of the Board appointed under sub-section (2) of section 5 of the Act and includes an officiating Member appointed under section 11 of the Act.

(f) 'Wing' denotes the administrative charge of Member and includes the composite administrative set up of various sections of the Board dealing with the particular subject under his charge.

(g) 'Section' means a branch of the administrative set up of the Board's Head Office, dealing with a particular subject.

(h) 'Schedule' means a schedule appended to these regulations.

(ii) Other terms and expressions used in these regulations shall have the meanings respectively assigned to them under the Indian Electricity Act, 1910 (Act IX of 1910) Indian Electricity Rules, 1956 the Electricity (Supply) Act, 1948 (Act LIV of 1948) and the Rules framed there under as amended from time to time.

## PART-I ALLOCATION AND DISPOSAL OF BUSINESS

3. The Secretary of the Board shall be the Chief Executive Officer thereof.

4. (i) Subject to the provision of the regulations No. 10 all cases referred to in the first schedule shall be brought before the Board in accordance with the provision contained in Part-II of these regulations.

(i) All cases referred to in the second schedule may be disposed of collectively by the Whole Time Members of the Board.

(ii) All cases referred to in schedule II may be disposed off by Managing Committee to be notified under these regulations.

5. (i) No wing, without previous consultation with the Finance Wing, shall issue orders other than orders pursuant to general delegation made by the Board which:—

(a) either immediately or by their implications or

consequences, will effect the fund of the Board;

(b) Relate to the number, grading or cadre of posts or the employments or other conditions of service or posts.

(ii) No appropriation shall be made by any wing other than the Finance Wing, except in accordance with such general delegation as the Board may have made.

6. Except to the extent that power may have been delegated to a Wing of the Board, every order of an Administrative Wing conveying sanction to be enforced in audit shall be communicated to the Audit authority by the Department concerned quoting the reference of the Finance Wing under which concurrence was conveyed.

7. All orders or instruments made or executed by or on behalf of the Board shall be expressed to be made or executed in the name of the Board.

8. All orders and decisions of the Board shall be authenticated by the signature of the Chairman or Member (Admn.).

All other instruments issued or executed by or on behalf of the Board shall be authenticated by the signature of the member concerned. C. E. (P&M) or Secretary/Additional Secretary and such other officers as may be specially empowered by the Board in this behalf. The orders or decisions of the Board after due authentication by the Chairman/Member (Admn.) as above may be communicated by the C. E. (P&M) Secretary/Additional Secretary such other officer as may be empowered by the Board on this behalf.

## PART-II

### PROCEDURE OF THE BOARD

9. The Secretary will put up cases in the meeting of the Board.

10. All cases referred to in the first schedule shall be submitted to the Chairman after consideration by the Member-in-charge with a view to obtaining his concurrence for circulation of the case under Regulation 11 or for bringing it up for consideration at a meeting of the Board.

11. The Chairman may direct that any case referred to in the first schedule may instead of being brought up for discussion at a meeting of the Board be circulated to all the Members for opinion and if the Chairman thinks that discussion at a meeting of the Board is not necessary, the case shall be decided in accordance with the opinion of majority without such discussion. If, however, the Chairman/Members feel that a discussion at a meeting is necessary the case shall be discussed in the meeting of the Board and decided finally at such meeting.

12. Cases laid before the Board shall, unless the Chairman otherwise directs, be accompanied by a Memorandum indicating, with sufficient precision the salient facts and the points for decision in the case. The Memorandum and relevant papers necessary to dispose of the case shall be circulated to the members.

13. The meeting of the Board will be held in accordance with the provisions of the Himachal Pradesh State Electricity Board (Conduct of Meetings) Regulation, 1992,

14. (i) When a case has been decided by the Board after discussion at meeting the Secretary shall take action to give effect to the decisions.

(ii) The decision of the Board shall be recorded and caused to be compiled by the Secretary in an appropriate manner. A copy of such decision shall be communicated to each member, and shall be confirmed by the Board at a subsequent meeting.

## PART-III

DEPARTMENTAL DISPOSAL OF BUSINESS  
GENERAL

15. Except as otherwise provided, cases shall ordinarily be disposed of by or under the authority of the Member-in-charge of the Wing who may, by means of standing orders, give such direction as he may deem fit for the disposal of cases in this Wing.

16. Each such member shall by means of standing orders arrange what cases or classes of cases are to be brought to his personal notice.

17. When the subject of a case concerns more than one Wing, no order shall be issued nor shall the case be laid before the Board until it has been consented by the Wings concerned except when the case is considered to be one of extreme urgency by the Member-in-charge.

18. All communications received from the State Government/Government of India (including those from the Governor, the Chief Minister and other Ministers of the State, Centre) other than those of a routine or not intrinsically important character, shall as soon as possible after receipt, be submitted by the C. E. (P&M)/Secretary to the Member-in-charge or the Chairman for information. Such communications may also be circulated amongst other members of the Board for information under the orders of the Chairman Member-in-charge.

19. Any matter likely to bring the Board into controversy with the Government, shall as soon as the possibility of such controversy is envisaged, be brought to the notice of the Members of the Board by Secretary/C.E. (P&M).

## B-FINANCE

20. The Finance Wing shall be consulted prior to the issuance of orders relating to proposals that affect the funds of the Board and in particular,

- (a) Subject to financial delegation made in favour of the Chief Executive Officer and other officers of the Board. Proposals to add or abolish any post from the Board's service or to vary the emoluments thereof;
- (b) Proposals to sanction an allowance or special or personal pay for any post or class of posts if any post or class of post to any servant of the Board;
- (c) Proposals involving abandonment of revenue or involving an expenditure for which no provision has been made in the budget.

21. The views of the Finance shall be brought on the permanent record of the section to which the case belongs and shall form part of the case.

22. The Finance Wing may prescribe cases in which its assent may be presumed to have been given.

23. The Finance Wing may issue instructions governing the financial procedure, in general, in all wings of the Board and may regulate its working and dealings with other Wings of the Board, as may be deemed proper.

## C-LEGAL

24. Every Wing of the Board shall consult Law Cell, whenever it is proposed to—

- (i) issue a statutory regulation, notification or order;
- (ii) sanction under a statutory power the issue of any regulation, by Law notification or order by a subordinate authority ; or
- (iii) submit to Government any draft statutory regulation, notification or order for issue by them the draft shall be referred to the Law section for opinion and advice.

25. (i) All sections shall consult the Legal Section :—

- (a) the construction of statutaries, Acts, Regulation, statutory orders and notifications etc. etc.,
- (b) any general legal principles arising out of any case, and
- (c) the institution or withdrawal of any prosecution or other legal/quasi-legal proceedings including arbitration proceedings, engagement of counsels their fees etc. etc.,
- (d) all documents having legal implications except routine matters, may only be excepted after legal scrutiny.

(ii) all such references shall be accompanied by an accurate statement of the facts of the case and the point or points on which the advice of the legal section is desired.

## PART-IV SUPPLEMENTARY

26. The Secretary shall be responsible for the careful observance of these Regulations and when he considers that there has been any material departure or deviation from them, shall personally bring the matter to the notice of the Chairman/Member (Admn.).

27. Repeal and Savings: Himachal Pradesh State Electricity Board Regulations of Business, 1974 notified vide HPSEB letter No. HPSEB/70-3/72-41574-600, dated 10-7-1974 are hereby repealed :

Provided any order/directions made or anything done or any action taken under any provisions of the Regulations so repealed shall be deemed to have been made, issued, done or taken under the corresponding provisions of these regulations.

Encl: Schedule I, II & III.

By order of the Board,  
Sd/-  
Secretary.

Himachal Pradesh State Electricity Board,  
Vidya Bhawan, Shimla-4.

## 1st Schedule:

A. The following classes of cases shall be referred to the Board for decision :—

1. Annual financial statement and demand for supplementing additional and excess grants.
2. Unbudgeted expenditure required to be expended by the Board.
3. Consideration of the annual audit report, on the accounts of Board by the Comptroller and Auditor-General of India or his representative.
4. Consideration of the annual administration report required to be submitted to the State Government.
5. Proposals relating to Regulations to be made by the Board under section 79 *ibid* including any proposals or amendment of such regulations.
6. Recommendations to the State Government regarding any draft, rules or amendment thereto required to be made by the State Government.
7. Any dispute arising between the Board and the State Government in respect of any direction given by the State Government.
8. Loans, Borrowings, Investments and Reserves.
9. Revision of tariffs and other charges prescribed for different classes of consumers.
10. Consideration and approval of planning Schemes.
11. Sanction of Project Estimates.
12. Sanction of Budget Estimates.
13. Grant of loans and advances to licensees.

14. Exercise of option to purchase undertaking of licensees.
15. Delegation and modification in the financial powers of the officers of the Board.
16. (a) All important audit objections and inspection reports etc., involving loss/recovery of Rs.25,000/- and above, in which conduct of officers is involved and responsibility for lapses/losses is to be determined.
- (b) 'Cases' involving write off less (where no responsibility can be fixed), exceeding Rs. 50,000/-.
17. Classification of services and pay scales.
18. Proposals for the creation of all posts.
19. Recruitment and Promotion Rules of Class-I officers.
20. Appointment of consulting engineers.
21. Grant of Bonus/Ex-gratia to the employees of the Board.

B. The following cases of all Class-I shall be submitted to the Board for orders:-

1. Appeals against the decisions of Whole Time Members of the Board in respect of punishment under the provisions of F.R.56(j).
2. Re-employment of retired personnel.
3. Extending the age of superannuation and fixing the minimum age for first entry into service.
4. Acceptance of alteration in the date of birth in respect of Class-I Officers.

#### 2nd Schedule (Whole Time Members):

1. Continuance of all temporary posts and deletion thereof.
2. Allowing special changes to officer to pass departmental accounts examination.
3. Re-imbursement of medical claims in relaxation of rules.
4. Grant of Honorarium not covered by the policy laid down by the Board.
5. Proposals other than those covered by first schedule, which require consultation with Finance and to which Finance does not concur.
6. Proposals for the retirement or retention of all gazetted officers on attaining the age of 55 years.
7. Consideration of Demands of the Union/Association of the staff.
8. Grant of special/additional pay after the concurrence of the Finance.
9. Cases with-holding of increments and with-holding of crossing of promotional increment in respect of Class-I & II officers.
10. Acceptance of resignation of Class-I&II officers.
11. Cases of appointment/promotions/confirmation of Class-I & II.
12. Cases involving write off loss where no responsibility can be fixed exceeding Rs. 5,000/- to Rs. 50,000/-.
13. Remission of revenue beyond the limit of delegations Chief Engineers and Chief Accounts Officer.
14. Transfer of Superintending Engineers & equivalent ranks and above.
15. Cases relating to the purchase of stores.
16. Estimates (a) having no project provision or inadequate project provision although chargeable to sanctioned projects.  
(b) chargeable to project/scheme which is yet to be sanctioned by the competent authority.
17. Sanctioning of estimates of investigations of Hydro Electric Project.
18. Regarding degrading/upgrading the posts of officers.
19. Regarding honorarium to the staff regular/ work-charged as recommended by the various

- field officers and Members/Chairman.
20. Regarding sanction to incur expenditure on different tournaments conducted by the Board.
21. Sanction of estimates regarding renovation of various plants/project under the Board upto Rs.1,00,000/-.
22. Grant of study leave.
23. Selection and appointment of officers to represent the Board on various committees set up by the state or Central Government where any financial commitment on behalf of the Board involved.
24. Imposition of cut in pension or gratuity due to Government/Board servants under the rules/ regulations.
25. Proposals for retirement of Class-I officers in accordance with the provisions of FR56- (1) and their compulsory retirements.
26. Any other item not appearing in Schedule-I and III except in those cases where specific delegations have been made from time to time in the past.

#### Schedule-III:

#### LIST OF CASE TO BE PUT-UP TO THE MANAGING COMMITTEE TO BE NOTIFIED SEPARATELY UNDER THE REGULATIONS

1. Purchase of drawing, stationery exceeding Rs. 5000 upto Rs. 30,000/-.
2. Purchase of office stationery exceeding Rs.1000/- upto Rs.15000/-.
3. To sanction expenditure on account of printing works executed at private press above Rs. 1500/- upto Rs. 5000/-.
4. To sanction furniture above the yardstick.
5. Purchase of material against Single quotation.
6. Contribution to associations or societies upto Rs.8000/-.
7. Case to write off material whose cost does not exceed Rs. 5000/-.
8. Board's guests whose expenditure does not exceed Rs. 5000/-.
9. Hiring of office accommodation not within the frame works of rule and regulations.
10. Cases of natural calamity animals and human being etc.
11. Printing of periodically calendar for the office upto Rs. 4000/- P.A.
12. Regarding authorisation of operation bank accounts of the officers of Accounts Wing.
13. Regarding purchase of land for construction of building for office staff and sub-station upto Rs. 50,000/-.
14. Regarding carriage of store materials which does not fall in the delegation of Financial Powers upto Rs.50,000/-.
15. Regarding refreshment charges upto Rs. 500/-.
16. Regarding purchase of jeeps/cars hiring of tractors, vehicles upto Rs. 30,000/-.
17. Regarding special repairs of damaged lines due to rainy seasons upto Rs. 15000/-.
18. Regarding overhauling of different types of equipments covered in the existing delegation of Financial Powers.
19. Regarding transfer of land from other Deptt., to the HPSEB for the Const. of Board's properties.
20. Regarding shifting of headquarters/attachment of Circles/Divisions/Sub-Divisions etc.
21. Regarding purchase of Store materials cable Fabrications, Erection for the use on Board's work upto Rs. 50,000/-.
22. Regarding handling of measurement books by the different staff of the Board.
23. Regarding payment of taxes to the other Government for transportation of Goods required on different projects.
24. Regarding fire accidents case upto Rs. 5000/-.
25. Regarding honorarium on account of checking of departmental papers upto Rs.100/- in each case.

व प्रदालत सीनियर सब-जज महोदय, कुल्लू (हि० प्र०)

मुकदमा दीवानी नं० 106/91

बृद्ध राम पुर मोती राम, निवासी ढालपुर, तहसील व जिला कुल्लू  
(हि० प्र०) ।

वानाम

विक्रम सिंह बूरिया बन्हु पोस्ट अफिस कुल्लू, हाल कल्की पोस्ट  
आकिन देहरा, जिला कांगड़ा (हि० प्र०) प्रतिवादी।

मुकदमा वसूली नं० 1750/-

उपरोक्त उनवान मुकदमा में प्रतिवादी को कई बार समन भेजे गए परतु बिना तामील वापिस आए हैं। अब अदालत हजा को पूर्ण विश्वास हो चुका है कि प्रतिवादी की तामील साधारण तौर पर होनी मुश्किल है। अतः आर्डर 5, रुल 20, सी० पो० सी० ३० ढारा सूचित किया जाता है तिथि 21-5-92 को सुबह 10.00 बजे इस व्यायालय में असालतन या वकालतन हाजिर हो कर मुकदमा की पैकी करें, अन्यथा एक पक्षीय कार्यवाही अमल में लाई जावेगी।

मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी हुआ।

मोहर।

हस्ताक्षरित/-  
सीनियर सब-जज,  
कुल्लू, जिला कुल्लू (हि० प्र०)।In the Court of Shri J. L. Gupta, Senior Sub-Judge,  
Sirmaur District at Nahan, Himachal Pradesh

Case No. 8/2 of 91.

Pending for 22-5-1992

Shri Nathu Ram son of Mania Ram son of Jawala, resident of village Bagna, Tehsil Shillai, District Sirmour Himachal Pradesh ... Petitioner.

Versus

1. Smt. Sandhu alias Vidya daughter of Mania presently wife of Shri Madhi Ram, resident of Bariar in Bandi Panchayat, Tehsil Shillai, District Sirmour Himachal Pradesh.

2. Shrimati Chuni daughter of Shri Mania presently wife of Shri Dhana Ram, resident of Village Jahanakondan, Tehsil Shillai, District Sirmour, Himachal Pradesh.

3. General public ... Respondents.

Application under section 372 of the Indian succession Act for the grant of succession Certificate.

Whereas in the above noted case, petitioner has prayed for the grant of succession certificate in respect of F. D. of Rs 25,000/- bearing No. AF/07 333144 29/87 of late Shri Mania son of Jawala, resident of village Bagna, Tehsil Shillai who died on 2-6-1988. Petitioner Shri Nathu Ram, is the son of late Shri Mania son of Jawala r/o Village Bagna, Tehsil Shillai. The said F. D. is lying in UCO Bank Branch, Shillai, District Sirmour, Himachal Pradesh.

Hence this proclamation is hereby issued to the above named respondents of illaqua to file objections, if any to the grant of such succession certificate in this Court on 22-5-92 at 10.00 A. M. personally or through an authorised agent/pleader, failing which the petition will be heard and disposed of *ex parte*.

Given under my head and the seal of the Court this 7th day of April, 1992.

Seal.

J. L. GUPTA.  
Senior Sub-Judge,  
Nahan (H. P.).

PROCLAMATION UNDER ORDER 5, RULE 20, C.P.C.

In the Court of Shri K. L. Sharma, Sub-Judge, 1st Class(I),  
Palampur, (H.P.)

Succession case No. 2/92

Date of hearing 2-6-92

1. Ajit Saluja son of Shri Krishan Lal Saluja.
2. Kumari Seema Saluja d/o Shri Krishan Lal Saluja, resident of Ward No. 6, Palampur ... Petitioner.

Vs.

The general public ... Respondent.

To

The General Public.

Whereas in the above noted petition, the petitioner has filed an application in this court under section 372 of the Indian Succession Act for the grant of Succession Certificate in respect of the assets of late Shri Krishan Lal Saluja son of Shri Hari Chand r/o Palampur died on 11-7-1990.

Hence, this proclamation is hereby issued to the General Public of the illaqua and the kith and kins of the deceased to file objections if any to the grant of such Certificate in this court on 2-6-1992 at 10.00 A.M. personally or through an authorised agent or pleader, failing which the petition will be heard and disposed of *ex parte*.

Given under my hand and seal of the court this the 1st day of April, 1992.

Seal.

K. L. SHARMA,  
Sub-Judge 1st Class (I),  
Palampur (H.P.).

PROCLAMATION UNDER ORDER 5, RULE 20, C.P.C.

In the Court of Shri K. L. Sharma, Sub-Judge 1st Class (I), Palampur, (H.P.)

Succession Act case No. 1/92

Date of hearing 5-6-1992

Maya Devi d/o Shri Bhupi, resident of Sansal, Tehsil Baijnath, District Kangra, (H.P.) ... Petitioner.

Vs.

General public and others ... Respondents.

To

The general public.

Whereas in the above noted petition, the petitioner has filed an application in this court under section 372 of the Indian Succession Act for the grant of Succession Certificate in respect of the assets of late Shri Bhupi Ram son of Hans Raj who died on 22-10-87 at Sansal, Tehsil Baijnath, District Kangra.

Hence, this proclamation is hereby issued to the General Public of the illaqua and the kith and kins of the deceased to file objections if any to the grant of such Succession Certificate in this court on 5-6-1992 at 10.00 A.M. personally or through an authorised agent or pleader, failing which the petition will be heard and disposed of *ex parte*.

Given under my hand and seal of the court this the 1st day of April, 1992.

Seal.

K. L. SHARMA,  
Sub-Judge 1st Class (I),  
Palampur (H.P.).

ब अदालत उप-मण्डलधिकारी न्यायाधीश, देहरा, जिला कांगड़ा  
हिमाचल प्रदेश

ब मुकदमा :

अशोक कुमार

बनाम

समस्त जनता

प्रार्थी ।

प्रत्यार्थी ।

दरबारान्त जेर धारा 13(3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु अधिनियम, 1969.

नोटिस बनाम समस्त जनता :

श्री अशोक कुमार शर्मा सुपुत्र श्री प्रेम चन्द, निवासी प्राग्पुर, तहसील देहरा ने इस अदालत में दरबारान्त दी है कि उसके सुपुत्र अंकित शर्मा का जन्म पंचायत रेजिस्टर में गलती से दर्ज न कराया गया है प्रब दर्ज किया जाए। उसके सुपुत्र की जन्म तिथि 3-12-1991 है तथा बच्चे का जन्म गांव प्राग्पुर, तहसील देहरा, जिला कांगड़ा में हुआ था।

अतः इस नोटिस द्वारा समस्त जनता तथा सम्बन्धित रिसेदारों को सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी को इस का नाम दर्ज करने वारे कोई आपत्ति/उत्तर हो तो वह दिनांक 30-5-1992 समय 10 बजे प्रातः स्वर्वं अवधा किसी बकील के माध्यम से हमारे समक्ष अदालत में हाजिर आकर पेश करें अन्वया एकतरफा कार्यवाही अमल में लाई जावेगी।

आज दिनांक 8-4-1992 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी हुआ।

मोहर ।

हस्ताक्षरत/-  
उप-मण्डलाधिकारी न्यायाधीश, देहरा,  
जिला कांगड़ा (हि० प्र०) ।

इश्तहार

ब अदालत श्री मनोज कुमार (भा० प्र० स०), समाहर्ता, उप-मण्डल घुमारवीं, जिला बिलासपुर (हि० प्र०)

मितल नम्बर तारीख रजुआ तारीख पेशी माम  
108/2 अक्टूबर/91 28-10-81 25-5-92 ढटोह

कृष्ण चन्द पुत्र देवी राम वर्मा, ग्राम ढटोह, परगना तियून, तहसील घुमारवीं, जिला बिलासपुर (हि० प्र०) ।

बनाम

जान चन्द पुत्र वर्मा राम, ग्राम ढटोह, परगना तियून, तहसील घुमारवीं, जिला बिलासपुर (हि० प्र०) ।

अपील तकसीम

हाजारह उपरोक्त अपील तकसीम में प्रत्यार्थी जान चन्द पुत्र वर्मा राम, ग्राम ढटोह, परगना तियून, तहसील घुमारवीं, जिला बिलासपुर के नाम पर कई बार समन/नोटिस जारी किए गए मगर उसकी तापील असालतन न हो सकी। अदालत को यही। ही बुका है कि प्रत्यार्थी श्री जान चन्द की तापील असालतन नहीं हो सकी। अतः उपरोक्त प्रत्यार्थी को बजरिया इश्तहार राजपत्र, हिमाचल प्रदेश द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि आगर आपको उपरोक्त अपील तकसीम किसी किसी कारण के उत्तर/एतराज हो तो दिनांक 25-5-92 को असालतन व वालालतन इस कार्यालय में सुबह 10.00 बजे हाजिर आवें और अपने उत्तर पेश करे अन्यथा दीपर कार्यवाही अमल में लाई जावेगी।

आज दिनांक 28-4-92 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी किया गया।

मोहर ।

मनोज कुमार,  
समाहर्ता, उप-मण्डल घुमारवीं,  
जिला बिलासपुर (हि० प्र०) ।

ब अदालत श्री राजीव कुमार शंकर, उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, पांचटा माहिब, जिला सिरमौर, हिमाचल प्रदेश

ब मुकदमा :

श्री राज कुमार सुपुत्र श्री हरी सिंह, निवासी वार्ड नं० 10, पांचटा ।

बनाम

आम जनता

दरबारान्त जेर धारा 13(3) पंजीकरण जन्म व मृत्यु ।

उपरोक्त मुकदमा उनवान बाला में प्रार्थी श्री राज कुमार सुपुत्र श्री हरी सिंह, निवासी वार्ड नं० 10, पांचटा ने इस कार्यालय में गुजारिश की है कि उसकी पुत्री विजयलेखा का जन्म दिनांक 22-2-1988 को हुआ है लेकिन उसकी जन्म तिथि नगरपालिका रिकार्ड में पंजीकृत नहीं हुई है।

अतः आम जनता को बजरिया राजपत्र सूचित किया जाना है कि अगर उपरोक्त पंजीकरण के बारा किसी को कोई उत्तर व एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 25-5-1992 को असालतन व वालालतन इस कार्यालय में सुबह 10 बजे हाजिर आवें और अपने उत्तर पेश करे अन्यथा दीपर कार्यवाही अमल में लाई जावेगी।

आज दिनांक 8-4-1992 को द्वारा हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी हुआ।

मोहर ।

राजीव कुमार शंकर,  
उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, पांचटा माहिब।

ब अदालत श्री राजीव कुमार शंकर, उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, पांचटा माहिब, जिला सिरमौर, हिमाचल प्रदेश

ब मुकदमा :

श्रीमती हरवन्स कीर पत्नी परमजीत सिंह, निवासी आम देवीनगर।

बनाम

आम जनता

दरबारान्त जेर धारा 13(3) पंजीकरण जन्म व मृत्यु ।

उपरोक्त मुकदमा उनवान बाला में प्रार्थी श्रीमती हरवन्स कीर पत्नी परमजीत सिंह, निवासी देवीनगर ने इस कार्यालय में गुजारिश की है कि उसके पुत्रों राजेन्द्र सिंह व गुरदीप सिंह का जन्म क्रमशः दिनांक 20-6-1967 व 26-3-1991 को हुआ है लेकिन उनकी जन्म तिथियाँ आम पंचायत/नगरपालिका रिकार्ड में पंजीकृत नहीं हुई हैं।

अतः आम जनता को बजरिया राजपत्र सूचित किया जाता है कि अगर उपरोक्त पंजीकरण के बारा किसी को कोई उत्तर व एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 25-5-92 को असालतन व वालालतन इस कार्यालय में सुबह 10.00 बजे हाजिर आवें और अपने उत्तर पेश करे अन्यथा दीपर कार्यवाही अमल में लाई जावेगी।

आज दिनांक 9-4-1992 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी हुआ।

मोहर ।

राजीव कुमार शंकर,  
उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, पांचटा माहिब।

ब मुकदमा :

श्री देवा सिंह पत्र माया ग्राम, निवासी ग्राम निवार, तहनील निवार, जिला किन्नौर, हिमाचल प्रदेश

ब मुकदमा :

श्री देवा सिंह पत्र माया ग्राम, निवासी ग्राम निवार, तहनील निवार, जिला किन्नौर, हिमाचल प्रदेश।

## बनाम

## आम जनता

दरक्षास्त जेर धारा 13(3) पंजीकरण जन्म व मृत्यु प्राधिनियम

उपरोक्त मुकदमा उनवान बाला में प्रार्थी श्री देवा मिह पुत्र श्री माया गम ने इस अदालत में गुजारिश की है कि उसके पुत्र भास्टर दीपक का जन्म दिनांक 11-12-89 को हुआ है लेकिन उसकी जन्म स्थिति पंचायत रिकार्ड में पंजीकृत नहीं हुई है।

अतः आम जनता को बतायिया इष्टहार राजपत्र मूल्यत किया जाता है कि अगर उपरोक्त पंजीकरण के बारे किसी को हुई उजर व एन्टराज हो तो दिनांक 27-5-92 को अमालतन या बकालतन इस अदालत में मुक्त 10 बजे हाजिर आवेदन अपने उजर पेश करें अन्यथा दीपक कायेवाही अमल में लाई जावेगी।

आज दिनांक 25-3-92 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी हुआ।

मोहर।

जी० एस० कायथ,  
उप-मण्डल दण्डाधिकारी,  
निचार स्थित भावानगर, जिला किन्नौर।

ब अदालत श्री जी० एस० कायथ, उप-मण्डल दण्डाधिकारी, निचार स्थित भावानगर, जिला किन्नौर, हिमाचल प्रदेश

ब मुकदमा :

श्री नर बहादुर पुत्र श्री दल बहादुर, निवासी आम बासी, तहसील बासी, जिला बायंत्रम नेपाल हाल भावानगर मुंगरा, तहसील निचार, जिला किन्नौर।

## बनाम

## आम जनता

दरक्षास्त जेर धारा 13(3) पंजीकरण जन्म व मृत्यु।

उपरोक्त मुकदमा उनवान बाला में प्रार्थी श्री नर बहादुर ने इस अदालत में गुजारिश की है कि उसके पुत्र राम कुमार का जन्म दिनांक 5-3-85 को हुआ है लेकिन उस की जन्म स्थिति पंचायत रिकार्ड में पंजीकृत नहीं हुई है।

अतः आम जनता को बतायिया इष्टहार राजपत्र मूल्यत किया जाता है कि अगर उपरोक्त पंजीकरण के बारे किसी को हुई उजर व एन्टराज हो तो वह दिनांक 25-5-92 को अमालतन या बकालतन इस अदालत में मुक्त 10 बजे हाजिर आवेदन अपने उजर पेश करें अन्यथा दीपक कायेवाही अमल में लाई जावेगी।

आज दिनांक 25-3-92 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी हुआ।

मोहर।

जी० एस० कायथ,  
उप-मण्डल दण्डाधिकारी,  
निचार स्थित भावानगर, जिला किन्नौर।

ब अदालत श्री जी० एस० कायथ, उप-मण्डल दण्डाधिकारी, निचार स्थित भावानगर, जिला किन्नौर, हिमाचल प्रदेश

ब मुकदमा :

श्री दल बहादुर पुत्र श्री दल बहादुर, निवासी आम बासी, तहसील देवक, जिला देवक, नेपाल हाल भावानगर, मुंगरा, जिला किन्नौर, हि० प्र०।

## बनाम

## आम जनता

दरक्षास्त जेर धारा 13(3) पंजीकरण जन्म व मृत्यु।

उपरोक्त मुकदमा उनवान बाला में प्रार्थी श्री दिल अहादुर सुपुत्र खड़क मिह न इस अदालत में गुजारिश की है कि उस की पूरी गंधा का जन्म दिनांक 28-2-86 को हुआ है लेकिन उसकी जन्म स्थिति पंचायत रिकार्ड में पंजीकृत नहीं हुई है।

अतः आम जनता को बतायिया इष्टहार राजपत्र मूल्यत किया जाता है कि अगर उपरोक्त पंजीकरण के बारे विसी को हुई उजर व एन्टराज हो तो वह दिनांक 25-5-92 को अमालतन या बकालतन इस अदालत में मुक्त 10.00 बजे हाजिर आवेदन अपने उजर पेश करें अन्यथा दीपक कायेवाही अमल में लाई जावेगी।

आज दिनांक 25-3-92 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी हुआ।

मोहर।

जी० एस० कायथ,  
उप-मण्डल दण्डाधिकारी, निचार,  
स्थित भावानगर, जिला किन्नौर, हि० प्र०।

ब अदालत श्री जी० एस० कायथ, उप-मण्डल दण्डाधिकारी, निचार, स्थित भावानगर, जिला किन्नौर, हिमाचल प्रदेश

ब मुकदमा :

श्री मान मिह पुत्र श्री कार्डनद, निवासी आम भावानगर, तहसील निचार, जिला किन्नौर, हि० प्र०।

## बनाम

## आम जनता

दरक्षास्त जेर धारा 13(3) पंजीकरण जन्म व मृत्यु।

उपरोक्त मुकदमा उनवान बाला में प्रार्थी श्री मान मिह ने इस अदालत में गुजारिश की है कि उस की पूरी गंधा अनमाया व रम माया का जन्म दिनांक 21-12-85 व 19-10-86 को हुआ है लेकिन उस की जन्म स्थिति पंचायत रिकार्ड में पंजीकृत नहीं हुई है।

अतः आम जनता को बतायिया इष्टहार राजपत्र मूल्यत किया जाता है कि अगर उपरोक्त पंजीकरण के बारे विसी को हुई उजर व एन्टराज हो तो वह दिनांक 25-5-92 को अमालतन या बकालतन इस अदालत में मुक्त 10 बजे हाजिर आवेदन अपने उजर पेश करें अन्यथा दीपक कायेवाही अमल में लाई जावेगी।

आज दिनांक 25-3-92 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी हुआ।

मोहर।

जी० एस० कायथ,  
उप-मण्डल दण्डाधिकारी,  
निचार स्थित भावानगर, जिला किन्नौर, हि० प्र०।

ब अदालत श्री जी० एस० कायथ, उप-मण्डल दण्डाधिकारी, निचार, स्थित भावानगर, जिला किन्नौर, हिमाचल प्रदेश

ब मुकदमा :

श्री संनम नामा पुत्र जानजीत नामा, निवासी आम चूगजे, तहसील चूगजे, जिला भिन्धा पाल चूगजे, हाल भावानगर सूर्यगंगा, तहसील निचार, जिला किन्नौर।

बनाम

आम जनता

दरखास्त जेर धारा 13(3) पंजीकरण जन्म व मृत्यु।

उपरोक्त मुकाबला उनवान बासा में प्रार्थी श्री संगम लामा पुत्र नालजीन लामा ने इस अदालत में गुणांशक की है कि उस की पुढ़ी अन्य छोलगा का जन्म दिनांक 10-8-86 को हुआ है लेकिन उस की जन्म तिथि पंचायत रिकार्ड में पंजीकृत नहीं हुई है।

अन: आग जनता को वरिया इष्टहार राष्ट्रात्म सूचित किया जाता है कि कुमार उपरोक्त पंजीकरण के बारे किसी को कोई उजर व गंतराज हो ता। बहु (दिनांक 25-5-92 का) अदालतन या बालालत हम अदालत में सुबह 10:00 बजे हार्दिक आवेदन प्राप्त उजर देने के अन्यथा दोषर कार्यालयी अमल में लाई जायेगा।

आज दिनांक 25-3-92 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत दे जाएगी हुआ।

जी ० एन० वायप्प,

उप-मण्डल दण्डाधिकारी,

निचारस्थ भावानगर, जिला शिमला (ग्रामीण)।

मोहर।

व अदालत श्री आर० एन० वत्ता, उप-मण्डलाधिकारी (ग्रा०), शिमला, जिला शिमला, हिमाचल प्रदेश

श्री दीलत राम पुत्र श्री हरी सिंह, निवासी कोटी-धार, तहसील व जिला शिमला, हिमाचल प्रदेश।

बनाम

आम जनता

दरखास्त जेर धारा 13(3) जन्म व मृत्यु रजिस्ट्रीकरण एक्ट, 1969.

श्री दीलत राम पुत्र श्री हरी सिंह, निवासी कोटी-धार, तहसील व जिला शिमला, हिमाचल प्रदेश ने इस न्यायालय में उपरोक्त एक्ट के अन्तर्गत अपने बच्चों लक्ष्मी देवी, अनुराधा देवी व केदार नाथ की जन्म तिथि दर्ज करवाने वारे प्रार्थना-पत्र गुजारा है। श्री दीलत राम उपरोक्त के अनुगार उनकी पुढ़ी लक्ष्मी देवी की जन्म तिथि 18-2-1979, अनुराधा देवी की जन्म तिथि 9-10-1984 व केदार नाथ की जन्म तिथि 13-9-1986 है।

अतः सर्व नाथारण को इस इष्टहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि जिनको भी उक्त तिथि के दर्ज करवाने वारे किसी भी प्रकार का गक हो तो वे इस न्यायालय में मिति 25-5-92 तक अपने आरोप पेश कर सकते हैं। यदि कोई भी आरोप उक्त तिथि तक इस न्यायालय में प्राप्त नहीं हुआ तो ऐसा माना जायेगा कि श्री दीलत राम के बच्चों की जन्म तिथि मही है और यह तिथि पंचायत रिकार्ड में दर्ज की जाएगी।

१ आज दिनांक 23-4-92 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी किया गया।

मोहर।

आर० एन० वत्ता,  
उप-मण्डलाधिकारी, शिमला,  
सुनी उप-मण्डल, शिमला (ग्रामीण)।

व अदालत श्री आर० एन० वत्ता, उप-मण्डलाधिकारी (ग्रा०), शिमला, जिला शिमला, हिमाचल प्रदेश

श्री गुणील कुमार पुत्र श्री जीवन राम, निवासी मीरा म जडाई (बड़हरो), नहसील व जिला शिमला, हिमाचल प्रदेश ने उपरोक्त एक्ट के अन्तर्गत अपने बच्चों कुमारी बीना, श्री संजय कुमार व श्री रमेश कुमार की जन्म तिथि दर्ज करवाने वारे प्रार्थना-पत्र गुजारा है। श्री गुणील कुमार उपरोक्त के अनुगार उनके बच्चों कुमारी बीना की जन्म तिथि 4-7-1986 व संजय कुमार की जन्म तिथि 18-11-1988 व रामेश कुमार की जन्म तिथि 24-8-1991 है।

बनाम

आम जनता

दरखास्त जेर धारा 13(3) जन्म व मृत्यु रजिस्ट्रीकरण एक्ट, 1969.

श्री गुणील कुमार पुत्र श्री जीवन राम, निवासी मीरा म जडाई (बड़हरो), नहसील व जिला शिमला, हिमाचल प्रदेश ने उपरोक्त एक्ट के अन्तर्गत अपने बच्चों कुमारी बीना, श्री संजय कुमार व श्री रमेश कुमार की जन्म तिथि दर्ज करवाने वारे प्रार्थना-पत्र गुजारा है। श्री गुणील कुमार उपरोक्त के अनुगार उनके बच्चों कुमारी बीना की जन्म तिथि 4-7-1986 व संजय कुमार की जन्म तिथि 24-8-1991 है।

अतः सर्वसाधारण को इस इष्टहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि जिनको भी उक्त ५८ तिथि दर्ज करने वारे किसी भी प्रकार का गक हो तो वे इस न्यायालय में दिनांक 25-5-92 तक अपने आरोप पेश कर सकते हैं। यदि कोई भी आरोप उक्त तिथि तक इस न्यायालय में प्राप्त नहीं हुआ तो ऐसा माना जाएगा कि श्री गुणील कुमार के बच्चों की जन्म तिथि सही है और यह तिथि पंचायत रिकार्ड में दर्ज की जाएगी।

आज दिनांक 24-4-92 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत में जारी किया गया।

मोहर।

आर० एन० वत्ता,

उप-मण्डल दण्डाधिकारी शिमला,  
सुनी, उप-मण्डल शिमला (ग्रामीण)।

व अदालत श्री आर० एन० वत्ता, उप-मण्डलाधिकारी (ग्रा०), शिमला जिला शिमला, हिमाचल प्रदेश

श्री बोदू राम पुत्र श्री मूल चन्द, निवासी ढैडा, नहसील व जिला शिमला, हिमाचल प्रदेश।

बनाम

आम जनता

दरखास्त जेर धारा 13(3) जन्म व मृत्यु रजिस्ट्रीकरण एक्ट, 1969.

श्री बोदू राम पुत्र श्री मूल चन्द निवासी ढैडा, नहसील व जिला शिमला, हिमाचल प्रदेश ने इस न्यायालय में उपरोक्त एक्ट के अन्तर्गत अपने बच्चों मतोप कुमारी, पूर्ण चन्द व मेत्रा देवी की जन्म तिथि दर्ज करवाने वारे प्रार्थना-पत्र गुजारा है। श्री बोदू राम उपरोक्त के अनुगार उनकी पुढ़ी लक्ष्मी देवी की जन्म तिथि 18-2-1979, अनुराधा देवी की जन्म तिथि 9-10-1984 व मेत्रा देवी की जन्म तिथि 4-11-1985 है।

अतः सर्वसाधारण को इस इष्टहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि जिनको भी उक्त जन्मतिथि दर्ज करने वारे किसी भी प्रकार का गक हो तो वे इस न्यायालय में मिति 25-5-92 तक अपने आरोप पेश कर सकते हैं। यदि कोई भी आरोप उक्त तिथि तक इस न्यायालय में प्राप्त नहीं हुआ तो ऐसा माना जाएगा कि श्री बोदू राम के बच्चों की जन्म तिथि सही है और यह तिथि पंचायत रिकार्ड में दर्ज की जाएगी।

मोहर।

आर० एन० वत्ता,

उप-मण्डलाधिकारी, शिमला,  
सुनी उप-मण्डल, शिमला (ग्रामीण)।

व अदालत श्री आर० एन० वत्ता, उप-मण्डलाधिकारी (ग्रामीण), शिमला, जिला शिमला, हिमाचल प्रदेश

बनाम

आम जनता

दरखास्त जेर धारा 13(3) जन्म व मृत्यु रजिस्ट्रीकरण एक्ट, 1969.

श्रीमती कौशल्या पत्नी श्री प्रेम सिंह, निवासी गांव गडावग, नहसील व जिला शिमला, हिमाचल प्रदेश

द जिला शिमला, हिमाचल प्रदेश ने इस न्यायालय में उपरोक्त एकट के अन्तर्गत दर्ज करने वाले सुरेन्द्र कुमार, कृतिप कुमार व रमेश की जन्म तिथि दर्ज करवाने वारे प्रार्थना-पत्र गुजारा है। श्रीमती कौशल्या उपरोक्त के अनुमार उनके बच्चों सुरेन्द्र कुमार की जन्म तिथि 15-3-1987 व कुलदीप कुमार की जन्म तिथि 15-5-1979 व रमेश कुमार की जन्म 7-1-1984 है।

अत यदि याधारण को इस इक्षतहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि जिनका भी उक्त जन्म तिथि दर्ज करने वारे किसी भी प्रकार का शक हो तो वे इस न्यायालय में मिति 25-3-1992 तक अपने आरोप पेण कर सकते हैं। यदि कोई भी आरोप उक्त तिथि तक इस न्यायालय में प्राप्त नहीं हुआ तो ऐसा माना जायेगा कि श्रीमती कौशल्या के बच्चों की जन्म तिथि सही है और यह तिथि पंचायत रिकार्ड में दर्ज की जाएगी।

आज दिनांक 24-4-1992 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी किया गया।

मोहर।

मार० एन० बता,  
उप-मण्डल दण्डाधिकारी,  
शिमला सुनी उप-मण्डल,  
शिमला (प्रामीण), (हि० प्र०)।

व अदालत श्री मार० एन० बता, उप-मण्डलाधिकारी (प्रामीण)  
शिमला, जिला शिमला, हिमाचल प्रदेश

श्री बूध राम सुपुत्र श्री बीजा राम, निवासी बांव ढैडा, तहसील व जिला शिमला।

बनाम

आम जनता

दरबास्त जेर धारा 13(3) जन्म व मृत्यु रजिस्ट्रीकरण एकट, 1969.

श्री बूध राम सुपुत्र श्री बीजा राम, निवासी बांव ढैडा, तहसील व जिला शिमला हिमाचल प्रदेश ने उपरोक्त एकट के अन्तर्गत अपनी सुपुत्री कुमारी चमा देवी की जन्म तिथि दर्ज करवाने वारे प्रार्थना-पत्र गुजारा है। श्री बूध राम उपरोक्त के अनुमार उनकी सुपुत्री चमा देवी की जन्म तिथि 7-8-1985 है।

अत: सर्व साधारण को इस इक्षतहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि जिनका भी उक्त जन्म तिथि दर्ज करने वारे किसी भी प्रकार का शक हो तो वे इस न्यायालय में मिति 25-5-1992 तक अपने आरोप पेण कर सकते हैं। यदि कोई भी आरोप उक्त तिथि तक इस न्यायालय में प्राप्त नहीं हुआ तो ऐसा माना जायेगा कि श्री बूध राम की सुपुत्री की जन्म तिथि सही है और यह तिथि पंचायत रिकार्ड में दर्ज की जाएगी।

आज दिनांक 23-4-1992 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी किया गया।

मोहर।

मार० एन० बता,  
उप-मण्डल दण्डाधिकारी,  
शिमला, सुनी उप-मण्डल,  
शिमला (प्रामीण), (हि० प्र०)।

व अदालत श्री बी० मार० जम्बाल, उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट,  
जना, जिला जना, हिमाचल प्रदेश

श्री मदन लाल सुपुत्र श्री उधो राम, निवासी लमलेहडा, तहसील व जिला जना, हिमाचल प्रदेश

प्रार्थी।

बनाम

आम जनता

दरबास्त जेर धारा 13(3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु रजिस्ट्रीकरण एकट, 1969.

श्री मदन लाल सुपुत्र श्री उधो राम, निवासी लमलेहडा, तहसील व जिला जना ने इस कार्यालय में गुजारिश की है कि उसके सुपुत्र श्री पात कुमार का जन्म दिनांक 26-3-1985 को हुआ है लेकिन उसकी जन्म वीरतिपात्रायत में दर्ज नहीं हुई है।

अत: सर्व साधारण को इस इक्षतहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि इस बारे यदि किसी व्यक्ति को कोई उजर व एतराज हो तो दिनांक 26-5-1992 को सुबह 10 बजे हाजिर होकर पेण कर सकता है उपरोक्त व्यक्ति की जन्म तिथि दर्ज करने वारे सम्बन्धित सचिव पंचायत को उपरोक्त बताई गई तिथि दर्ज करने वारे आदेश दे दिये जायें।

आज दिनांक 24-4-1992 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर कार्यालय से जारी हुआ।

मोहर।

बी० मार० जम्बाल,  
उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट,  
जना (हि० प्र०)।

व अदालत श्री बी० मार० जम्बाल, उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, जना

जिला जना (हि० प्र०)

श्री सरदार दास सुपुत्र श्री मन्दा राम, निवासी चक्रतगड़, तहसील व जिला जना (हि० प्र०)

बनाम

आम जनता

दरबास्त जेर धारा 13 (3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु रजिस्ट्रीकरण एकट, 1969

श्री सरदार दास सुपुत्र श्री मन्दा राम, निवासी चक्रतगड़, तहसील व जिला जना ने इस कार्यालय में गुजारिश की है कि उसकी पुत्री राजा रामारी का जन्म दिनांक 18-4-1987 को हुआ है लेकिन उसकी जन्म वीरतिपात्रायत में दर्ज नहीं हुई है।

अत: सर्व साधारण को इस इक्षतहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि इस बारे यदि किसी व्यक्ति को कोई उजर व एतराज हो तो दिनांक 25-5-92 को सुबह 10 बजे हाजिर होकर पेण कर सकता है। वहना उपरोक्त व्यक्ति की जन्म तिथि दर्ज करने वारे सम्बन्धित पंचायत को उपरोक्त बताई गई तिथि दर्ज करने वारे आदेश दे दिये जायें।

आज दिनांक 24-4-1992 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर कार्यालय से जारी हुआ।

मोहर।

बी० मार० जम्बाल,  
उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट  
जना, जिला जना।

व अदालत श्री बी० मार० जम्बाल, उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, जना

जिला जना (हि० प्र०)

श्री सुभाष चन्द्र सुपुत्र माधो राम, निवासी बाथू, सब-तहसील हरोली जिला जना

प्रार्थी।

बनाम

आम जनता

दरबास्त जेर धारा 13(3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु रजिस्ट्रीकरण एकट, 1969

श्री सुभाष चन्द्र सुपुत्र माधो राम, निवासी बाथू, सब-तहसील हरोली जिला जना (हि० प्र०) ने इस कार्यालय में गुजारिश की है कि उसकी पुत्री चंद्रिका देवी का जन्म दिनांक 26-2-1987 को हुआ है लेकिन उसकी जन्म वीरतिपात्रायत में दर्ज नहीं हुई है।

अत: सर्व साधारण को इस इक्षतहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि इस बारे यदि किसी व्यक्ति को कोई उजर व एतराज हो तो दिनांक 25-5-92 को सुबह 10 बजे हाजिर होकर पेण कर सकता है उपरोक्त व्यक्ति की जन्म तिथि दर्ज करने वारे सम्बन्धित सचिव पंचायत द्वारा दिये जायें।

माधव पंचायत को उत्तरीक्षण बताई गई तिथि दर्ज करने वारे आदेश दे दिये जायेंगे।

माज दिनांक 24-4-92 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर कार्यालय से आरी हुआ।

मोहर ।

बी० आर० जम्बाल,  
उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट,  
ऊना, जिला ऊना।

ब अदालत श्री बी० आर० जम्बाल, उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, ऊना,  
जिला ऊना, (हि० प्र०)

जीव मिह सुपुत्र श्री गतन मिह, निवासी पूर्वोवाल गांव विलाना,  
मव-तहसील हरोली, जिला ऊना, (हि० प्र०) प्रार्थी ।

वनाम

आम जनता

द खबास जेर धारा 13 (3) जन्म एवम् मृत्यु रजिस्ट्रीकरण  
ऐक्ट, 1969.

हरबनग लाल सुपुत्र चन्द्र राम, निवासी टीका गांवड, तपा टीहरा  
तहसील बनाणा, जिला ऊना (हि० प्र०)

प्रार्थी ।

बाप

आम जनता

द खबास जेर धारा 13 (3) जन्म एवम् मृत्यु रजिस्ट्रीकरण  
ऐक्ट, 1969

श्री हरबनग लाल सुपुत्र श्री चन्द्र राम, निवासी टीका गांवड, तपा-  
टीहरा, तहसील बनाणा, जिला ऊना ने इस कार्यालय में गुजारिश की  
है कि उसके पुत्र श्री रजिन्द्र कुमार का जन्म दिनांक 24-10-1986 को हुआ  
को हुआ है लेकिन उसकी जन्म की तिथि पंचायत रिकांड में दर्ज नहीं  
हुई है।

प्रतः सर्व साधारण को इस इतिहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है  
कि इस बारे यदि किसी व्यक्ति को कोई उजर व एतराज हो तो वह  
दिनांक 25-5-92 को सुबह 10 बजे हाजिर होकर पेश कर सकता  
है वरना उपरोक्त व्यक्ति की जन्म तिथि दर्ज करने वारे सम्बन्धित  
सचिव पंचायत को उपरोक्त बताई गई तिथि दर्ज करने वारे आदेश  
दे दिये जायेंगे।

आज दिनांक 24-4-92 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर कार्यालय से  
आरी हुआ।

मोहर ।

बी० आर० जम्बाल,  
उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट,  
ऊना, जिला ऊना।

बी० आर० जम्बाल,  
उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, ऊना (हि० प्र०) ।

मोहर ।

ब अदालत श्री बी० आर० जम्बाल, उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, ऊना,  
जिला ऊना, (हि० प्र०)

वनाम

आम जनता

द खबास जेर धारा 13 (3) जन्म एवम् मृत्यु रजिस्ट्रीकरण  
ऐक्ट, 1969.

श्री रवा सुपुत्र बुला, निवासी पूर्वोवाल, सब-तहसील हरोली, जिला  
ऊना ने इस कार्यालय में गुजारिश की है कि उसके पुत्र श्री रमेश  
मान का जन्म दिनांक 15-4-1986 को हुआ है लेकिन उसकी जन्म  
की तिथि पंचायत रिकांड में दर्ज नहीं हुई है।

प्रतः सर्व साधारण को इस इतिहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है  
कि इस बारे यदि किसी व्यक्ति को कोई उजर व एतराज हो तो वह  
दिनांक 23-5-92 को सुबह 10 बजे हाजिर होकर पेश कर सकता  
है वरना उपरोक्त व्यक्ति की जन्म तिथि दर्ज करने वारे सम्बन्धित  
सचिव पंचायत को उपरोक्त बताई गई तिथि दर्ज करने वारे आदेश दे  
दिये जायेंगे।

आज दिनांक 23-4-92 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर कार्यालय से  
आरी हुआ।

मोहर ।

बी० आर० जम्बाल,  
उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, ऊना (हि० प्र०)।

मोहर ।

बी० आर० जम्बाल,  
उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, ऊना (हि० प्र०)।





## वनाम

## आम जनता

दरखास्त जेर धारा 13(3) जन्म एवम् मृत्यु रजस्ट्रीकरण  
ऐक्ट, 1969

श्री कुलदीप मिह सुपुत्र दरखास्त मिह, निवासी हरोली, तहसील व  
जिला ऊना (हि० प्र०) ने इस कार्यालय में गुजारिश की है कि उसके  
पुत्र श्री कुलदीप कुलार का जन्म दिनांक 17-8-1987 को हुआ है।  
लेकिन उसके जन्म की तिथि पंचायत रिकार्ड में दर्ज नहीं हुई है।

आप सर्वे साधारण को इस इष्टहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि इन वारे यदि किसी व्यक्ति को 11वें उत्तरव एतराज आदि हो  
तो दिनांक 23-5-92 को सुबह 10 बजे हाजिर होकर पेण कर सकता  
है वरना उपरोक्त व्यक्ति की जन्म तिथि दर्ज करने वारे सम्बन्धित  
पंचायत को उपरोक्त अनाई गई तिथि दर्ज करने वारे आवश्यक दें दिय  
जायें।

आप दिनांक 23-4-92 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर कार्यालय में  
जारी हुए।

मोहर।

बी० आर० जम्बाल,  
उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, ऊना,  
जिला ऊना।

व अदालत श्री बी० आर० जम्बाल, उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, ऊना  
जिला ऊना (हि० प्र०)

श्री गुरुपाल सुपुत्र श्री किंजू, निवासी युवांवाल, माजरा विला,  
मध्य-हमारी हरोली, जिला ऊना (हि० प्र०) प्रार्थी।

## वनाम

## आम जनता

दरखास्त जेर धारा 13(3) जन्म एवम् मृत्यु रजस्ट्रीकरण  
ऐक्ट, 1969

श्री भगत मिह सुपुत्र ईशर मिह, निवासी पूर्वावाल, माजरा विला,  
मध्य-हमारी हरोली, जिला ऊना इस कार्यालय में गुजारिश की है कि उसके  
पुत्र श्री राजविन्द्र कुलार का जन्म दिनांक 25-12-1985 को  
हुआ है जोने उपरोक्त व्यक्ति की जन्म तिथि दर्ज करने वारे सम्बन्धित  
पंचायत को उपरोक्त बताई गई तिथि दर्ज करने वारे आवश्यक दें दिये  
जायें।

आप सर्वे साधारण को इस इष्टहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है  
कि इन वारे यदि किसी व्यक्ति को 10वें उत्तरव एतराज हो तो दिनांक  
23-5-92 को सुबह 10 बजे हाजिर होकर पेण कर सकता है वरना  
उपरोक्त व्यक्ति की जन्म तिथि दर्ज करने वारे सम्बन्धित पंचायत को  
उपरोक्त बताई गई तिथि दर्ज करने वारे आवश्यक दें दिये जायें।

आप दिनांक 23-4-92 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर कार्यालय में  
जारी हुए।

मोहर।

बी० आर० जम्बाल,  
उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, ऊना।  
जिला ऊना।

व अदालत श्री बी० आर० जम्बाल, उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, ऊना,  
जिला ऊना (हि० प्र०)

श्री कुलदीप मिह सुपुत्र श्री काका मिह, निवासी कुठार बीन, मध्य-हमारी  
हरोली, जिला ऊना (हि० प्र०) प्रार्थी।

## वनाम

## आम जनता

दरखास्त जेर धारा 13 (3) जन्म एवम् मृत्यु रजस्ट्रीकरण  
ऐक्ट, 1969

श्री कुलदीप मिह सुपुत्र काका मिह, निवासी कुठार बीन, मध्य-

तहसील हरोली, जिला ऊना, (हि० प्र०) ने इस कार्यालय में गुजारिश की है कि उसके पुत्र श्री विकास ठाकुर का जन्म दिनांक 20-1-1990 की हुआ है लेकिन उसकी जन्म की तिथि पंचायत रिकार्ड में दर्ज नहीं हुई है।

आप सर्वे साधारण को इस इष्टहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि इस वारे यदि किसी व्यक्ति को कोई उत्तरव एतराज हो तो दिनांक 23-5-92 को सुबह 10 बजे हाजिर होकर पेण कर सकता है वरना उपरोक्त व्यक्ति की जन्म तिथि दर्ज करने वारे सम्बन्धित पंचायत को उपरोक्त वारे यदि तिथि दर्ज करने वारे आवश्यक दें दिये जायें।

आप दिनांक 23-4-92 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर कार्यालय  
जारी हुए।

मोहर।

बी० आर० जम्बाल,  
उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, ऊना,  
जिला ऊना।

व अदालत श्री बी० आर० जम्बाल, उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, ऊना,  
जिला ऊना (हि० प्र०)

श्री गुरुपाल सुपुत्र श्री पंहला राम, निवासी यन्हेहडा, तहसील व  
जिला ऊना, (हि० प्र०) प्रार्थी।

## वनाम

## आम जनता

दरखास्त जेर धारा 13(3) जन्म एवम् मृत्यु रजस्ट्रीकरण  
ऐक्ट, 1969

श्री गुरुपाल सुपुत्र श्री पंहला राम, निवासी यन्हेहडा, तहसील व जिला  
ऊना, (हि० प्र०) ने उस कार्यालय में गुजारिश की है कि उसके पुत्र  
श्री लाला उपरोक्त व्यक्ति की जन्म तिथि दर्ज करने वारे सम्बन्धित पंचायत को  
उपरोक्त वारे यदि तिथि दर्ज करने वारे आवश्यक दें दिये जायें।

आप दिनांक 23-4-92 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर कार्यालय में  
जारी हुए।

मोहर।

बी० आर० जम्बाल,  
उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, ऊना,  
जिला ऊना।

व अदालत श्री बी० आर० जम्बाल, उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, ऊना,  
जिला ऊना (हि० प्र०)

श्री कर्म चन्द्र सुपुत्र श्री किंजू, निवासी शयेपुर मेदान, तहसील व  
जिला ऊना (हि० प्र०) प्रार्थी।

## वनाम

## आम जनता

दरखास्त जेर धारा 13(3) जन्म एवम् मृत्यु रजस्ट्रीकरण ऐक्ट  
1969

श्री कर्म चन्द्र मिह श्री किंजू, निवासी शयेपुर मेदान, तहसील व जिला  
ऊना ने इस कार्यालय में गुजारिश की है कि उसके पुत्र  
श्री माल्क विपिन का जन्म दिनांक 13-5-1987 को हुआ है लेकिन उसकी जन्म की तिथि पंचायत रिकार्ड में दर्ज नहीं हुई है।

आप सर्वे साधारण को इस इष्टहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि इस वारे यदि किसी व्यक्ति को कोई उत्तरव एतराज हो तो दिनांक 23-5-92 को सुबह 10 बजे हाजिर होकर पेण कर सकता है वरना उपरोक्त व्यक्ति की जन्म तिथि दर्ज करने वारे आवश्यक दें दिये जायें।

२ उत्तर उपरोक्त व्यक्ति की जन्म तिथि दर्ज करने वारे भव्यतान्धित प्रदानत हो उपरोक्त बताई गई तिथि दर्ज करने वारे आवेदन दे दिये जाएंगे ।

आज दिनांक 23-4-92 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर कार्यालय में जारी हुआ ।

मोहर ।

बी ० प्रा० जम्बाल,  
उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, ऊना,  
जिला ऊना ।

व प्रदानत श्री बी० प्रा० जम्बाल, उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, ऊना,  
जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश

श्री राम नाथ सुपुत्र श्री प्रितम दाम, निवासी पालकवाह, मध्य-नहरीनी  
हराला, जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश प्रार्थी ।

वनाम

आम जनता

दरबारात्म जेर आदा 13(3) जन्म व वर्तमान प्रदेश एक्ट, 1969.

श्री राम नाथ सुपुत्र श्री प्रितम दाम, निवासी पालकवाह, मध्य-नहरीनी हराला, जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश ने इस कार्यालय में गुजारिश की है कि उसकी पुत्री कुमारी मोतिका देवी का जन्म दिनांक 20-4-1986 को हुआ है लेकिन उसकी जन्म तिथि पंचायत रिकाई में दर्ज नहीं हुई है ।

प्रत: सर्वेताधारण को इस इष्टहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि इस बारे यदि किसी व्यक्ति को कोई उजर व एतराज हो तो दिनांक 23-5-1992 को सुबह 10 बजे हाजिर होकर पेश कर सकता है वरना उपरोक्त व्यक्ति की जन्म तिथि दर्ज करने वारे मन्त्रान्धित सचिव पंचायत को उपरोक्त बताई गई तिथि दर्ज करने वारे आदेश दे दिये जायेंगे ।

आज दिनांक 23-4-1992 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर कार्यालय में जारी हुआ ।

मोहर ।

बी० प्रा० जम्बाल,  
उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट,  
ऊना, जिला ऊना (हि० प्र०) ।

व प्रदानत श्री बी० प्रा० जम्बाल, उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, ऊना, जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश

श्री रजिन्द्र कुमार सुपुत्र श्री छाया राम, निवासी मुहल्ला गलुप्रा, ऊना  
नहरीनी व जिला ऊना (हि० प्र०) प्रार्थी ।

वनाम

आम जनता

दरबारात्म जेर आदा 13(3) जन्म व मृत्यु रजिस्ट्रीकरण एक्ट, 1969.

श्री रजिन्द्र कुमार सुपुत्र श्री छाया राम, निवासी मुहल्ला गलुप्रा, ऊना  
तहसील व जिला ऊना ने इस कार्यालय में गुजारिश की है कि उसकी  
पुत्री कुमारी विदु का जन्म दिनांक 14-9-1987 को हुआ है लेकिन उसकी  
जन्म तिथि पंचायत रिकाई में दर्ज नहीं हुई है ।

प्रत: सर्वेताधारण को इस इष्टहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि  
इस बारे यदि किसी व्यक्ति को कोई उजर व एतराज हो तो  
दिनांक 23-5-92 को सुबह 10.00 बजे डायर होकर पेश कर भागा  
हे वरना उपरोक्त व्यक्ति की जन्म तिथि दर्ज करने वारे मन्त्रान्धित

सचिव पंचायत को उपरोक्त बताई गई तिथि दर्ज करने वारे आदेश दे दिये जायेंगे ।

आज दिनांक 23-4-1992 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर कार्यालय में जारी हुआ ।

मोहर ।

बी० प्रा० जम्बाल,  
उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट,  
ऊना, जिला ऊना ।

व प्रदानत श्री बी० प्रा० जम्बाल, उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, ऊना, जिला ऊना  
(हि० प्र०) (हिमाचल प्रदेश)

श्री जोधा यल सुपुत्र श्री योग मिश्र, निवासी रामपुर, महर्मान व जिला ऊना  
प्रार्थी ।

वनाम

आम जनता

दरबारात्म जेर आदा 13(3) जन्म व मृत्यु रजिस्ट्रीकरण एक्ट, 1969.

श्री जोधा यल सुपुत्र श्री योग मिश्र, निवासी रामपुर, महर्मान व जिला ऊना  
य जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश ने इस कार्यालय में गुजारिश की है कि उसकी पुत्री मानिका कुमारी नवा भनीजा गुरणत गुराम गुराव श्री बरण दाम का जन्म दिनांक 24-2-1988 व 2-4-1988 को हुआ है लेकिन उसकी जन्म तिथि पंचायत रिकाई में दर्ज नहीं हुई है ।

प्रत: मर्वमाधारण को इस इष्टहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि इस बारे यदि किसी व्यक्ति को कोई उजर व एतराज हो तो दिनांक 23-5-92 को सुबह 10 बजे हाजिर होकर पंच कर सकता है वरना उपरोक्त व्यक्ति की जन्म तिथि दर्ज करने वारे मन्त्रान्धित सचिव पंचायत को उपरोक्त बताई गई तिथि दर्ज करने वारे आदेश दे दिये जायेंगे ।

आज दिनांक 23-4-1992 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर कार्यालय में जारी हुआ ।

मोहर ।

बी० प्रा० जम्बाल,  
उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट,  
ऊना, जिला ऊना (हि० प्र०) ।

व प्रदानत श्री बी० प्रा० जम्बाल, उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, ऊना,  
जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश

श्री करतार सिंह सुपुत्र श्री गोपाल मिश्र, निवासी मुहल्ला गुरुमर ऊना,  
जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश ने इस कार्यालय में गुजारिश की है कि उसकी  
पुत्री कुमारी अजीन कोर का जन्म दिनांक 4-6-1988 को हुआ है लेकिन उसकी जन्म तिथि पंचायत रिकाई में दर्ज नहीं हुई है ।

वनाम

आम जनता

दरबारात्म जेर आदा 13(3) जन्म व मृत्यु रजिस्ट्रीकरण एक्ट, 1969.

श्री करतार सिंह सुपुत्र श्री गोपाल मिश्र, निवासी मुहल्ला गुरुमर ऊना,  
जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश ने इस कार्यालय में गुजारिश की है कि उसकी  
पुत्री कुमारी अजीन कोर का जन्म दिनांक 4-6-1988 को हुआ है लेकिन उसकी जन्म तिथि पंचायत रिकाई में दर्ज नहीं हुई है ।

प्रत: मर्वमाधारण को इस इष्टहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि इस बारे यदि किसी व्यक्ति को कोई उजर व एतराज हो तो दिनांक 23-5-92 को सुबह 10 बजे हाजिर होकर पंच कर सकता है वरना उपरोक्त व्यक्ति की जन्म तिथि दर्ज करने वारे मन्त्रान्धित सचिव पंचायत को उपरोक्त बताई गई जन्म तिथि दर्ज करने वारे आदेश दे दिये जायेंगे ।

आज दिनांक 23-4-1992 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर कार्यालय में जारी हुआ ।

मोहर ।

बी० प्रा० जम्बाल,  
उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, ऊना,  
जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश ।

ब अदालत श्री बी० आर० जम्बाल, उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, ऊना,  
जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश

श्री तीर्थ राम सुपुत्र धर्म चन्द, निवासी अर्जौली, तहसील व  
जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश

बनाम

आम जनता

दरखास्त जेर धारा 13 (3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु रजिस्ट्रीकरण ऐक्ट, 1969.

श्री तीर्थ राम सुपुत्र श्री धर्म चन्द, निवासी अर्जौली, तहसील व  
जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश ने इस कार्यालय में गुजारिश की है कि उसकी  
पुत्री पूजा देवी का जन्म दिनांक 30-12-1986 को हुआ है लेकिन  
उसकी जन्म तिथि वंचायत रिकार्ड में दर्ज नहीं हुई है।

अतः सर्व साधारण को इस इतहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि  
इस बारे यदि किसी व्यक्ति को कोई उजर व एतराज हो  
तो दिनांक 23-5-1992 को सुबह 10 बजे हाजिर होकर पेश कर  
पेश कर सकता है वरना उपरोक्त व्यक्ति की जन्म तिथि दर्ज करने वारे  
करने वारे सम्बन्धित सचिव पंचायत को उपरोक्त बताई गई तिथि दर्ज करने  
वारे आदेश दे दिये जायें।

आज दिनांक 23-4-1992 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर कार्यालय  
से जारी हुआ।

मोहर।

बी० आर० जम्बाल,  
उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट,  
ऊना, जिला ऊना (हि० प्र०)।

ब अदालत श्री बी० आर० जम्बाल, उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, ऊना, जिला  
ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश

प्रेम सिंह सुपुत्र श्री शिव सिंह, निवासी सनहाल, तहसील बन्नाया,  
जिला ऊना (हि० प्र०)

बनाम

आम जनता

दरखास्त जेर धारा 13 (3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु रजिस्ट्रीकरण ऐक्ट, 1969.

श्री प्रेम मिह सुपुत्र श्री शिव सिंह, निवासी सनहाल, तहसील  
बन्नाया, जिला ऊना ने इस कार्यालय में गुजारिश की है कि उसके पुत्र  
वल्लिन्द्र पिंह का जन्म दिनांक 25-9-1986 को हुआ है लेकिन उसकी  
जन्म की तिथि वंचायत रिकार्ड में दर्ज नहीं हुई है।

अतः सर्व साधारण को इस इतहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि  
इस बारे यदि किसी व्यक्ति को कोई उजर व एतराज हो तो वह  
दिनांक 25-5-1992 को सुबह 10 बजे सुबह हाजिर होकर पेश कर सकता है वरना  
उपरोक्त व्यक्ति की जन्म तिथि दर्ज करने वारे सम्बन्धित सचिव पंचायत  
को उपरोक्त बताई गई तिथि दर्ज करने वारे आदेश दे दिये जायें।

आज दिनांक 24-4-1992 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर कार्यालय से  
जारी हुआ।

मोहर।

बी० आर० जम्बाल,  
उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट,  
ऊना, जिला ऊना।

ब अदालत श्री बी० आर० जम्बाल, उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, ऊना,  
जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश

श्री बलदेव मिह सुपुत्र श्री चरण दाम, निवासी मगेह, तहसील व  
जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश

बनाम

आम जनता

दरखास्त जेर धारा 13 (3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु रजिस्ट्रीकरण ऐक्ट, 1969.

श्री बलदेव सिंह सुपुत्र श्री चरण दाम, निवासी मरोह, तहसील व  
जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश ने इस कार्यालय में गुजारिश की है कि उसकी  
पुत्री दुष्मिता का जन्म दिनांक 18-5-1986 को हुआ है। लेकिन उसकी जन्म तिथि  
रिकार्ड में दर्ज नहीं हुई है।

अतः तहसीलाधारण को इस इतहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि  
इस बारे यदि किसी व्यक्ति को कोई उजर व एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक  
25-5-1992 को सुबह 10 बजे सुबह हाजिर होकर पेश कर सकता है वरना  
उपरोक्त व्यक्ति की जन्म तिथि दर्ज करने वारे सम्बन्धित सचिव पंचायत  
को उपरोक्त बताई गई तिथि दर्ज करने वारे आदेश दे दिये जायें।

आज दिनांक 24-4-92 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से  
जारी हुआ।

मोहर।

बी० आर० जम्बाल,  
उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट,  
ऊना, जिला ऊना।

ब अदालत श्री बी० आर० जम्बाल, उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, ऊना, जिला  
ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश

श्री चौधरी राम सुपुत्र श्री हरी राम, निवासी जखेड़ा, तहसील व  
जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश

बनाम

आम जनता

दरखास्त जेर धारा 13 (3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु रजिस्ट्रीकरण ऐक्ट, 1969.

श्री चौधरी राम सुपुत्र हरी राम, निवासी जखेड़ा, तहसील व  
जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश ने इस कार्यालय में गुजारिश की है कि उसकी पुत्री  
दुष्मिता देवी का जन्म दिनांक 23-3-1986 को हुआ है। लेकिन उसकी जन्म तिथि  
पंचायत रिकार्ड में दर्ज नहीं हुई है।

अतः सर्व साधारण को इस इतहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि  
इस बारे यदि किसी व्यक्ति को कोई उजर व एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक  
25-5-1992 को सुबह 10 बजे हाजिर होकर पेश कर सकता है वरना  
उपरोक्त व्यक्ति की जन्म तिथि दर्ज करने वारे सम्बन्धित सचिव पंचायत  
को उपरोक्त बताई गई तिथि दर्ज करने वारे आदेश दे दिये जायें।

आज दिनांक 24-4-92 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से  
जारी हुआ।

मोहर।

बी० आर० जम्बाल,  
उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट,  
ऊना, जिला ऊना।

ब अदालत श्री बी० आर० जम्बाल, उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, ऊना, जिला ऊना,  
हिमाचल प्रदेश

श्री सुखदेव कुमार सुपुत्र मन्ना राम, निवासी मन्तोषगढ़ तहसील व  
जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश

बनाम

आम जनता

दरखास्त जेर धारा 13 (3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु रजिस्ट्रीकरण ऐक्ट, 1969.

श्री सुखदेव कुमार सुपुत्र मन्ना राम, निवासी मन्तोषगढ़, तहसील व  
जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश



आज दिनांक 24-4-1992 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर कार्यालय से जारी हुआ।

मोहर।

बी0 आर0 जम्बाल,  
उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, ऊना,  
जिला ऊना।

व अदानत श्री बी0 आर0 जम्बाल, उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, ऊना,  
जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश

श्री बक्षीय सिंह सुपुत्र श्री रखा सिंह, निवासी पुवोवाल, सब-  
तहसील हरोली, जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश

• प्रार्थी।

वनाम

आम जनता

दरखास्त जेर धारा 13(3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु रजिस्ट्रीकरण एकट, 1969.

श्री बक्षीय सिंह सुपुत्र श्री रखा सिंह, निवासी पुवोवाल, सब-  
तहसील हरोली, जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश ने इस कार्यालय में गुजारिश  
की है कि उसकी सुपुत्री कुमारी गुरदीप कौर का जन्म दिनांक 8-2-1987  
को हुआ है लेकिन उसकी जन्म की तिथि पंचायत रिकार्ड में दर्ज  
नहीं हुई है।

अन: सर्व साधारण को इस इश्तहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है  
कि इस बारे यदि किसी व्यक्ति को कोई उजर व एतराज हो तो  
तो दिनांक 26-5-1992 को सुबह 10 बजे हाजिर होकर पेश कर सकता  
है वरना उपरोक्त व्यक्ति की जन्म तिथि दर्ज करने वारे सम्बन्धित  
सचिव पंचायत को उपरोक्त बताई गई तिथि दर्ज करने वारे  
आदेश दे दिये जायेंगे।

आज दिनांक 24-4-1992 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर कार्यालय  
से जारी हुआ।

मोहर।

बी0 आर0 जम्बाल,  
उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, ऊना,  
जिला ऊना।

व अदानत श्री बी0 आर0 जम्बाल, उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, ऊना,  
जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश

प्रेम सिंह सुपुत्र श्री गुरदाम सिंह, निवासी अजीली, तहसील व  
जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश

• प्रार्थी।

वनाम

आम जनता

दरखास्त जेर धारा 13(3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु रजिस्ट्रीकरण एकट, 1969.

श्री प्रेम सिंह सुपुत्र श्री गुरदाम सिंह, निवासी अजीली, तहसील व  
जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश ने इस कार्यालय में गुजारिश की है कि  
उसकी पुत्री गुरवत्त्व गौर का जन्म दिनांक 9-4-1987 हुआ  
है लेकिन उसका जन्म की तिथि पंचायत रिकार्ड में दर्ज  
नहीं हुई है।

अन: सर्व साधारण को इस इश्तहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है  
कि इस बारे यदि किसी व्यक्ति को कोई उजर व एतराज हो तो  
दिनांक 25-5-1992 को सुबह 10 बजे हाजिर होकर पेश कर सकता  
है वरना उपरोक्त व्यक्ति की जन्म तिथि दर्ज करने वारे सम्बन्धित  
सचिव पंचायत को उपरोक्त बताई गई तिथि दर्ज करने वारे  
आदेश दे दिये जायेंगे।

आज दिनांक 23-4-1992 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर ग्रदानत  
मेरे जारी हुआ।

मोहर।

बी0 आर0 जम्बाल,  
उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट,  
ऊना, जिला ऊना।

व अदानत श्री बी0 आर0 जम्बाल, उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, ऊना,  
जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश

कशमीरी लाल सुपुत्र श्री विश्वन दास, निवासी कुठार खूदं, तहसील  
व जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश

वनाम

आम जनता

दरखास्त जेर धारा 13(3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु रजिस्ट्रीकरण एकट, 1969.

श्री कशमीरी लाल सुपुत्र श्री विश्वन दास, निवासी कुठार खूदं,  
तहसील व जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश ने इस कार्यालय में गुजारिश  
की है कि उसकी पुत्री प्रिया देवी का जन्म दिनांक 1-3-1986  
को हुआ है लेकिन उसकी जन्म की तिथि पंचायत रिकार्ड में  
दर्ज नहीं हुई है।

अतः सर्व साधारण को इस इश्तहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है  
कि इस बारे यदि किसी व्यक्ति को कोई उजर व एतराज हो तो  
दिनांक 25-5-1992 को सुबह 10 बजे हाजिर होकर पेश कर सकता  
है वरना उपरोक्त व्यक्ति की जन्म तिथि दर्ज करने वारे सम्बन्धित  
सचिव पंचायत को उपरोक्त बताई गई तिथि दर्ज करने वारे  
आदेश दे दिये जायेंगे।

आज दिनांक 24-4-1992 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर कार्यालय  
से जारी हुआ।

मोहर।

बी0 आर0 जम्बाल,  
उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट,  
ऊना, जिला ऊना।

व अदानत श्री बी0 आर0 जम्बाल, उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, ऊना,  
जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश

बलदेव सिंह सुपुत्र श्री महन्तु राम, निवासी टीका वन्जाल, तप्प  
हेलू, तहसील वन्याणा, जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश

वनाम

आम जनता

दरखास्त जेर धारा 13(3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु रजिस्ट्रीकरण एकट, 1969.

श्री बलदेव सिंह सुपुत्र श्री महन्तु राम, निवासी टीका वन्जाल,  
तप्प हेलू, तहसील वन्याणा, जिला ऊना ने इस कार्यालय में गुजारिश की  
है कि उसके पुत्र श्री विपन कुमार का जन्म दिनांक 11-9-1986  
को हुआ है लेकिन उसका जन्म की तिथि पंचायत रिकार्ड में दर्ज  
नहीं हुई है।

अतः सर्व साधारण को इस इश्तहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है  
कि इस बारे यदि किसी व्यक्ति को कोई उजर व एतराज हो तो  
दिनांक 25-5-1992 को सुबह 10 बजे हाजिर होकर पेश कर सकता  
है वरना उपरोक्त व्यक्ति की जन्म तिथि दर्ज करने वारे सम्बन्धित  
सचिव पंचायत को उपरोक्त बताई गई तिथि दर्ज करने वारे  
आदेश दे दिये जायेंगे।

आज दिनांक 24-4-1992 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर कार्यालय  
से जारी हुआ।

मोहर।

बी0 आर0 जम्बाल,  
उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट,  
ऊना, जिला ऊना।

व अदालत श्री बी० आर० जम्बाल, उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, ऊना  
जिला ऊना (हि०प्र०)

श्री दिव्यदाता मिह जम्बाल सुपुत्र श्री काढू राम, निवासी सलोह, तहसील  
व जिला ऊना (हि० प्र०) प्रार्थी ।

वनाम

आम जनता

दरखास्त जेर धारा 13 (3) जन्म एवम् मृत्यु रजिस्ट्रीकरण  
एक्ट, 1969

श्री दिव्यदाता मिह सुपुत्र श्री काढू राम, निवासी सलोह, तहसील व जिला  
ऊना (हि०प्र०) ने इस कार्यालय में गुजारिश की है कि उसके पुत्र श्री  
दवीद जम्बाल व कवीर जम्बाल का जन्म दिनांक 1-11-1981  
व 5-5-1985 को हुआ है लेकिन उनकी जन्म तिथि पंचायत  
रिकार्ड में दर्ज नहीं हुई है।

अनः सर्व साधारण को इस इश्तहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है  
कि इस बारे थदि किसी व्यक्ति को कोई उजर व एतराज हो तो  
दिनांक 25-5-92 को सुबह 10 बजे हाजिर होकर पेश कर सकता  
है वरनः उपरोक्त व्यक्ति की जन्म तिथि दर्ज करने वारे सम्बन्धित  
सचिव पंचायत को उपरोक्त बताई गई तिथि दर्ज करने वारे  
आदेश दे दिये जायेंगे।

आज दिनांक 24-4-92 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर कार्यालय से  
जारी हुआ ।

मोहर ।

बी० आर० जम्बाल,  
उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, ऊना,  
जिला ऊना ।

व अदालत श्री बी० आर० जम्बाल, उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, ऊना  
जिला ऊना (हि०प्र०)

श्री जगतार सिंह सुपुत्र श्री सरबन सिंह, निवासी धर्मपुर, सत-तहसील  
हरोली जिला ऊना

प्रार्थी ।

वनाम

आम जनता

दरखास्त जेर धारा 13(3) जन्म एवम् मृत्यु रजिस्ट्रीकरण  
एक्ट 1969

श्री जगतार सिंह सुपुत्र श्री सरबन सिंह, निवासी धर्मपुर, सत-तहसील  
हरोली, जिला ऊना न उस कार्यालय में गुजारिश की है कि उसकी  
भानजी बोना देवी सुपुत्री वलदेन सिंह का जन्म दिनांक 20-3-1986  
को हुआ है लेकिन उसकी जन्म की तिथि पंचायत रिकार्ड में दर्ज  
नहीं हुई है।

अनः सर्व साधारण को इस इश्तहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है  
कि इस बारे थदि किसी व्यक्ति को कोई उजर व एतराज हो तो  
दिनांक 25-5-92 को सुबह 10 बजे हाजिर होकर पेश कर सकता  
है वरनः उपरोक्त व्यक्ति की जन्म तिथि दर्ज करने वारे सम्बन्धित  
सचिव पंचायत को उपरोक्त बताई गई तिथि दर्ज करने वारे  
आदेश दे दिये जायेंगे।

आज दिनांक 24-4-92 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर कार्यालय से  
जारी हुआ ।

मोहर ।

बी० आर० जम्बाल,  
उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, ऊना,  
जिला ऊना,

व अदालत श्री बी० आर० जम्बाल, उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, ऊना  
जिला ऊना (हि०प्र०)

श्री नरेश कुमार सुपुत्र श्री नत्यू राम, निवासी झलेडा, तहसील व  
जिला ऊना (हि०प्र०) प्रार्थी ।

वनाम

आम जनता

दरखास्त जेर धारा 13(3) जन्म एवम् मृत्यु रजिस्ट्रीकरण  
एक्ट, 1969

श्री नरेश कुमार सुपुत्र श्री नत्यू राम, निवासी झलेडा, तहसील व जिला  
ऊना (हि०प्र०) ने इस कार्यालय में गुजारिश की है कि उसकी पुत्री

कमारी जिता देवी व पुत्र श्री गोविन्द कुमार का जन्म दिनांक 1-4-  
86 व 20-3-87 को हुआ है लेकिन उनका जन्म तिथि दर्ज करने वारे सम्बन्धित  
रिकार्ड में दर्ज नहीं हुई है।

अतः सर्व साधारण को इस इश्तहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है  
कि इस बारे थदि किसी व्यक्ति को कोई उजर व एतराज हो तो  
दिनांक 25-5-92 को सुबह 10 बजे हाजिर होकर पेश कर सकता  
है वरनः उपरोक्त व्यक्ति की जन्म तिथि दर्ज करने वारे सम्बन्धित  
सचिव पंचायत को उपरोक्त बताई गई तिथि दर्ज करने वारे  
आदेश दे दिये जायेंगे।

आज दिनांक 24-4-92 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर कार्यालय से  
जारी हुआ ।

मोहर ।

बी० आर० जम्बाल,  
उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, ऊना,  
जिला ऊना ।

व अदालत श्री बी० आर० जम्बाल, उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, ऊना  
जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश

श्री मदन लाल सुपुत्र श्री राम आमरा, निवासी पूरोहित, सत-तहसील  
हरोली, जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश ने इस कार्यालय में गुजारिश  
की है कि उसकी पुत्री कमारी सुपमा देवी का जन्म दिनांक  
17-5-1987 को हुआ है लेकिन उसकी जन्म की तिथि पंचायत रिकार्ड  
में दर्ज नहीं हुई है।

अतः सर्व साधारण को इस इश्तहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है  
कि इस बारे थदि किसी व्यक्ति को कोई उजर व एतराज हो तो  
दिनांक 25-5-1992 को सुबह 10 बजे हाजिर होकर पेश कर सकता  
है वरनः उपरोक्त व्यक्ति की जन्म तिथि दर्ज करने वारे सम्बन्धित  
सचिव पंचायत को उपरोक्त बताई गई तिथि दर्ज करने वारे  
आदेश दे दिये जायेंगे।

आज दिनांक 24-4-1992 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर कार्यालय से  
जारी हुआ ।

मोहर ।

बी० आर० जम्बाल,  
उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट,  
ऊना, जिला ऊना ।

व अदालत श्री बी० आर० जम्बाल, उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, ऊना  
जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश

श्री अशोक कुमार सुपुत्र श्री राजा राम, निवासी बटूही, तहसील व जिला  
ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश प्रार्थी ।

वनाम

आम जनता

दरखास्त जेर धारा 13(3) जन्म एवम् मृत्यु रजिस्ट्रीकरण एक्ट,  
1969.

श्री अशोक कुमार सुपुत्र श्री राजा राम, निवासी बिटूही, तहसील व  
जिला ऊना ने इस कार्यालय में गुजारिश की है कि उसकी भतीजी  
कुमारी जिता देवी व पुत्र श्री गोविन्द कुमार का जन्म दिनांक 12-4-1986  
हुआ है लेकिन उसकी जन्म की तिथि पंचायत रिकार्ड में दर्ज  
नहीं हुआ हुई है।



सचिव पंचायत को उपरोक्त बताई गई तिथि दर्ज करने वारे आदेश दें दिये जायेंगे ।

आज दिनांक 23-4-1992 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर कार्यालय से जारी हुआ ।

मोहर ।

बी 0 आर 0 जम्बाल,  
उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट,  
ऊना, जिला ऊना ।

व अदालत श्री बी 0 आर 0 जम्बाल, उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, ऊना जिला ऊना (हि 0 प्र०)

श्री हंस राज सुपुत्र श्री रिक्षी राम, निवासी बालेडा, तहसील व जिला ऊना ना (हि 0 प्र०)

दरखास्त जेर धारा 13(3) जन्म एवम् मृत्यु रजिस्ट्रीकरण एकट, 1969.

श्री हंस राज सुपुत्र श्री रिक्षी राम, निवासी बालेडा, तहसील व जिला ऊना ने इस कार्यालय में गुजारिश की है कि उसकी पुत्री कुमारी दिन्द्र कौर का जन्म दिनांक 21-7-1987 को हुआ है लेकिन उसकी जन्म की तिथि पंचायत रिकांड में दर्ज नहीं हुई है ।

अतः सर्व साधारण को इस इष्टहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि इस बारे यदि किसी व्यक्ति को कोई उजर व एतराज हो तो दिनांक 25-5-92 को सुबह 10 बजे हाजिर होकर पेश कर सकता है वरना उपरोक्त व्यक्ति की जन्म तिथि दर्ज करने वारे सम्बन्धित सचिव पंचायत को उपरोक्त बताई गई तिथि दर्ज करने वारे आदेश दें दिये जायेंगे ।

आज दिनांक 24-4-92 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर कार्यालय से जारी हुआ ।

मोहर ।

बी 0 आर 0 जम्बाल,  
उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, ऊना,  
जिला ऊना ।

व अदालत श्री बी 0 आर 0 जम्बाल, उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, ऊना जिला ऊना (हि 0 प्र०)

श्री कश्मीरी लाल सुपुत्र श्री रत्न चन्द, निवासी बन्जाल, नप्पा हेल तहसील बन्नाणा, जिला ऊना (हि 0 प्र०)

बालम  
आम जनता

दरखास्त जेर धारा 13(3) जन्म एवम् मृत्यु रजिस्ट्रीकरण एकट, 1969.

श्री कश्मीरी लाल सुपुत्र श्री रत्न चन्द, निवासी बन्जाल, नप्पा हेल तहसील बन्नाणा, जिला ऊना ने इस कार्यालय में गुजारिश की है कि उसकी पुत्री बीना कुमारी का जन्म दिनांक 12-10-1986 को हुआ है लेकिन उसकी जन्म की तिथि पंचायत रिकांड में दर्ज नहीं हुई है ।

अतः सर्व साधारण को इस इष्टहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि इस बारे यदि किसी व्यक्ति को कोई उजर व एतराज हो तो दिनांक 25-5-92 को सुबह 10 बजे हाजिर होकर पेश कर सकता है वरना उपरोक्त व्यक्ति की जन्म तिथि दर्ज करने वारे सम्बन्धित सचिव पंचायत को उपरोक्त बताई गई तिथि दर्ज करने वारे आदेश दें दिये जायेंगे ।

आज दिनांक 24-4-1992 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर कार्यालय से जारी हुआ ।

मोहर ।

बी 0 आर 0 जम्बाल,  
उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, ऊना,  
जिला ऊना ।

व अदालत श्री बी 0 आर 0 जम्बाल, उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, ऊना जिला ऊना (हि 0 प्र०)

श्री गरमोहन सिंह सुपुत्र श्री चिरंजी लाल, निवासी बालेडा, तहसील व जिला ऊना

दरखास्त जेर धारा 13 (3) जन्म एवम् मृत्यु रजिस्ट्रीकरण एकट, 1969.

श्री गरमोहन सिंह सुपुत्र श्री चिरंजी लाल, निवासी बालेडा, तहसील व जिला ऊना (हि 0 प्र०) ने इस कार्यालय में गुजारिश की है कि उसकी पुत्र श्री प्रदीप कुमार का जन्म दिनांक 14-3-1987 को हुआ है लेकिन उसकी जन्म की तिथि पंचायत रिकांड में दर्ज नहीं हुई है ।

अतः सर्व साधारण को इस इष्टहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि इस बारे यदि किसी व्यक्ति को कोई उजर व एतराज हो तो दिनांक 25-5-92 को सुबह 10 बजे हाजिर होकर पेश कर सकता है वरना उपरोक्त व्यक्ति की जन्म तिथि दर्ज करने वारे सम्बन्धित सचिव पंचायत को उपरोक्त बताई गई तिथि दर्ज करने वारे आदेश दें दिये जायेंगे ।

आज दिनांक 24-4-92 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर कार्यालय से जारी हुआ ।

मोहर ।

बी 0 आर 0 जम्बाल,  
उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, ऊना,  
जिला ऊना ।

व अदालत श्री बी 0 आर 0 जम्बाल, उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, ऊना जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश

श्री राम पाल सुपुत्र श्री अमर नाथ निवासी टक्का, जिला ऊना प्रार्थी ।

बनाम

आम जनता

दरखास्त जेर धारा 13 (3) जन्म एवम् मृत्यु रजिस्ट्रीकरण एकट, 1969.

श्री राम पाल सुपुत्र अमर नाथ, निवासी टक्का, जिला ऊना हिमाचल प्रदेश ने इस कार्यालय में गुजारिश की है कि उसके पुत्र श्री मुकुश शर्मा का जन्म दिनांक 15-2-1987 को हुआ है लेकिन उसकी जन्म तिथि पंचायत रिकांड में दर्ज नहीं हुई है ।

अतः सर्व साधारण को इस इष्टहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि इस बारे यदि किसी व्यक्ति को कोई उजर व एतराज हो तो दिनांक 25-5-1992 को सुबह 10 बजे हाजिर होकर पेश कर सकता है वरना उपरोक्त व्यक्ति की जन्म तिथि दर्ज करने वारे सम्बन्धित सचिव पंचायत को उपरोक्त बताई गई तिथि दर्ज करने वारे आदेश दें दिये जायेंगे ।

आज दिनांक 24-4-1992 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर कार्यालय से जारी हुआ ।

मोहर ।

बी 0 आर 0 जम्बाल,  
उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट,  
ऊना, जिला ऊना ।

व अदालत श्री बी 0 आर 0 जम्बाल, उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, ऊना, जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश

श्री तिलक राज सुपुत्र श्री सिरी राम, निवासी टक्का, तहसील व जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश प्रार्थी ।

बनाम

आम जनता

दरखास्त जेर धारा 13 (3) जन्म एवम् मृत्यु रजिस्ट्रीकरण एकट, 1969.

श्री तिलक राज सुपुत्र श्री सिरी राम, निवासी टक्का, तहसील व जिला ऊना ने इस कार्यालय में गुजारिश की है कि उसकी पुत्र



वा. १. विनांक २४-१९९२ को नरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर कार्यालय  
मा जारी हुआ।

मातृ ।

बा० आरा० जम्बाल,  
उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट,  
कला, जिला कला।

भा० विनांक २४-१९९२ का मरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर कार्यालय  
मा जारी हुआ।

बा० आरा० जम्बाल,  
उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट,  
कला, जिला कला।

वा० जम्बाल थी बापा० आरा० जम्बाल, उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, कला,  
जिला कला, हिमाचल प्रदेश

थी परमेश लाल मुमुक्षु थी बक्सी राम, निवासी बसवहड़ा, तहसील  
व जिला कला, हिमाचल प्रदेश

बापा० नम

आम जनता

उपर्युक्त जेर धारा १३ (३) जन्म एवं मृत्यु रजिस्ट्रेशन  
प्रैक्ट, १९६४।

थी परमेश लाल मुमुक्षु थी बक्सी राम, निवासी बसवहड़ा, तहसील  
व जिला कला, हिमाचल प्रदेश ने इस कार्यालय में मुजाहिद की  
है। कि उकी पुत्री बच्चा कुमारी वा० जन्म विनांक १५-४-१९८६  
को हुआ है लेफिन उनकी जन्म की तिथि पंचांग तिवारी में बर्ज  
नहीं हुई है।

अब० सरे साधारण को कै॒इहार वारा॒ सूचित किया जाता है  
कि इस नारे धीरि किसी बच्चा को कोई उत्तर व प्रतीक्षा हो तो विनांक  
२५-५-१९९२ को मूर्ख १० बजे हाजिर होकर पत्र कर सकता है,  
क्योंकि इसना उपर्युक्त बच्चा की जन्म तिथि बर्जे बर्जे वारे  
तहसील सचिव पंचांग को प्रश्नेकर बताई गई तिथि दर्जे बारने  
वारे आवेदन दिये जायें।

वा० विनांक २५-५-१९९२ को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर कार्यालय से  
जारी हुआ।

मोहर।

बा० आरा० जम्बाल,  
उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट,  
कला, जिला कला।

मोहर।

वा० अदालत थी बा० आरा० जम्बाल, उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, कला,  
जिला कला, हिमाचल प्रदेश

मौलिक भवन, मुमुक्षु रने राम, निवासी बसवहड़ा, तहसील  
बसवहड़ा, जिला कला, हिमाचल प्रदेश  
. . . . .

बनाम

आम जनता

उपर्युक्त जेर धारा १३ (३) जन्म एवं मृत्यु रजिस्ट्रेशन प्रैक्ट,  
१९६४।

थी गंगा० चतुर मुमुक्षु रने राम, निवासी बसवहड़ा, तहसील  
बसवहड़ा, जिला कला ने इस कार्यालय में गुजारिश की है कि उनके  
वाहन थी सुर्जी। यिह सुर्जी को विधान चतुर का जन्म विनांक २-७-८६  
का हुआ है लेकिन उनकी जन्म तिथि बर्जे बर्जे वारे  
तहसील सचिव पंचांग में बर्जे बर्जे दिया दर्जे करने  
वारे आवेदन दिये जायें।

यह संबंधित आवेदन को इस इत्तहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता  
है कि इस वारे किसी आवेदन को कोई उत्तर व प्रतीक्षा हो तो विनांक  
२७-५-१९९२ को मूर्ख १० बजे हाजिर होकर पत्र कर सकता है,  
क्योंकि उपर्युक्त बच्चा की जन्म तिथि बर्जे करने वारे तहसील सचिव,  
पंचांग को उपरोक्त बताई गई तिथि दर्जे करने वारे आवेदन दिये  
जायें।

आप॒ विनांक २४-५-१९९२ को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर कार्यालय  
से जारी हुआ।

बा० आरा० जम्बाल,  
उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट,  
कला, जिला कला।

वा० जम्बाल थी बा० आरा० जम्बाल, उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, कला,  
जिला कला, हिमाचल प्रदेश

थी प्रकाश चतुर मुमुक्षु थी कालन मिहू, निवासी गायमुर मेवान, तहसील  
बसवहड़ा, जिला कला, हिमाचल प्रदेश  
. . . . .

बापा० नम

आम जनता

उपर्युक्त जेर धारा १३ (३) जन्म एवं मृत्यु रजिस्ट्रेशन प्रैक्ट,  
१९६९।

थी प्रकाश चतुर मुमुक्षु थी कालन मिहू, निवासी गायमुर मेवान, तहसील  
बसवहड़ा, जिला कला, हिमाचल प्रदेश को हुआ है लेफिन उनकी जन्म की तिथि पंचांग  
तिवारी में बर्जे नहीं हुई है।

अब० सरे साधारण को इस इत्तहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है  
कि इस वारे इस तिथि बच्चा कोई उत्तर व प्रतीक्षा हो तो  
विनांक २५-६-१९९२ को मूर्ख १० बजे हाजिर होकर पत्र कर सकता है,  
क्योंकि उपर्युक्त बच्चा की जन्म तिथि बर्जे बर्जे वारे  
तहसील सचिव पंचांग को प्रश्नेकर बताई गई तिथि दर्जे बारने  
वारे आवेदन दिये जायें।

ब सुकाहमा०

बीमती भला बक्सी पुत्री थी मुरारा कुमार, वारी पांसी भजौर,  
तहसील वेहरा, हिमाचल प्रदेश  
. . . . .

समस्त जनता

...प्रत्यार्थी।

उपर्युक्त जेर धारा १३ (३) जन्म एवं मृत्यु रजिस्ट्रेशन, १९६९।

नोटिस बापा० नम : समस्त जनता।

भीमती भजौरा बक्सी ने इस अवालन में बापा० नम : समस्त जनता  
पुत्री कुमारी साना बक्सी का जन्म पंचांग रेपिल्ड में भजौरी से दर्जे न  
कर दिया गया है अब वर्जे किया जावे। उनकी पुत्री की जन्म तिथि  
४-१-१९८७ है लेफिन उनके जन्म आवेदन को समस्त जनता में जारी  
कर दिया जावे।

भानु एवं नोटिस द्वारा समस्त जनता तथा सम्बन्धित विवेदी  
को मुमुक्षु किया जाता है कि आप॒ किसी जी इसके नाम यजे के ने  
वारे कोई अपति हो तो विनांक ३०-६-१९२ को समस्त १० बजे भारी  
स्वयं अवता॒ यिह बक्सी बक्सी के सामयमें हमारे समित अवालन में  
तहसील भजौर आवेदन के सम्बन्ध में एक उपर्युक्त कामेनाही अभूत में लाई  
जावेगी।

आज दिनांक 22-4-92 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी हुआ।

मोहर।

हस्ताक्षरित/-  
उप-मण्डल अधिकारी, देहरा,  
जिला कांगड़ा, हिमाचल प्रदेश।

आज दिनांक 21-4-1992 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी हुआ।

हस्ताक्षरित/-  
उप-मण्डल न्यायाधीश, देहरा,  
जिला कांगड़ा (हि० प्र०)।

ब अदालत उप-मण्डल अधिकारी/न्यायाधीश, देहरा, जिला कांगड़ा, हिमाचल प्रदेश।

ब मुकदमा :

श्री रमेश कुमार पुत्र श्री रोशन लाल, निवासी लुदरेट, तहसील देहरा, जिला कांगड़ा, हिमाचल प्रदेश ...प्रार्थी।

बनाम

समस्त जनता

...प्रत्यार्थी।

दरखास्त जेर धारा 13(3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु अधिनियम, 1969.

नोटिस बनाम : समस्त जनता।

श्री रमेश कुमार ने इस अदालत में दरखास्त दी है कि उसके पुत्र श्री कुमार का जन्म पंचायत रजिस्टर में गलती से दर्ज न करवाया गया है अब दर्ज किया जावे। उसके पुत्र की जन्म तिथि 3-12-1986 है तथा बच्चे का जन्म गांव लुदरेट में हुआ था।

अतः इस नोटिस द्वारा समस्त जनता तथा सम्बन्धित रिप्टेदारों को सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी को इस का नाम दर्ज करने वारे कोई आपत्ति/उजर हो तो वह दिनांक 30-5-1992 को समय 10 बजे प्रातः स्वयं अथवा किसी वकील के माध्यम से हमारे समक्ष अदालत में हाजर आकर पेश करें अन्यथा एक तरफा कार्यवाही असत में लाई जावेगी।

आज दिनांक 21-4-1992 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी हुआ।

मोहर।

हस्ताक्षरित/-  
उप-मण्डल अधिकारी,  
देहरा, जिला कांगड़ा, हिमाचल प्रदेश।

ब अदालत उप-मण्डल अधिकारी/न्यायाधीश, देहरा, जिला, कांगड़ा, हिमाचल प्रदेश

ब मुकदमा :

श्री मरदान चन्द पुत्र रसीला राम, निवासी लुदरेट, तहसील देहरा ...प्रार्थी।

बनाम

समस्त जनता

प्रत्यार्थी।

दरखास्त जेर धारा 13 (3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु अधिनियम, 1969.

नोटिस बनाम : समस्त जनता।

श्री मरदान चन्द पुत्र श्री रसीला राम, निवासी लुदरेट, तहसील देहरा ने इस द्रवदालत में दरखास्त दी है कि उसके पुत्र अजय कुमार का जन्म तिथि पंचायत रजिस्टर में गलती से दर्ज न कराया गई है, अब दर्ज की जावे। उसके पुत्र की जन्म तिथि 20-11-1986 है तथा उसका जन्म गांव लुदरेट, तहसील देहरा में हुआ था।

अतः इस नोटिस द्वारा समस्त जनता तथा सम्बन्धित रिप्टेदारों को सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी को इसकी जन्म तिथि दर्ज करने वारे कोई आपत्ति/उजर हो तो वह दिनांक 30-5-1992 समय 10.00 बजे प्रातः स्वयं अथवा किसी वकील के माध्यम से हमारे समक्ष अदालत में हाजर आकर पेश करें अन्यथा एक तरफा कार्यवाही असत में लाई जावेगी।

ब अदालत उप-मण्डल अधिकारी/न्यायाधीश, देहरा, जिला कांगड़ा, हिमाचल प्रदेश

ब मुकदमा :

श्री देस राज पुत्र श्री कश्मीर चन्द, निवासी वासा (हरिपुर), तहसील देहरा ...प्रार्थी।

बनाम

समस्त जनता

...प्रत्यार्थी।

दरखास्त जेर धारा 13 (3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु अधिनियम, 1969.

नोटिस बनाम : समस्त जनता।

श्री देस राज पुत्र श्री कश्मीर चन्द निवासी वासा (हरिपुर) ने इस अदालत में दरखास्त दी है कि उसके पुत्र श्री अविनाश कुमार का जन्म पंचायत रजिस्टर में गलती से दर्ज न करवाया गया है, अब दर्ज किया जावे। उसके पुत्र की जन्म तिथि 30-3-1988 है तथा बच्चे का जन्म गांव वासा, तहसील देहरा में हुआ था।

अतः इस नोटिस द्वारा समस्त जनता तथा सम्बन्धित रिप्टेदारों को सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी को इस का नाम दर्ज करने वारे कोई आपत्ति/उजर हो तो वह दिनांक 30-5-1992 समय 10.00 बजे प्रातः स्वयं अथवा किसी वकील के माध्यम से हमारे समक्ष अदालत में हाजर आकर पेश करें अन्यथा एक तरफा कार्यवाही असत में लाई जावेगी।

आज दिनांक 20-4-1992 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी हुआ।

मोहर।

हस्ताक्षरित/-  
उप-मण्डल न्यायाधीश, देहरा,  
जिला कांगड़ा, हिमाचल प्रदेश।

ब अदालत उप-मण्डल अधिकारी/न्यायाधीश, देहरा, जिला कांगड़ा, हिमाचल प्रदेश (हि० प्र०)

ब मुकदमा :

श्री शेर सिंह पुत्र श्री वधावा राम, निवासी गरली, तहसील देहरा जिला कांगड़ा ...प्रार्थी।

बनाम

समस्त जनता

...प्रत्यार्थी।

दरखास्त जेर धारा 13(3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु अधिनियम, 1969.

नोटिस बनाम : समस्त जनता।

श्री शेर मिह पुत्र श्री वधावा राम, निवासी गरली, तहसील देहरा ने इस अदालत में दरखास्त दी है कि उसके पुत्र शास्ता कुमार का जन्म पंचायत रजिस्टर में गलती से दर्ज नहीं करवाया गया है, अब दर्ज किया जावे। उसके पुत्र की जन्म तिथि 28-4-1987 है तथा बच्चे का जन्म गांव गरली, तहसील देहरा में हुआ था।

अतः इस नोटिस द्वारा समस्त जनता तथा सम्बन्धित रिप्टेदारों को सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी को इसकी जन्म तिथि दर्ज करने वारे कोई आपत्ति/उजर हो तो वह दिनांक 30-5-1992 को समय 10 बजे प्रातः स्वयं अथवा किसी वकील के माध्यम से हमारे

समस्त अदालत में हाजर आकर पेश करें अन्यथा एक तरफा कार्य-  
वाही अमल में लाई जावेगी।

आज दिनांक 21-4-1992 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत  
से जारी हुआ।

मोहर।

हस्ताक्षरित/-  
उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, देहरा,  
जिला कांगड़ा (हि० प्र०)।

ब अदालत उप-मण्डल अधिकारी/न्यायाधीश देहरा, जिला कांगड़ा,  
हिमाचल प्रदेश

ब मुकद्दमा:

श्री मुनिवर राज पुत्र श्री चेतन राम, निवासी चनौहता, तहसील देहरा  
प्रार्थी।

बनाम

समस्त जनता

प्रत्यार्थी।

दरखास्त जेर धारा 13 (3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु एकट, 1969.

नोटिस बनाम: समस्त जनता।

श्री मुलय राज पुत्र श्री चेतन राम, निवासी चनौहता, तहसील देहरा  
ने इस अदालत में दरखास्त दी है कि उसकी पुत्रिया पूजा एवं सीमा  
का जन्म पंचायत रजिस्टर में गलती से दर्ज न करवाया गया  
है, अब दर्ज किया जाए। उसकी पतियों की जन्म तिथि क्रमांक:  
3-4-1987 व 20-12-1988 हैं तथा बच्चों का जन्म गांव  
चनौहता, तहसील देहरा में हुआ था।

अतः हग नोटिस द्वारा समस्त जनता तथा सम्बन्धित रिप्रेटेटरों  
को सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी को इनका नाम दर्ज  
करने कारे कोई आपत्ति/उजर हो तो वह दिनांक 30-5-1992 समय  
10 बजे प्रातः स्वयं अथवा किसी वकील के साध्यम से हमारे समस्त  
अदालत में हाजर आकर पेश करें अन्यथा एक तरफा कार्यवाही  
अमल में लाई जावेगी।

आज दिनांक 21-4-1992 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत  
से जारी हुआ।

मोहर।

हस्ताक्षरित/-  
उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, देहरा,  
जिला कांगड़ा (हि० प्र०)।

ब अदालत उप-मण्डल अधिकारी/न्यायाधीश, देहरा, जिला कांगड़ा  
(हि० प्र०)

ब मुकद्दमा:

श्री अरुण कुमार पुत्र श्री कांशी राम, वासी गरली, उप-तहसील रकड़  
जिला कांगड़ा प्रार्थी।

बनाम

समस्त जनता

प्रत्यार्थी।

दरखास्त जेर धारा 13 (3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु अधिनियम, 1969.

नोटिस बनाम: समस्त जनता।

श्री अरुण कुमार पुत्र श्री कांशी राम, निवासी गरली, उप-तहसील  
रकड़ ने इस अदालत में दरखास्त दी है कि उसके सुपुत्र श्री सुनीश  
कुमार, का जन्म पंचायत रजिस्टर में गलती से दर्ज नहीं करवाया  
गया है, अब दर्ज किया जावे। उसके पुत्र की जन्म तिथि  
12-2-1988 है तथा उसका जन्म गांव गरली, उप-तहसील रकड़  
में हुआ था।

अतः इस नोटिस द्वारा समस्त जनता तथा सम्बन्धित रिप्रेटेटरों  
को सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी को इनका नाम दर्ज  
करने कारे कोई आपत्ति/उजर हो तो वह दिनांक 30-5-1992 को  
समय 10 बजे प्रातः स्वयं अथवा किसी वकील के साध्यम से हमारे  
समस्त अदालत में हाजर आकर पेश करें अन्यथा एक तरफा कार्यवाही  
अमल में लाई जावेगी।

आज दिनांक 21-4-1992 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत  
से जारी हुआ।

मोहर।

हस्ताक्षरित/-  
उप-मण्डल न्यायाधीश, देहरा,  
जिला कांगड़ा (हि० प्र०)।

ब अदालत उप-मण्डल अधिकारी/न्यायाधीश, देहरा, जिला कांगड़ा  
(हि० प्र०)

ब मुकद्दमा:

श्री मुरम चन्द पुत्र श्री जोगु गम, निवासी अम्ब डोली (पठ्यार),  
तहसील देहरा प्रार्थी।

बनाम

समस्त जनता

प्रत्यार्थी।

दरखास्त जेर धारा 13 (3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु अधिनियम, 1969.

नोटिस बनाम: समस्त जनता।

श्री मुरम चन्द पुत्र जोगु गम, निवासी अम्ब डोली (पठ्यार), तहसील  
देहरा ने इस अदालत में दरखास्त दी है कि उसके पुत्र चित्रधर कुमार  
का जन्म पंचायत रजिस्टर में गलती से दर्ज नहीं करवाया गया है,  
अब दर्ज किया जावे। उसके पुत्र की जन्म तिथि 1-9-1985  
है तथा बच्चे का जन्म गांव अम्ब (पठ्यार), तहसील देहरा में  
हुआ था।

अतः इस नोटिस द्वारा समस्त जनता तथा सम्बन्धित रिप्रेटेटरों  
को सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी को इसका नाम दर्ज  
करने कारे कोई आपत्ति/उजर हो तो वह दिनांक 30-5-1992 को  
समय 10 बजे प्रातः स्वयं अथवा किसी वकील के साध्यम से हमारे  
समस्त अदालत में हाजर आकर पेश करें अन्यथा एक तरफा कार्यवाही  
अमल में लाई जावेगी।

आज दिनांक 22-4-1992 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत  
से जारी हुआ।

मोहर।

हस्ताक्षरार/-

उप-मण्डल न्यायाधीश, देहरा,  
जिला कांगड़ा (हि० प्र०)।

ब अदालत उप-मण्डल अधिकारी/न्यायाधीश, देहरा, जिला कांगड़ा,  
हिमाचल प्रदेश

ब मुकद्दमा:

सुश्रमा चन्देल

प्रार्थी।

बनाम

आम जनता

प्रत्यार्थी।

दरखास्त जेर धारा 13 (3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु अधिनियम, 1969.

नोटिस बनाम: समस्त जनता।

श्रीमती सुश्रमा चन्देल पत्नी श्री केशव कुण्डल चन्देल, वासी कसवा कोहासन,  
तहसील देहरा ने इस अदालत में दरखास्त दी है कि उसकी पुत्री  
पुनीषा चन्देल व पायल चन्देल का जन्म पंचायत रजिस्टर में गलती से  
दर्ज न करवाया गया है, अब दर्ज किया जावे। उसकी पुत्री/पुनीषा की जन्म

तिथि धर्मवार 10-10-1979 तथा 15-12-1983 है तथा बच्चे का जन्म गांव काहामन, पांसट आफिस बड़ा, नो देहरा में हुआ था।

प्रति: इस नोटिस द्वारा समस्त जनता तथा सम्बन्धित रिटेलरों को सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी को इसका नाम दर्ज करने वारे कोई आपत्ति/उजार हो तो वह दिनांक 30-5-1992 समय 10 बजे प्रातः स्वयं अवया किसी वकील के माध्यम से हमारे समक्ष अदालत में हाजिर आकर पेण करें अत्यथा एकतरफा कार्यवाही अमल में लाई जावेगी।

आज दिनांक 6-4-1992 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत में लाई हुई।

मात्रा :

हस्ताक्षरतः-  
उप-मण्डल अधिकारी/व्यावाधीन, देहरा, जिला कांगड़ा (हि० प्र०)।

व अदालत उप-मण्डल अधिकारी/व्यावाधीन, देहरा, जिला कांगड़ा, हिमाचल प्रदेश

व मकानमा :

धो गांव लाल पुत्र सन्मा राम, वासी रामगुरु नमदां, तहसील देहरा \*प्रार्थी।

वनाम

\*प्रत्यार्थी।

समस्त अन्य

दरखास्त वर धारा 13(3) अन्म प्रब्र० मृत्यु प्रधानियम, 1969.

नोटिस बनाम : समस्त अन्य।

श्री शाम लाल पुत्र सन्मा राम ने इस अदालत में दरखास्त दी है कि उसके पुत्र/पुत्री श्री अस्त्र कमार व गीता कमारी का जन्म पवायत रजिस्टर में गलती से दर्ज न करवाया गया है अब दर्ज किया जावे। उसके पुत्र/पुत्री की जन्म तिथि क्रमांक: 0-7-1988 व 0-7-1986 है तथा बच्चे का जन्म गांव रामगुरु जमला में हुआ था।

प्रति: इस नोटिस द्वारा समस्त जनता तथा सम्बन्धित रिटेलरों को सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी को इसका नाम दर्ज करने वारे कोई आपत्ति/उजार हो तो वह दिनांक 30-5-92 समय 10 बजे प्रातः स्वयं अवया किसी वकील के माध्यम से हमारे समक्ष अदालत में हाजिर आकर पेण करें अत्यथा एकतरफा कार्यवाही अमल में लाई जावेगी।

आज दिनांक 20-4-1992 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत में लाई हुया।

मात्रा ।

हस्ताक्षरतः-  
उप-मण्डल अधिकारी/व्यावाधीन, देहरा, जिला कांगड़ा (हि० प्र०)।

व अदालत उप-मण्डल अधिकारी/व्यावाधीन, देहरा, जिला कांगड़ा (हि० प्र०)

व मकानमा :

श्री नमाय गिरह पुत्र गम वाम, वासी वही, पांसट आफिस जम्बल, नह० देहरा, जिला कांगड़ा (हि० प्र०) \*प्रार्थी।

वनाम

\*प्रत्यार्थी।

दरखास्त वर धारा 13(3) अन्म प्रब्र० मृत्यु प्रधानियम, 1969.

नोटिस बनाम : समस्त अन्य।

श्री नमाय गिरह ने इस प्रतालत में दरखास्त दी है कि उसके पुत्र श्री गम वाम दरखास्त वही जन्म पंचायत रजिस्टर में गलती से दर्ज न करवाया गया है अब दर्ज किया जावे। उसके पुत्र श्री गम तिथि 22-10-1986 है तथा वह जन्म गांव गम्बाला में हुआ था।

प्रति: इस नोटिस द्वारा समस्त जनता तथा सम्बन्धित रिटेलरों को सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी को इसका नाम दर्ज करने वारे कोई आपत्ति/उजार हो तो वह दिनांक 30-5-92 समय 10.00 बजे प्रातः स्वयं अवया किसी वकील के माध्यम से हमारे समक्ष अदालत में हाजिर आकर पेण करें अत्यथा एकतरफा कार्यवाही अमल में लाई जावेगी।

आज दिनांक 20-4-92 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत में लाई हुया।

मोहर।

हस्ताक्षरतः-  
उप-मण्डल अधिकारी/व्यावाधीन, देहरा, जिला कांगड़ा, हिमाचल प्रदेश (हि० प्र०)।

व अदालत उप-मण्डल अधिकारी/व्यावाधीन, देहरा, जिला कांगड़ा, हिमाचल प्रदेश

व मुकाद्दमा:

श्री दुर्गा दाम पुत्र हीरा लाल, विवासी परागपुर, तह० देहरा \*प्रार्थी।

बनाम

\*प्रत्यार्थी।

दरखास्त वर धारा 13(3) अन्म प्रब्र० मृत्यु प्रधानियम, 1969.

नोटिस बनाम : समस्त अन्य।

श्री दुर्गा दाम पुत्र श्री हीरा लाल ने इस अदालत में दरखास्त दी है कि उसकी पीत सीधी कुमार पुत्र भवर मिह का जन्म पंचायत रजिस्टर में गलती से दर्ज न करवाया गया है, प्रब दर्ज किया जावे। उसके पीत की जन्म तिथि 1-12-1986 है तथा बच्चे का जन्म गांव देहरा में हुआ था।

प्रति: इस नोटिस द्वारा समस्त जनता तथा सम्बन्धित रिटेलरों को सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी को इसका नाम दर्ज करने वारे कोई आपत्ति/उजार हो तो वह दिनांक 30-5-92 समय 10 बजे प्रातः स्वयं अवया किसी वकील के माध्यम से हमारे समक्ष अदालत में हाजिर आकर पेण करें अत्यथा एकतरफा कार्यवाही अमल में लाई जावेगी।

आज दिनांक 20-4-1992 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत में लाई हुया।

मोहर।

हस्ताक्षरतः-  
उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, देहरा, जिला कांगड़ा (हि० प्र०)।

व अदालत उप-मण्डल अधिकारी/व्यावाधीन, देहरा, जिला कांगड़ा, हिमाचल प्रदेश

व मुकाद्दमा:

श्री मनमा राम पुत्र वमन राम, वासी वही, पांसट आफिस जम्बल, नह० देहरा, जिला कांगड़ा (हि० प्र०) \*प्रार्थी।

बनाम

\*प्रत्यार्थी।

दरखास्त वर धारा 13(3) अन्म प्रब्र० मृत्यु प्रधानियम, 1969.

नोटिस बनाम : समस्त अन्य।

श्री मनमा राम ने इस प्रतालत में दरखास्त दी है कि उसके दाता श्री गम वाम का जन्म पंचायत रजिस्टर में गलती से दर्ज न करवाया गया है अब दर्ज किया जावे। उसके दाता की जन्म तिथि 11-8-1986 है तथा वह जन्म गांव गम्बाला में हुआ था।

प्रति: इस नोटिस द्वारा समस्त जनता तथा सम्बन्धित रिटेलरों को सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी को इसका नाम दर्ज करने वारे कोई आपत्ति/उजार हो तो वह दिनांक 30-5-92 समय 10.00 बजे प्रातः स्वयं अवया किसी वकील के माध्यम से हमारे समक्ष अदालत में हाजिर आकर पेण करें अत्यथा एकतरफा कार्यवाही अमल में लाई जावेगी।

वारे गोई ग्रामपत्र/उजार हो तो वह दिनांक 30-5-1992 ग्राम्य 10 बजे प्रातः स्वयं अधिकार किसी वकील के माध्यम से हमारे समक्ष अदावत में हाजर आकर पेश करें अन्यथा एकतरफा कार्यवाही अप्रसन्न में नाई जावेगी।

प्राज दिनांक 20-4-1992 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मंहार अदावत में जारी हुआ।

मोहर।

हस्ताक्षरिण/-  
उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, देहरा,  
जिला कांगड़ा (हि० प्र०)।

ब अदावत उप-मण्डल अधिकारी/न्यायाधीश, देहरा, जिला कांगड़ा (हि० प्र०)

ब नोटिस :

श्री भाग मिह पुत्र तोनु राम, निवासी गंगल (बीहण), तहसील देहरा

प्रार्थी।

बनाम

आम जनता

प्रत्यार्थी।

दरखास्त जेर धारा 13(3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु अधिनियम, 1969.

नोटिस बनाम : समस्त जनता।

श्री मात्र शिह पुत्र तोनु राम ने इस अदावत में दरखास्त दी है कि उम्मीदों पुत्री रीता कुमारी का जन्म पंचायत रजिस्टर में गर्ती से दर्ज न करवाया गया है, अब दर्ज किया जावे। उम्मीदों पुत्री की जन्म तिथि 19-1-1987 है तथा बच्चे का जन्म शांति वर्षांता (बीहण) में हुआ था।

अतः इस नोटिस द्वारा समस्त जनता तथा सम्बन्धित रिपोर्टरों को सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी का इसका नाम दर्ज करने वारे कोई आपात्ति/उगार हो तो वह दिनांक 30-5-92 ग्राम्य 10.00 बजे प्रातः स्वयं अधिकार किसी वकील के माध्यम से हमारे समक्ष अदावत में हाजर आकर पेश करें अन्यथा एकतरफा कार्यवाही अप्रसन्न में नाई जावेगी।

प्राज दिनांक 20-4-92 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मंहार अदावत में जारी हुआ।

मोहर।

हस्ताक्षरिण/-  
उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, देहरा,  
जिला कांगड़ा (हि० प्र०)।

ब अदावत उप-मण्डल अधिकारी/न्यायाधीश देहरा, जिला कांगड़ा हिमाचल प्रदेश

ब मुकदमा :

बनाम

श्रीमती मन्तोप कुमारी गर्नी श्री बीरद गर्मी, गर्नी मावत, तप्पा गांव, तहसील देहरा

प्रार्थी।

दरखास्त जेर धारा 13 (3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु अधिनियम, 1969.

नोटिस बनाम : समस्त जनता।

श्रीमती मन्तोप कुमारी ने इस अदावत में दरखास्त दी है कि उम्मीदों पुत्र शरण कुमार व जोगेन्द्र कुमार का जन्म पंचायत रजिस्टर में गर्ती से दर्ज न करवाया गया है यदि दर्ज किया जावे। उम्मीदों पुत्रों की जन्म तिथि 31-3-79 व 28-11-82 ग्राम्य है तथा बच्चों का जन्म शांति वर्षांता में हुआ था।

अतः इस नोटिस द्वारा समस्त जनता तथा सम्बन्धित रिपोर्टरों को सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी का इसका नाम दर्ज करने वारे कोई आपात्ति/उगार हो तो वह दिनांक 30-5-92 ग्राम्य 10 बजे प्रातः स्वयं अधिकार किसी वकील के माध्यम से हमारे समक्ष अदावत में हाजर आकर पेश करें अन्यथा एकतरफा कार्यवाही अप्रसन्न में नाई जावेगी।

प्राज दिनांक 20-4-92 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मंहार अदावत में जारी हुआ।

मोहर।

हस्ताक्षरिण/-  
उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, देहरा,  
जिला कांगड़ा (हि० प्र०)।

ब अदावत उप-मण्डल अधिकारी/न्यायाधीश देहरा, जिला कांगड़ा हिमाचल प्रदेश

ब मुकदमा :

श्रीमती पुष्पा देवी गर्नी श्री देमगाज, निवासी गनार, तहसील देहरा

प्रार्थी।

बनाम

आम जनता

प्रत्यार्थी।

दरखास्त जेर धारा 13 (3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु अधिनियम, 1969.

नोटिस बनाम : समस्त जनता।

श्रीमती पुष्पा देवी गर्नी श्री देमगाज ने इस अदावत में दरखास्त दी है कि उम्मीदों पुत्री श्रीमती गनार का जन्म पंचायत रजिस्टर में गर्ती से दर्ज न करवाया गया है यदि दर्ज किया जावे। उम्मीदों पुत्री की जन्म तिथि 23-2-87 है तथा बच्चे का जन्म गांव समार में हुआ था।

अतः इस नोटिस द्वारा समस्त जनता तथा सम्बन्धित रिपोर्टरों को सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी का इसका नाम दर्ज करने वारे कोई आपात्ति/उगार हो तो वह दिनांक 30-5-92 ग्राम्य 10 बजे प्रातः स्वयं अधिकार किसी वकील के माध्यम से हमारे समक्ष अदावत में हाजर आकर पेश करें अन्यथा एकतरफा कार्यवाही अप्रसन्न में नाई जावेगी।

प्राज दिनांक 20-4-92 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मंहार अदावत में जारी हुआ।

मोहर।

हस्ताक्षरिण/-  
उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, देहरा,  
जिला कांगड़ा (हि० प्र०)।

ब अदावत उप-मण्डल अधिकारी/न्यायाधीश देहरा, जिला कांगड़ा हिमाचल प्रदेश

ब मुकदमा :

श्रीमती मन्तोप कुमारी गर्नी मान्यता वाली गांव बीहण, डाकघर बीहण, तहसील देहरा, जिला कांगड़ा हिमाचल प्रदेश

प्रार्थी।

बनाम

आम जनता

प्रत्यार्थी।

दरखास्त जेर धारा 13 (3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु अधिनियम, 1969.

नोटिस बनाम आम जनता।

श्रीमती मन्तोप कुमारी ने इस अदावत में दरखास्त दी है कि उम्मीदों पुत्री मन्तोप कुमारी दर्शी का जन्म पंचायत रजिस्टर में गर्ती से दर्ज न करवाया गया है यदि दर्ज किया जावे। उम्मीदों पुत्री की जन्म तिथि 25-7-1986 है तथा बच्चे का जन्म गांव बीहण में हुआ था।

प्रत: इस नोटिस द्वारा समस्त जनता तथा सम्बन्धित रिटेडारों को सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी को इसका नाम दर्ज करने वारे काई आपत्ति/उजर हो तो वह दिनांक 30-5-92 समय 10 बजे प्रत: स्वयं अधिकार किसी वकील के माध्यम से हमारे समक्ष अदालत में हाजर आकर पेश करें अन्यथा एकत्रफा कार्यवाही अग्रल में लाई जावेगी।

आज दिनांक 22-4-92 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी हुए।

मोहर।

हस्ताक्षरतः-  
उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, देहरा,  
जिला कांगड़ा (हि ०४०)।

In the Court of Shri Janeshwar Goyal, District Judge,  
Hamirpur (H. P.)

Succession Petition No. 4/1992.

Anirudh Kumar Manchanda aged 31 years son of late  
L.R. Manchanda, resident of village Jahu, Tehsil Bhoranj,  
District Hamirpur (H. P.) ...Petitioner.

Vs.

General public

To

The general public

Whereas in the above noted case, the petitioner has filed an application for the grant of Succession Certificate in respect of deposit of Late Shri L. R. Manchanda village & P.O. Jahu, Teh. Bhoranj, District Hamirpur.

Hence this proclamation is hereby issued to the general public of the illaqua to file objection, if any for the grant of such certificate in this court on or before 10-6-92 at 10 A.M. personally or through an authorised agent or pleader failing which the petition will be heard and disposed of *ex parte*.

Given under my hand and seal of the court today this 25th day of April, 1992.

Seal.

JANESHWAR GOYAL,  
District Judge, Hamirpur.

Kangra (H.P.) to file objections, if any, in this court on 26-5-1992 at 10 A.M. personally or through pleader or any authorised agent failing which the petition will be decided *ex parte*.

Given under my hand and the seal of the court to day the 23rd day of April, 1992.

Seal.

M. R. CHAUDHARY,  
District Judge,  
Kangra at Dharamshala.

In the Court of Shri M. R. Chaudhary, District Judge,  
Kangra at Dharamshala

In re: Succession Act Petition No. 6 of 1992

Manohar Lal son of Shri Bhagwan Dass, resident of village Thehre, Mauza and P.O. Dhani, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra (H.P.) ...Petitioner.

Versus

The general public

...Respondant.

Versus : The general public

Whereas the above named petitioner has filed an application in this court under section 372 of the Indian Succession Act for the grant of Succession Certificate in respect of assets of late Shri Chhunku Ram son of Jawahar, resident of Village Thehre, P.O. Dhani, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra (H.P.) who died on 10-1-1990 at village Thehre, P.O. Dhani, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra (H.P.).

Hence this proclamation is hereby issued against the general public of the Illaqua and kith and kins of late Shri Chhunku Ram son of Jawahar, resident of village Thehre, P.O. Dhani, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra (H.P.) to file objection, if any, in this court on 25-5-1992 at 10 A.M. personally or through pleader or any authorised agent failing which the petition will be decided *ex parte*.

Given under my hand and the seal of the court to day the 23rd day of April, 1992.

Seal.

M. R. CHAUDHARY,  
District Judge,  
Kangra at Dharamshala.

In the Court of Shri T. S. Kaisth, Sub-Judge 1st Class,  
Arki, District Solan (H. P.)

1. Shri Vidya Sager son of Shri Malager, r/o Village Kashlog, Prg. Matianj, Tehsil Arki, District Solan, H.P., 2. Smt. Kanku w/o Shri Malager, Kashlog, Prg. Matianj, Tehsil Arki, ...Plaintiff.

Versus

1. Shri Ram Dittu son of Shri Puria, Village Sara, Prg. Matianj Khurd, Tehsil Arki, District Solan, H.P. 2. Smt. Ram Dei d/o Shri Puria, r/o village Kashlog, Prg. Matianj Khurd, Tehsil Arki, 3. Smt. Santi w/o Puria, village Sara, Prg. Matianj, Tehsil Arki, 4. Shri Jiwnrao son of Shri Saru, village Sara, Prg. Matianj, Teh. Arki, District Solan, (H.P.) ...Defendants.

5. Shri Anantia son of Shri Dharma, village Kashlog, Prg. Matianj Khurd, Tehsil Arki, District Solan, H.P., 6. Sh. Him Chand son of Shri Malager, r/o village Kashlog, at Present J-902, Talkatora New Delhi, 7. Smt. Lachhmi daughter of Shri Malager, wife of Shri Anant Ram r/o village Ghyle, Prg. Bhaderupur, Teh. Arki, District Solan, H. P., 8. Smt. Nirmala d/o Shri Malager wife of Shri Hem Raj, r/o village Palog, Prg. Manjoo, Tehsil Arki, District Solan, H.P., 9. Vidy

In the Court of Shri M. R. Chaudhary, District Judge,  
Kangra at Dharamshala

In re: Succession Act Petition No. 7 of 1992

Smt. Shakuntla Devi wife of Shri Girdhari Lal son of Sh. Mangal, resident of Shyam Nagar (Mant), Mauza and Tehsil Dharamshala, District Kangra (H.P.) ...Petitioner.

Versus

The general public

...Respondent.

Versus : The general public.

Whereas the above named petitioner has filed an application/petition in this court under section 276 of Indian Succession Act for the grant of probate in respect of assets of late Shri Banwari son of Shri Mangal, resident of Shyam Nagar (Mant), Mauza and Tehsil Dharamshala, District Kangra (H.P.), who died on 17-5-1989 in Zonal Hospital Dharamshala, District Kangra (HP).

Hence this proclamation is hereby issued against the general public of the Illaqua and kith and kins of late Shri Banwari son of Shri Mangal, resident of Shyam Nagar (Mant) Mauza and Tehsil Dharamshala, District

d/o Shri Malager wife of Shri Nand Lal r/o village Khali, Pargana Matianj Khurad, Tehsil Arki, District Solan H.P. *Proforma Defendants.*

**Suit for declaration with consequential relief of permanent Prohibitory Injunction**

**Proclamation u/o 5, rule 20 C.P.C.**

Whereas in the above noted case it has been proved to the satisfaction of this court that the service upon proforma defendant No.5 above is not possible by an ordinary mode of service. Hence proclamation u/o 5, rule 20, C.P.C is hereby issued to the above named Proforma defendant No. 5 to appear before this court on 18-6-92 the date fixed in case at 10 A.M., personally or through an authorised agent or pleader to defend the case failing which case will be heard *ex parte*.

Given under my hand and the seal of the court this 10th day of April, 1992.

Seal.

T. S. KAISTH,  
Sub-Judge 1st Class,  
Arki, District Solan, H.P.

सी ० एल ०, चड्डा,  
सहायक समाहर्ता द्वितीय श्रेणी,  
तहसील भोरंज, जिला हमीरपुर।

**PROCLAMATION UNDER ORDER 5, RULE 20, C.P.C.**

In the Court of Shri K. L. Sharma, Sub-Judg 1st Class (I) Palampur, (H.P.)

Succession Act case No. 8/91 Date of hearing 29-5-92.

Malaju Devi w/o, 2. Gorakh Ram, 3. Pawan Dev Verma sons, 4. Kanta Devi d/o late Shri Saran Dass son of late Jodha Ram, residents of village Umber, P.O. Maharaj Nagar, Tehsil Jaisinghpur, District Kangra, (H.P.) *...Petitioners.*

Vs.

General Public

*...Respondent.*

Whereas in the above noted petition, the petitioners have filed an application in this court under section 372 of the Indian Succession Act for the grant of Succession Certificate in respect of the assets of late Shri Saran Dass son of late Shri Jodha Ram who died on 4-6-91 at village and P.O. Maharaj Nagar, Tehsil Palampur, District Kangra (H.P.).

Hence, this proclamation is hereby issued to the General Public of the illaqua and the kith and kins of the deceased to file objections if any to the grant of such Succession Certificate in this court on 29-5-1992 at 10.00 A.M. personally or through an authorised agent or pleader failing which the petition will be heard and disposed of *ex parte*.

Given under my hand and seal of the court this the 22nd day of April, 1992.

Seal.

K. L. SHARMA,  
Sub-Judge 1st Class (I),  
Palampur (H.P.).

सी ० एल ० चड्डा,  
सहायक समाहर्ता द्वितीय श्रेणी,  
तहसील भोरंज, जिला हमीरपुर।

ब अदालत श्री सी ० एल ० चड्डा, सहायक समाहर्ता द्वितीय श्रेणी, तहसील भोरंज, जिला हमीरपुर, हिमाचल प्रदेश

श्री रघुनाथ पुत्र श्री हीरा, वासी डोह, तपा मेवा, तहसील भोरंज, जिला हमीरपुर, हिमाचल प्रदेश

**विषय:—दरखास्त बराये तसदीक किये जाने इन्तकाल नं 822 वरास्त बवजाह मकफूल-उल-खबरी भागू पुत्र गणपत, वासी डोह तपा मेवा, तहसील भोरंज, जिला हमीरपुर।**

उपरोक्त इन्तकाल नं ० ८२२ इस अदालत में काफी अरसा में बराये तसदीक पड़ा है। श्री रघुनाथ उपरोक्त प्रार्थी न दरखास्त गुजारी है कि भागू काफी अरसा में लापता है तथा बगस्त इन्तकाल दर्ज कागजात माल है। इसलिए उपरोक्त इन्तकाल को तसदीक करने से पहले अदालत उक्त प्रत्यार्थी व आम जनता को सूचित करती है कि अगर उक्त इन्तकाल को रघुनाथ के नाम तसदीक करने पर अगर किसी व्यक्ति को एतराज हो तो वह अदालत हजार में असालतन व बकालतन दिनांक 20-5-92 को प्रातः 10 बजे हाजिर हो कर पेश कर सकता है अन्यथा इन्तकाल तसदीक कर दिया जाएगा।

आज दिनांक 10-3-1992 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर कार्यालय में जारी हुआ।

मोहर ।

सी ० एल ०, चड्डा,  
सहायक समाहर्ता द्वितीय श्रेणी,  
तहसील भोरंज, जिला हमीरपुर।

ब अदालत श्री सी ० एल ० चड्डा, सहायक समाहर्ता द्वितीय श्रेणी, तहसील भोरंज, जिला हमीरपुर, हिमाचल प्रदेश

श्री रघुनाथ पुत्र श्री हीरा, वासी डोह, तपा मेवा, तहसील भोरंज, जिला हमीरपुर।

बनाम

1. चौहड़ी पुत्र गणपत, टोका डोह, तपा मेवा, तहसील भोरंज, जिला हमीरपुर, हिमाचल प्रदेश।

2. आम जनता ।

**विषय:—दरखास्त बराये तसदीक किये जाने इन्तकाल नं 823 वरास्त बवजाह मकफूल-उल-खबरी चौधरी पुत्र गणपत टोका डोह तपा मेवा, तहसील भोरंज, जिला हमीरपुर।**

उपरोक्त इन्तकाल नं ० ८२३ इस अदालत में काफी अरसा से दराये तसदीक पड़ा है। श्री रघुनाथ उपरोक्त प्रार्थी ने दरखास्त गुजारी है कि चौधरी काफी अरसा से लापता है तथा बरास्त इन्तकाल दर्ज कागजात माल है। इसलिए उपरोक्त इन्तकाल को तसदीक करने से पहले अदालत उक्त प्रत्यार्थी व आम जनता को सूचित करती है कि अगर उक्त इन्तकाल को रघुनाथ के नाम तसदीक करने पर अगर किसी व्यक्ति को एतराज हो तो वह अदालत हजार में असालतन व बकालतन दिनांक 20-5-92 को प्रातः 10 बजे हाजिर हो कर पेश कर सकता है अन्यथा इन्तकाल तसदीक कर दिया जाएगा।

आज दिनांक 10-3-1992 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर कार्यालय से जारी हुआ।

मोहर ।

सी ० एल ० चड्डा,  
सहायक समाहर्ता द्वितीय श्रेणी,  
तहसील भोरंज, जिला हमीरपुर।

ब अदालत श्री सी ० एल ० चड्डा, सहायक समाहर्ता द्वितीय श्रेणी, तहसील भोरंज, जिला हमीरपुर, हिमाचल प्रदेश।

श्री रघुनाथ पुत्र श्री हीरा, वासी डोह, तपा मेवा, तहसील भोरंज, जिला हमीरपुर, हिमाचल प्रदेश।

बनाम

1. फता पुत्र गणपत, चांव डोह, तपा मेवा, तहसील भोरंज, जिला हमीरपुर, हिं ० प्र०।

(2) आम जनता ।

1. भागू पुत्र गणपत (बवजाह मकफूल-उल-खबरी) टोका डोह, तपा मेवा, तहसील भोरंज, जिला हमीरपुर, (हि० प्र०)।

2. आम जनता ।

विषय: दरखास्त बाये नमदीक किये जाने इन्तकाल नं० 824 बरास्त बवर्ग नम० मकान-उल्लंघनी काला पूत गणपत गांव डोह, नमा नेम, इमारत नाम, जिला हमीरपुर, हिमाचल प्रदेश।

उपरोक्त इन्तकाल नं० 824 इस अदालत में काफी असारे बाये नमदीक पूछा है। भी रघुनाथ उपरोक्त प्रार्थी ने दरखास्त गुजारी है कि काला काली असारा में लापता है तथा बरास्त इन्तकाल दर्जे कालीन नाम है। इसलिए उपरोक्त इन्तकाल को नमदीक करने से पहले अदालत उक्त प्रत्यार्थी न सामंजस्य काला को मूचित करती है। कि शारद उक्त इन्तकाल को रघुनाथ के नाम तस्वीक करने पर अगर किसी नाम नहीं होता तो वह अदालत हमा में असालतन व इन्कालत विनांक 20-5-92 को प्राप्त: 10 बजे हाईकोर्ट प्रदेश कर नहीं है क्योंकि इन्तकाल नमदीक कर दिया जायेगा।

आज दिनांक 10-3-1992 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर कार्यालय न जारी हुआ।

मोहर।

मो० ए० चृद्धा,  
सहायक समाहर्ता दिनीय धेणी,  
तहसील भारंज, जिला हमीरपुर।

व प्रदान। वा. मी० ए० चृद्धा रहायक गमाहर्ता दिनीय धेणी, तहसील भारंज, जिला हमीरपुर, हिमाचल प्रदेश

था रघुनाथ पूत शी हीरा, वारी डाह, नमा मेना, तहसील भारंज, जिला हमीरपुर, हिमाचल प्रदेश।

बनाम

- आमनी दर्जे पूरी थी गणपत, नामी डाह, नमा मेवा, तहसील भारंज, जिला हमीरपुर, हिमाचल प्रदेश।
- ग्राम नहीं।

विषय:—दरखास्त बाये नमदीक किये जाने इन्तकाल नं० 825 बरास्त बवर्ग नम० मकान-उल्लंघनी धीमती दुर्गी पूती गणपत, नामी डाह, नमा मेना, तहसील भारंज, जिला हमीरपुर, इमारत प्रदेश।

उपरोक्त इन्तकाल नं० 825 इस अदालत में काफी असारा बाये नमदीक पूछा है। भी रघुनाथ उपरोक्त प्रार्थी ने दरखास्त गुजारी है कि दुर्गी काली असारा में लापता है नमा बरास्त इन्तकाल दर्जे कालीन नाम है। इसलिए उपरोक्त इन्तकाल को नमदीक करने से पहले अदालत उक्त प्रत्यार्थी व शामंजस्य काला को मूचित करती है। कि शारद उक्त इन्तकाल को रघुनाथ के नाम तस्वीक करने पर अगर किसी व्यक्ति का एकाग्र होता तो वह असालत हमा में असालतन व इन्कालत विनांक 20-5-92 को प्राप्त: 10 बजे हाईकोर्ट हो कर प्रेष कर रहा है क्योंकि इन्तकाल नमदीक कर दिया जायेगा।

आज दिनांक 10-3-1992 हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर कार्यालय न जारी हुया।

मोहर।

मो० ए० चृद्धा,  
सहायक समाहर्ता, दिनीय धेणी,  
तहसील भारंज, जिला हमीरपुर।

व प्रदान। वा. मी० ए० चृद्धा सहायक गमाहर्ता दिनीय धेणी, तहसील भारंज, जिला हमीरपुर, हिमाचल प्रदेश

भी रघुनाथ पूत शी हीरा, वारी डाह, नमा मेना, तहसील भारंज, जिला हमीरपुर, हिमाचल प्रदेश।

बनाम

- गोदू पूत निका, वारी डाह नमा मेवा, तहसील भारंज, जिला हमीरपुर, हिमाचल प्रदेश।
- ग्राम नहीं।

विषय:—दरखास्त बाये नमदीक किये जाने इन्तकाल नं० 826 बरास्त बवर्ग नम० मकान-उल्लंघनी धीमती पूती गुजारी वारी डाह, तपा मेवा, तहसील भारंज, जिला हमीरपुर, हिमाचल प्रदेश।

उपरोक्त इन्तकाल नं० 826 इस अदालत में काफी असारा बाये नमदीक पूछा है। भी रघुनाथ उपरोक्त प्रत्यार्थी न दरखास्त गुजारी है कि पौजू काली असारा में लापता है तथा बरास्त इन्तकाल दर्जे कालीन नाम है। इसलिए उपरोक्त इन्तकाल को नमदीक करने से पहले अदालत उक्त प्रत्यार्थी व शामंजस्य काला को मूचित करती है कि शारद उक्त इन्तकाल को रघुनाथ के नाम तस्वीक करने पर अगर विनांक व्यक्ति को एकाग्र होता तो वह असालत हमा में असालतन व बकालतन दिनांक 20-5-92 को प्राप्त: 10 बजे हाईकोर्ट हो कर प्रेष कर रहा है क्योंकि इन्तकाल नमदीक कर दिया जायेगा।

आज दिनांक 10-3-1992 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर कार्यालय में जारी हुआ।

मोहर।

मो० ए० चृद्धा,  
सहायक समाहर्ता दिनीय धेणी,  
तहसील भारंज, जिला हमीरपुर।

इष्टतार

न्यायालय सहायक तमाहर्ता प्रथम धेणी, भट्टियात चुवाड़ी, जिला चम्बा मिमल नम्बर 5/IX-A/02 तारीख दायरा 22-2-92

राजकुमारी पूती व बोधू विधवा गंविन्द सिंह, ग्राम भनवाड़ा, विनाना सियुन्ता, तहसील भट्टियात, जिला चम्बा की।

बनाम

- धनिया गुल माहबो, 2. सोहन सिंह व 3. कीर्जी राम पुत्र बलाचन, ग्राम बुगा, प०० दूर्णी, 4. पुनूर राम व 5. करनेल सिंह पुत्र चमार, ग्राम लंगडा, 6. मीरो गुल कपूर, निवासी भनवाड़ा, प०० मियुन्ता, तहसील भट्टियात, जिला चम्बा

दरखास्त नक्सीनी भूमि रकाबा खाता खतोनी नं० 48/87 बसरा नं० 552, 798, 849, 855, 857, 858, 932/I, 996 कित्ता ८ रकबा नावारी १-४ वाचा मोरा कामला का ४६ भाग।

उपरोक्त उत्तरान मुकदमा में फीक वोयम को कई बार समत जारी किए गए हैं किन्तु फीक वोयम पर आगरान तरीके से तामील नहीं हो रही है। इसलिए फीक वोयम को बनियां दूषित हजा सूचित किला जाता है कि वह दिनांक 21-5-92 को दिन दूष वज्र बमुकाम भियुना यासालतन या बकालतन मेरी असालत में सियुन्ता हाजर हो कर मुकदमा हजा की तिर्की करें अप्यथा यकतरका कामेवाड़ी भमल में लाइ जावेगी।

आज दिनांक 21-3-92 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत में जारी हुया।

मोहर।

प्रभात गांगा,  
सहायक समाहर्ता, प्रथम धेणी,  
भट्टियात चुवाड़ी, जिला चम्बा (हि० प्र०)।

व प्रदान। कामेवाड़ी बण्डाधकारी बड़ाह, जिला कागड़ा

प्रमाण सिंह, गुल बोधू राम, वारी भनवाल भूपाटा, गोजा एवं

बनाम

ग्राम जनना तांदिम।

व प्रदान। दूषित गवाही दूरा गवैमाधारण को सूचित किया जाता है

के प्रमाण प्रिहतारोक्त की दख्खाता के अनुसार उपरी पुत्री रक्ती दीपी की जन्म तिथि 23 अप्रैल, 1986 को हुआ था लेकिन उत्तरा इन्द्रधार पंचायत के जन्म पंजीयन संस्कार में दर्ज नहीं हुआ है।

अतः उत्तरा लड़की रक्ती दीपी के नाम दर्ज करने वारे निवास का कोई उत्तरा यात्राराज स्थान को नहीं भासा प्रत्याज तिथि 25-5-92 को समय दर्श दर्ज दर्जे अदालतन अदालत में व्यक्तिगत आकर पेश कर लकड़ा है अन्यथा आवेदन नहीं कर दिया जावाए।

वाज तिथि 8-4-92 को हमारे दस्तावेज व साहूर अदालत से जारी हुआ।

मोहर।

हस्ताक्षरित/-  
कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी,  
बड़ोहु, जिला कांगड़ा।

व अदालत थी सुरिन्द्र कुमार, कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी कलेहपुर,  
जिला कांगड़ा, हिमाचल प्रदेश

प्रदीप रिह

जन्म नाम

जन्म जन्मता।

मिस्टर न०  
38/92/N.T.F.

तारीख पेशी  
27-5-1992.

किस्म मुकदमा  
जन्म पंजीयन।

धी प्रदीप मिहमुकुल धी मरताज गिह, निवासी बड़पाली, मोजा  
कलेहपुर, जिला कांगड़ा, हिमाचल प्रदेश  
.. प्रार्थी।

जन्म नाम

.. प्रतिवादी।

जाम जन्मता

दख्खातन दराये जन्म पंजीयन जेर धारा 13(3) जन्म एवं  
मृत्यु पंजीयन अधिनियम, 1960।

उपरोक्त प्रार्थी ने इस अदालत में प्रार्थनायत दायर किया है कि उसकी भतीजी पूजा देवी सुरुती चमत लाल का जन्म दिनांक 4-9-1985 को गाव बड़पाली, मोजा कलेहपुर, जिला कांगड़ा, हिमाचल प्रदेश में हुआ था एवं प्रार्थी अज्ञान या से उसकी जन्म तिथि सम्बन्धित ग्राम पंचायत में पंजीकृत नहीं करवा रखा। अतः आग पंचायत गुहारा को उसकी भतीजी की जन्म तिथि पंजीकृत करने की स्वीकृति प्रदान की जाये।

अतः इस इष्टहार द्वारा सर्वमाधारण को सुनित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी व्यक्ति को उक्त बच्चे की जन्म तिथि पंजीकृत करने वारे कोई एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 27-5-1992 को प्राप्त: 10.00 बजे हमारी अदालत में असालतन या बकालतन हाजर आकर आजाना एतराज पेश करे अन्यथा पूजा देवी पुत्री चमत लाल की जन्म तिथि पंजीकृत करने के आवेदन ग्राम पंचायत गुहारा को दे दिये जायेंगे नथा उसके उपरास्त कोई एतराज काबले समाप्त नहीं होगा।

यह इष्टहार आज दिनांक 23-4-1992 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर  
व मोहर अदालत सहित जारी हुआ।

मोहर।

सुरिन्द्र कुमार,  
कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी,  
कलेहपुर, जिला कांगड़ा।

व अदालत थी सुरिन्द्र कुमार, कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी कलेहपुर,  
जिला कांगड़ा, हिमाचल प्रदेश

रघुवीर चन्द

जन्म नाम

जाम जन्मता।

मिस्टर न०  
39/92/N.T.F.

तारीख पेशी  
27-5-1992

किस्म मुकदमा  
जन्म पंजीयन।

धी रघुवीर चन्द गुप्त धी प्वार चन्द, निवासी हाड़ा, मोजा कलेहपुर,  
जिला कांगड़ा, हिमाचल प्रदेश  
.. प्रार्थी।

जाम जन्मता

जन्म नाम

.. प्रतिवादी।

दख्खातन दराये जन्म पंजीयन जेर धारा 13(3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु  
अधिनियम, 1960।

उपरोक्त प्रार्थी ने इस अदालत में प्रार्थना-यत दायर किया है कि उसकी सुरुती मानाई देवी का जन्म दिनांक 26-5-1985 को गाव हाड़ा, मोजा कलेहपुर, तहसील जवाली, जिला कांगड़ा, हिमाचल प्रदेश में हुआ था मात्र प्रार्थी अज्ञानता से उसकी जन्म तिथि पंचायत में पंजीकृत नहीं करवा रखा। अतः प्राम पंचायत हाड़ा का उपरी जन्म तिथि पंजीकृत करने की स्वीकृति दी जाये।

अतः इष्टहार द्वारा सर्वमाधारण को सुनित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी व्यक्ति को उक्त बच्चे की जन्म तिथि पंजीकृत करने वारे कोई एतराज हो तो वह आजाना एतराज दिनांक 27-5-1992 को प्राप्त: 10.00 बजे हमारी अदालत में असालतन या बकालतन हाजर आकर आजाना एतराज पेश करे अन्यथा मीनाई देवी पुत्री रघुवीर चन्द की जन्म तिथि पंजीकृत करने के द्वारा आग पंचायत हाड़ा को दे दिये जायेंगे तथा उसके उपरास्त कोई एतराज काबले समाप्त नहीं होगा।

यह इष्टहार आज दिनांक 23-4-1992 का हमारे हस्ताक्षर व साहूर  
अदालत सहित जारी हुआ।

मोहर।

सुरिन्द्र कुमार,  
कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी,  
कलेहपुर, जिला कांगड़ा।

व अदालत थी भगवान दायर मदान, कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी,  
इन्दौर, जिला कांगड़ा (हि ० प्र०)

धी गरदबाल चन्द, निवासी मलाहडी, तहसील इन्दौरा, जिला  
कांगड़ा, हिमाचल प्रदेश

जन्म नाम

जाम जन्मता

दख्खातन जेर धारा 13(3) पंजीकरण जन्म व मृत्यु।

उपरोक्त मुकदमा उनवान बाला में प्रार्थी धी गरदबाल चन्द, गाव  
मलाहडी, तहसील इन्दौरा ने इस कार्यालय में दृग्जारण की है कि मेरे लड़के बलवान जेर धारा 13(3) को हुआ है। लेकिन उसकी जन्म तिथि पंचायत रिकाई में पंजीकृत नहीं हुई है।

अतः आग जन्मता को बदलिया इष्टहार द्वारा उपरास्त किया जाता है कि उपरोक्त पंजीकरण के बारे किसी को कोई उत्तर व एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 27-5-1992 को असालतन या बकालतन इस कार्यालय में मुबह 10 बजे हाजिर आकर अपने उजर पेश करे अन्यथा पक्करास कार्यालय अगले दिन लाई जावेगी।

आज दिनांक 24-4-1992 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व साहूर अदालत  
से जारी हुआ।

मोहर।

भगवान दायर मदान,  
कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी,  
इन्दौरा, जिला कांगड़ा।

व अदालत थी शेष राम शर्मा, कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी, इन्दौरा,  
जिला कांगड़ा, हिमाचल प्रदेश

धी हलाम रिह, निवासी प्राज, नहान इन्दौरा, जिला कांगड़ा,  
हिमाचल प्रदेश।

जन्म नाम

जाम जन्मता

दख्खातन जेर धारा 13 (3) पंजीकरण जन्म व मृत्यु।

उपरोक्त मुकदमा उनवान बाला में प्रार्थी धी हलाम रिह, गाव  
तहसील इन्दौरा ने इस कार्यालय में दृग्जारण की है कि मेरे

इहांके पनीर कमार को जाम दिनांक १५-११-१९८८ को हुआ है लेकिन उसकी जम्म तिथि पंचांग रिकार्ड में पंजीकृत नहीं हुई है।

श्राव: आप जनता को बजारिया इक्ष्याहार राजपत्र दूसित किया जाता है कि उपरोक्त पंजीकरण को बारे निसी को कोई उजर व एतता हो तो वह दिनांक २४-५-१९९२ को श्रावालय या बकालतन इस कानूनीय में सुनह १०.०० बजे हाजिर आकर अपने उजर पेश करें अभ्यास एक तरफ़ कार्यनाही अपने में लाई जावेगी।

श्राव दिनांक १५-५-१९९२ को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर प्रदानत से जारी हुआ।

मोहर।

श्रेष्ठ राम शर्मा,  
कार्यकारी उड्डानिकारी,  
तहसील इन्दौरा, जिला काशगढ़।

म ग्रामीन श्री श्रेष्ठ राम शर्मा, कार्यकारी उड्डानिकारी, इन्दौरा, जिला काशगढ़, हिमाचल प्रदेश

श्री दर्शन सिंह, ग्रामीन सलोर, तहसील इन्दौरा, जिला काशगढ़, हिमाचल प्रदेश

वापास

आप जनता

राजनायित बेर भारा १३ (३) पंजीकरण जन्म व मृत्यु।

उपरोक्त भुक्तदमा उन्नाम जामा में पार्वी भी दर्शन सिंह, गाव सलोर, तहसील इन्दौरा ने इस कानूनीय में गुजारिया की है कि मेरे लड़के मालोज़ द्वारा का जम्म दिनांक ३-११-१९८७ को हुआ है लेकिन उसकी जम्म तिथि पंचांग रिकार्ड में पंजीकृत नहीं हुई है।

श्राव: आप जनता को बजारिया इक्ष्याहार राजपत्र दूसित किया जाता है एक उपरोक्त पंजीकरण के बारे निसी को कोई उजर व एतता हो तो वह दिनांक २१-५-१९९२ को श्रावालय या बकालतन इस कानूनीय में सुनह १०.०० बजे हाजिर आकर अपने उजर पेश करें अभ्यास एक तरफ़ कार्यनाही अपने में लाई जावेगी।

श्राव दिनांक १८-५-१९९२ का मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर प्रदानत से जारी हुआ।

मोहर।

श्रेष्ठ राम शर्मा,  
कार्यकारी उड्डानिकारी,  
तहसील इन्दौरा, जिला काशगढ़ (१०० रु०)।

म ग्रामीन श्री श्रेष्ठ राम शर्मा, कार्यकारी उड्डानिकारी, इन्दौरा, जिला काशगढ़, हिमाचल प्रदेश

श्री जाकत ग्रामीन निवासी चनीर, तहसील इन्दौरा, जिला काशगढ़, हिमाचल प्रदेश।

वापास

आप जनता

राजनायित बेर भारा १३ (३) पंजीकरण जन्म व मृत्यु।

उपरोक्त भुक्तदमा उन्नाम जामा में पार्वी भी जोकल ग्रामी, गाव चनीर, तहसील इन्दौरा ने इस कानूनीय में गुजारिया की है कि मेरे लड़के

सोनू का जम्म १५-३-१९८७ को हुआ है लेकिन उसकी जम्म तिथि पंचांग रिकार्ड में पंजीकृत नहीं हुई है।

श्राव: आप जनता को बजारिया इक्ष्याहार राजपत्र दूसित किया जाता है कि उपरोक्त पंजीकरण के बारे निसी को कोई उजर व एतता हो तो वह दिनांक ३०-५-१९९२ को श्रावालय या बकालतन इस कानूनीय में सुनह १०.०० बजे हाजिर आकर अपने उजर पेश करें अभ्यास एक तरफ़ कार्यनाही अपने में लाई जावेगी।

श्राव दिनांक २०-५-१९९२ को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर प्रदानत से जारी हुआ।

मोहर।

श्रेष्ठ राम शर्मा,  
कार्यकारी उड्डानिकारी,  
तहसील इन्दौरा, जिला काशगढ़।

In the Court of Shri T. S. Kaisth, Sub Judge 1st Class, Arki, District Solan, Himachal Pradesh

CASE NO. 99/192.

PENDING FOR 25-5-92.

1. Smt. Sheela Devi w/o Shri Shanker Singh 2. Shri Raghbir Singh son of Shankar Singh, 3. Shri Balbir Singh son of Shankar Singh, 4. Smt. Nirmala Devi d/o Shri Shankar Singh, all residents of Village Sujhaila, P.O. Sujhaila, Ptg. Dhar, Tehsil Arki, District Solan, Himachal Pradesh .. Plaintiff

I.G.

1. The Manager, UCO, Bank, Arki, P.O. and Tehsil Arki, District Solan, Himachal Pradesh, 2. General Public .. Defendants.

### SUIT FOR DECLARATION

Whereas in the above titled case, the plaintiff above has filed a suit for declaration and prayed for the declaratory decree that the plaintiff are the only legal heirs/successors of the deceased Shri Shankar Singh son of Shri Surjan Singh, r/o Village Sujhaila, Tehsil Arki, District Solan, Himachal Pradesh and is entitled to all his deposits lying with the Bank of the defendant No. 1, which are without will or nomination, including the deposit against the Saving Account No. 4717, a sum of Rs. 2820/-.

Hence this proclamation is hereby issued to the general public of illaqua Sujhaila and kith and kins of Shankar Singh son of Shri Surjan Singh r/o Village Sujhaila, Ptg. Dhar, Tehsil Arki, District Solan, Himachal Pradesh, to file objections, if any, in this court on 25-5-1992 at 10 A.M. personally or through an authorised agent or pleader, failing which suit be heard and disposed off ex parte.

Given under my hand and the seal of the court this 4th day of May, 1992.

Seal.

T. S. KAISTH,  
Sub-Judge 1st Class, Arki,  
District Solan, Himachal Pradesh.

### भाग ६—भारतीय राजपत्र इत्यादि से से गुज़: रिकार्ड

तिथि

भाग ७—भारतीय निर्वाचन वारोग (Election Commission of India) की वैशालिक अधिकृतता तथा आप निर्वाचन सम्बन्धी अधिकृतता

तिथि

वापसी

तिथि

## भाग-1

## श्रम विभाग

## ग्राधिसूचना

शिमला-2, 8 अप्रैल, 1992

संखा 19-8/90-भम-111.—राजपत्र, हिमाचल प्रदेश, ग्रांडीगिक विवाह प्रधिनियम, 1947 की घाग 17(1) में निहित जाकियां का प्रयोग करते हुए ग्रीष्मासीम प्रधिकारी, श्रम स्पायासम/ग्रांडीगिक प्रधिकरण, हिमाचल प्रदेश द्वारा निम्नलिखित मामलों में दिए गए एवांड्ज को हिमाचल प्रदेश राजपत्र में प्रकाशित करने के सहृदय घाटें हैं:—

| क्रम संखा | केस नं। | मामलाधृत घटों का नाम   | घाग    | टिप्पणी |
|-----------|---------|--|--------|---------|
| 1.        | 34/91   | श्री सरवन सिंह बनाम महा प्रबन्धक, अंकुरा चमुण्डा निः, काथा, बड़ी, जिला सोलन                              | घाग-10 | —       |
|           |         | हिमाचल प्रदेश।   |        |         |
| 2.        | 152/90  | श्री को० पी० मितल बनाम मैसर्ज स्टील स्ट्रील्स निः, धौलकुमारी, पोटा साहिब, जिला तिरमोर, हिमाचल प्रदेश।    | "      | —       |
| 3.        | 55/89   | श्री ग्रीष्म लाल व ग्रीष्म बनाम मैसर्ज सर्वीप बैटासरजीकल प्रा० निः, परवाणु, जिला सोलन, हिमाचल प्रदेश।    | "      | —       |
| 4.        | 22/91   | श्रीमती विनोद राणा बनाम प्रबन्धक निदेशन, हिमाचल प्रदेश राज्य दुष्प उत्पादक संघ निः, दूर्दू, शिमला।       | "      | —       |
| 5.        | 78/90   | श्री बहस दास बनाम प्रबन्धक, बैरा स्पूल प्रोजेक्ट, सुरगानी, जिला चम्पा, हिमाचल प्रदेश                     | "      | —       |
| 6.        | 7/91    | श्री भोला राम बनाम प्रबन्धक हिमाचल पर्य परिवहन निगम, शिमला   | "      | —       |
| 7.        | 32/89   | श्री ग्रीष्म दल शर्मा बनाम मैसर्ज बैरा स्पूल प्रोजेक्ट, सुरगानी, जिला चम्पा, हिमाचल प्रदेश।              | "      | —       |
| 8.        | 219/88  | श्री राज कुमार बनाम —प्रधोपरि—   | "      | —       |
| 9.        | 2/88    | श्रीमती रोक्ती देवी बनाम महा प्रबन्धक, टी० एस्टेट, श्रमेशाला, जिला कांगड़ा, हिमाचल प्रदेश।               | "      | —       |
| 10.       | 73/89   | श्री राम रत्न बनाम प्रबन्धन हिमाचल पर्य परिवहन निगम, नाहन डारा इसके क्षेत्रीय प्रबन्धक।                  | "      | —       |
| 11.       | 56/91   | महा सचिव, स्पूलिसिपल कमेटी कमेचारी संघ बनाम प्रशासक, स्पूलिसिपल कमेटी, नाहन, जिला तिरमोर, हिमाचल प्रदेश। | "      | —       |
| 12.       | 140/90  | श्री राम बाबू बनाम मैं एयो इन्डस्ट्रीज, गोद चराज भाजरा, तहसील नालागढ़, जिला सोलन, हिमाचल प्रदेश।         | "      | —       |
| 13.       | 469/86  | श्री मोहिनी सिंह बनाम मैसर्ज बैरा स्पूल प्रोजेक्ट, सुरगानी, जिला चम्पा, हिमाचल प्रदेश।                   | "      | —       |
| 14.       | 35/86   | श्री बैन साल बनाम —प्रधोपरि—   | "      | —       |
| 15.       | 84/90   | श्री मनोहर सिंह ठाकुर बनाम —प्रधोपरि—  | "      | —       |

प्राप्तिकान सारः

हस्ताक्षरितः—  
जिलायुक्त एवं मणिज ।

Before Shri R. K. Mahajan, Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Himachal Pradesh, Shimla-1

Case No. 34/91

..Petitioner.

Sarwan Singh

Versus

General Manager,  
Ankua Chamunda Ltd., Katha,  
Baddi

..Respondent.

Shri Sarwan Singh, Petitioner in person.  
Shri Sidharth Malhotra, Director of the Respondent.

## AWARD

I pass award in terms of the settlement arrived at and recorded in court today. The management has agreed to re-employ the petitioner with the continuity of service without back wages and has agreed to increase

pay from Rs.1200/- to 1500/-p.m. from the date of joining. Petitioner would join the service within two weeks. The management has also agreed to pay Rs. 700/- as costs of these proceedings. Respondent has also agreed to pay Rs.4,000/- as compensation in lieu of back wages. No dispute survives. Reference is answered accordingly. The copy of this award be sent to the Government of Himachal Pradesh Shimla-2 for its due publication in the Himachal Pradesh Rupatri in accordance with law. The respondent is further directed to pay Rs.4700/- to the worker within two weeks from today. The copy of this award be given to the parties, free of costs, if applied for. The file after its due completion, be consigned to the record room.

Announced this 2nd Day of January 1992, in Open Court at Shimla.

Seal.

R. K. MAHAJAN,  
Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, H.P., Shimla,  
2-1-1992.

Before Shri R. K. Mahajan, Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Himachal Pradesh, Shimla-1

Case No. 152/90

K. P. Mittal

.. Petitioner.

*Versus*

M/s Steel Stripps Ltd. Dhoula-Kuan,  
Paonta Sahib, District Sirmaur, (H.P.) .. Respondent.

Shri A. K. Sharma, Authorised Representative of the Petitioner.

Shri K. S. Ahluwalia, Authorised Representative of the respondent.

#### AWARD

In view of the settlement arrived between the parties on account of Court intervention and suggestion dated 26-11-1991, the petitioner has joined new station of service under new Company without any break of service, this is hardly a distance of 1 km from old company. It was agreed that Rs. 5,000/- will be paid as compensation, if the petitioner joins the new station without prejudice to the rights of the both parties. The management has given 3,000/- more in full and final settlement of case i.e. 8,000/- in lieu of back wages. The worker has assured the court to maintain peace and good will. I pass an award in favour of the petitioner and against the respondent on the aforesaid terms without disturbing his status and continuity of service and he will have no claim of back wages as he was given Rs. 8,000/- as compensation as full and final settlement. This amount would be paid to the petitioner within one month from today. The copy of this award be sent to the Government of Himachal Pradesh, Shimla-2 for its due publication in the Himachal Pradesh Rajpatra in accordance with law. The copy of this award be given to the respondent as well as to the petitioner free of costs, if applied for. No order as to costs of these proceedings. The file after its due completion be consigned to the record room.

Announced this 3rd day of January, 1992 in Open Court at Shimla.

Seal.

R.K.MAHAJAN,  
Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, H.P. Shimla-1  
3-1-1992.

Before Shri R. K. Mahajan, Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Himachal Pradesh, Shimla-1

Ref. No. 55/1989

S/Shri Om Pal & others

.. Petitioners.

*Versus*

M/s Sundeep Metallurgical (P) Ltd., Parwanoo,  
District Solan .. Respondent.

Shri A. K. Sharma, Authorised Representative of the Petitioner.

Shri A. K. Malhotra, Authorised Representative of the respondent.

#### AWARD

The parties have arrived at a settlement. The respondent management has agreed to take back the remaining two petitioners S/Shri Subash Chand and Vijay Kumar in service at their sister concern i.e. M/s Raja Forgings, Panchkula on the same status without back wages, but with the continuity of service. The petitioners have accepted the settlement as per their statement recorded in this Court today. They will be given Rs. 2000/- (Two thousand) only each as an advance which will be recoverable from them later on easy instalments i.e. 200/-

per month in 10 equal instalments. This advance is ordered to be paid to the petitioners within 30 days after they report for duties as agreed to by the respondent. The petitioners are also directed to report for duty to the respondent within a fortnight or a month positively from today.

In view of this settlement arrived at between the parties, no dispute now survives. Reference is answered accordingly. The copy of this award be sent to the Government of Himachal Pradesh, Shimla-2, for its due publication in the Himachal Pradesh Rajpatra in accordance with law. The copy of this award be given to the parties, free of costs, if applied for. No order as to costs of these proceedings. The file after its due completion be consigned to the record room,

Announced this 3rd day of January, 1992 in Open Court at Shimla.

Seal.

R. K. MAHAJAN,  
Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Shimla, 3-1-1992.

Before Shri R. K. Mahajan, Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Himachal Pradesh, Shimla

Ref. 22/91

Smt. Dinesh Rana

.. Petitioner.

*Versus*

Managing Director,  
H.P. State Milk Produce  
Federation Ltd.,  
Totu, Shimla-11.

.. Respondent.

Shri J.C. Bhardwaj, Authorised Representative of the petitioner.

Shri I. D. Moudgil, Respondent in person.

#### AWARD

Smt. Dinesh Rana was appointed as daily rated clerk by the management in October, 1982. She claims that she has been working honestly and diligently. She was later on regularised in March, 1987. She alleges that in May, 1988, Manager became annoyed as she did not oblige to sit late hours in the office without cogent grounds. She was later on terminated sometime in February, 1989 which she has described illegal and unjustified. She has not been served with any charge-sheet nor any enquiry was conducted. She further asserted that no compensation was paid and the ground of remaining absent was fully justified as her mother was ill. She also justified various period of absence on account of her engagement ceremony and fracture of her elbow. The absence period would be revealed from the case set up by the management. She prays that justice be done to her by re-instating with all benefits as the impugned termination order is not according to the principles of natural justice.

2. The case of management as revealed from the statement of Shri I.D.Moudgil Managing Director as well as from written statement is of complete neglect of duty. It was observed on his surprise check on 15-6-1989 in the office of the Factory Manager of Shimla unit that the worker (Petitioner) has marked her presence w.e.f. 2-6-1988. Her explanation was called, but she did not reply. She was sanctioned casual leave for 2-6-1988 by the Factory Manager and she joined duty on 18-6-1988. She was given time to improve. Management took a lenient view. It is also a case of the management that on 3-8-1988 and 4-8-1988, the petitioner did not attend the office and even did not bother to apply for leave on 3-8-1988 to 12-8-1988 on the ground of her engagement ceremony and she told verbally that she was going to Nahan. Necessary enquiry was made from

Nahan on phone and it was revealed that she did not go to Nahan. She on 4-10-1988 marked her presence and tempered with the record. Management deputed Shri Sher Singh to intimate her on the local address of Summer-Hill given by her. She was not available and it was revealed from the enquiry that the door of the petitioner was locked for so many days. So many letters were dropped, but they were returned undelivered. The management also disputed that the petitioner is not a workman and this Court is not competent to answer the reference. It has also been pleaded that she has not exhausted further remedy of appeal before the Board of Directors as per rules applicable to her. It is also pleaded that she was kept on probation from 13-3-1987 for a period of two years. Her probation was to expire on 20-3-1989 as she could not complete the probation period successfully. It was also denied that Factory Manager was biased or wanted to detain her in the office for late hours.

3. Reference was made by the Government of Himachal Pradesh, Shimla-2 on the failure of the conciliation proceedings on the following point :—

"Whether the termination of the services of Smt. Dinesh Rana by the Managing Director, H.P. State Milk Produce Federation Ltd. Totu Shimla w.e.f. 17-2-1989 is valid and justified? If invalid, to what amount of compensation and service benefits Smt. Dinesh Rana is entitled to?"

4. From the pleadings of the parties, the following issues were framed :—

1. Whether the petitioner has been terminated by the management any justification? (OPP).
2. Whether the petition is maintainable, as alleged? (OPR).
3. Whether the petitioner was not a workman? (OPR)
4. Relief.

#### Issue No. 1 :

5. Shri J. C. Bhardwaj, Authorised Representative of the petitioner has submitted that the impugned order of termination is illegal and unjustified as no enquiry was held by the management in consonance with the principles of natural justice. He further submits that it is a case of stigma and even during probation period, she could not have been terminated without giving an opportunity to explain her case. He also submitted that lenient view may be taken and chance be given to reform.

6. Shri I. D. Modgil, Managing Director appeared himself on effective hearing; and produced the entire record for perusal. In fact he wanted to give a chance but the petitioner was not cooperating and the work of the management was suffering. He further submitted that she is a habitual absentee and in order to maintain the discipline, the department was helpless to keep her in service. The following documents would be referred regarding the conduct of Smt. Dinesh Rana. The documents produced by the Respondent would also be referred to arrive at a correct conclusion. There is a letter dated 24-1-1984 regarding misbehaviour and unhealthy atmosphere of Mrs. Veena Dinesh Thakur. There is a complaint made by Shri Ravinder Pathania Security Assistant of the Management describing her way of functioning in the office. He describes that she was putting her legs on the office table in a relaxing manner just like a tourist in a Hotel Room. She also does necessary make up in the office and during office hours. Once she sat on the Chair of Section Officer, when he was on leave. Factory Manager has issued a letter of general nature to show good behaviour. There is another letter dated 11-8-1987 regarding the late coming of Veena Thakur by Shri Ravinder Pathania to the Factory Manager that after lunch she is leaving the office early on an earlier occasion also, letter was issued by the Factory Manager to petitioner on 25-2-1987

regarding that she should observe decorum and be sincere in work. Again letter was issued on 6-5-1988 regarding leaving station on 2-5-1988 and not bothering to get the leave sanctioned. It is also mentioned in this letter that she did not care to get her leave sanctioned on 29, & 30-4-1988, she was asked that why she should not be treated absent from duty. Factory Manager called explanation of the petitioner in May, 1988. She was sanctioned leave for one day and till 16-6-1988 she did not turn up. Mr. Modgil and made a reference not marking presence from 2-6-1988 to 16-6-1988. Again letter was issued on 21-6-1988 calling explanation for remaining absent. It was also mentioned that she should also explain that why her performance is very poor. She has joined the duties on 18-6-1988 at 1.00 P. M. and she has not mentioned any reasons for the joining of the duty. On 22-6-1988 another letter calling explanation was issued to the petitioner regarding her lapses and suffering of work for not attending the office. On 10-8-1988, Factory Manager issued a letter that he has made enquiry from Women Working Hostel, Sanjauli, as well as the address given of other places, but whereabouts of the petitioner were not known. Letter dated 10-6-1988 was received back with the following remarks "GHAR SE MALOON HUA HAI KI VOH BEENA ATE-PATE KE KHAIN BHAR HAI, HAME MALOON NAHIN." Its translation in English is as follows:— It has been revealed after making enquiry on the address given in the office for effecting correspondence to her by visiting that place that she has left the place without giving the address and Managing Director was requested by the Factory Manager that her period from 2-6-1988 be treated and her salary be not paid,

7. Certificate dated 2-6-1988 given by Shri Gagia Pal Thapal, Medical Practitioner, Tilak Nagar that the petitioner is under his treatment and she needs absolute rest for 15 days. He has given another certificate that she has become fit for joining duty. According to the Doctor, she had an injury on right arm and leg.

8. According to her statement in the Court, she has been sending applications by ordinary posts. She admits that she remained on leave on 3-6-1988 to 18-6-1988, 3-8-1988, 4-8-1988, 5-10-1988 and then again on 9-12-1988 to 17-2-1989. She has not kept any copies of the applications sent by her. There is a letter of the management dated, 21-10-1988 repeating the allegations of her absence and she was asked to explain from the receipt of this letter regarding her habitual absence on false grounds. Again letter was sent on 11-11-1988 regarding not joining duty. On 21-1-1989 a letter was issued to Smt. Dinesh Rana with reference to the letter dated 16-12-1988 regarding one month leave. She has not mentioned the kind of leave she wanted to avail, nor the address on which the correspondence to be affected. It was also mentioned in the letter that there was no leave due. She was asked to join duty forthwith on the receipt of this letter, but she did not join duty. On 4-2-1989 again letter was issued to the petitioner on the Shimla address, but it was received undelivered as the residence was found closed. There is a report of Shri Sher Singh, Daftri that door was locked. It was revealed that she has left Shimla without any address for so many days.

9. It appears that the management's officer's were giving liberty to the petitioner to proceed on leave and situation arose that the practice turned into a license and the petitioner did not even care to mark her attendance. It was a soft attitude of the administration. Later on, she sent medical certificate of herself and of her mother regarding illness. She also took leave on the ground of her engagement. She had strayed or was lured into the circumstances being a lady and her whereabouts became unknown. The respondent management tried its best to procure her attendance but failed. Lower staff only woke up when the Managing Director made a visit and found her absent. She was not available on the address given by her for enquiry. The respondent management was also responsible at its lower level to tolerate her indiscipline and give her liberty. The management's only fault is that it did not publish

the notice in the newspapers which it should have done as she had worked in the department for a pretty long time to face the enquiry. The overstaying on leave or remaining absent and thereafter terminating her services involves penalty and enquiry had to be conducted by the management for knowing the case of the petitioner regarding the absence. This is now a settled law. The opportunity to lead evidence also given to both the parties by this court. The petitioner placed on record a medical certificate regarding fracture and also illness of her mother but the witnesses were not produced to prove this fact. The management also could not produce the witness Shri Sher Singh who had gone to effect the service but she was not available for attending the enquiry. The fact remains that she has suffered some set back in her life and a chance may be given to her to reform herself. In case she does not improve the management can again proceed against her in accordance with the law. I, therefore, the reasons recorded set aside impugned termination order and decide this issue accordingly in favour of the petitioner and against the respondent management as no enquiry was held in consonance with the principles of natural justice against her.

#### Issue No. 2:

10. I do not accept the plea of the respondent that she should have appealed to the appellate authority and should not come to the Court straightway. I am of the view that in the facts and circumstances of the case, the remedy for filing the appeal was not efficacious and there is no bar to raise the Industrial Dispute. I, therefore, for the reasons recorded decide this issue accordingly in favour of the petitioner and against the management.

#### Issue No. 3:

11. This issue need not be discussed as it has not been pressed by the parties. Moreover, the respondent is an industry and the petitioner is certainly a workman within the ambit of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. I hold so. I, therefore, for the reasons recorded decide this issue accordingly in favour of the petitioner and against the respondent management.

#### Relief :

12. In view of my findings on issues and the reasons recorded, I order the re-instatement of the petitioner by the respondent management by setting aside and quashing the termination order without back wages but with the continuity of the service on the following terms and conditions :—

- (i) She will observe discipline and not to proceed on leave without prior approval of the authorities.
- (ii) She should also follow the conduct rules/ Standing orders of the respondent management and the Managing Director should watch personally her conduct and behaviour all round for a period of one year and also watch the output of her work.

13. Award is passed accordingly in favour of the petitioner and against the respondent management. Reference is answered in terms of my above findings and reasons. Let a copy of this award be sent to the Government of Himachal Pradesh, Shimla for its due publication in the Official Gazette i.e. Himachal Pradesh Rajpatra in accordance with the law and a copy be also supplied to the parties free of costs, if applied for. The parties are, however, left to bear their own costs of these proceedings. The file after its due completion be consigned to the record room.

Announced this 7th day of February, 1992 at Shimla.

Seal.

R. K. MAHAJAN,  
Presiding Officer.  
Labour Court, H.P.  
Shimla 7-2-1992.

Before Shri R. K. Mahajan, Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Himachal Pradesh, Shimla

Ref. Case-78/90

Braham Dass

..Petitioner.

Versus

Management of  
Baira Sieul Project,  
Surangani, District Chamba (H.P.) ..Respondent.

Shri Manscor Ali Khan, Authorised Representative  
of the petitioner.

Shri Vijay Kumar Gupta, Authorised Representative  
of the respondents.

#### AWARD

1. Shri Braham Dass, petitioner's grievance is that he joined the respondent management before his transfer to the Chamera Project on 19-3-1981 as junior driver in the pay scale of Rs. 210—290 but he was not promoted whereas his junior, Kanwar Singh driver who joined on 24. 1981 and Budhi Singh etc. who also joined later on, were promoted in higher scale of Rs. 260—350 in the year, 1982. He was ignored *qua* his juniors and was promoted on 5-7-1983. He represented to the respondent management through Shri S. K. Johri (P&A) Surangani but of no use. He further claims that he belongs to Chamera Hydel Workers union which is affiliated to the INTUC National Trade Union Congress. The union raised a demand notice. Conciliation proceedings were held and on failure of the said proceedings, the following matter has been referred to this court for adjudication by the Government of Himachal Pradesh:-

'Whether the management of M/s Baira Sieul Hydel Project Surangani, District Chamba (H.P.) was justified in ignoring the worker Shri Braham Dass junior driver for promotion as driver and granting of higher grade of Rs. 260-350 *w. e. f.* March, 1982 when junior workmen working as junior drivers namely S/Shri Kanwar Singh and Latif were promoted and granted higher scale of Rs. 260—350. If the management is not justified, the promotion and grant of higher scale referred to above, to what amount of relief and compensation, Shri Braham Dass is entitled."

2. The case of the respondent management is that the petitioner was given a chance to appear in the interview but he was not available for consideration. He was later on promoted after considering the merits of the case on 5-7-1983. Seniority is not the only criteria in matter of promotions when the criteria of merit-cum seniority is followed by the respondent management. It is also alleged that there is no relationship of master and servant between the petitioner and the respondent management at the time of reference of this dispute to the Labour Court as he was no more an employee of the Baira Sieul Project at that time. It is also vehemently pleaded that it is not an industrial dispute and relief cannot be granted to the petitioner regarding the promotion retrospectively. From the pleadings of the parties, the following issues were framed by me on 8-11-1990.

- 1. Whether the petitioner has been ignored illegally *qua* by the management of BSP in matter of promotion against pay scale of Rs. 260—350 by giving preference to Kanwar Singh ? (OPP).
- 2. There was no relationship of master and servant ? (OPR).
- 3. Whether the dispute has not been raised by the proper union ? (OPR).
- 4. Whether the petitioner cannot claim the promotion from retrospective effect ? (OPR).
- 5. Relief.

## FINDINGS

## Issue No. 1:

3. Mr. Khan, representative of the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner was not given a chance to appear before the authorities for interview/test along with other workers even if he was on leave, through registered letter. The representative of the respondent Mr. V.K. Gupta has submitted that wireless message was circulated and internal information was given to each worker through their immediate Officers. The management has placed on record, R.W.1/A, a letter, dated 15-2-1982, issued by the management to the Deputy Manager requesting that six workers including the petitioner should appear for interview/test on 16-2-1982. According to the letter placed on record along with the wireless message, Shri Braham Dass was on casual leave at that time. This query was answered on 28-3-1982 on representation or grievance aired by him in the usual course of time. The management has further relief upon the principle that each case was considered on merit even the case of the petitioner was duly considered though he was not available for interview/test due to the reasons aforesaid. There is no pleading on the part of the petitioner that interview was conducted to favour other workers who were junior to him. The malafide cannot be inferred on the part of the respondent management as he was promoted after six months. In my view, in the facts and circumstances of this case, the petitioner was given due opportunity but he was on leave. Other workers appeared for interview/test and were selected after due consideration on merit. The petitioner has also come extremely late in raising the dispute which would disturb the stability in administrative functioning and is likely to cause so many administrative difficulties. The unions are meant to watch the interests of the workers and are expected to raise the matters before the particular forum immediately. In this case, the promotion was effected in 1983 and demand notice was given in November, 1988 after he had been promoted in 1983. I, therefore, see no force in the claim of the petitioner and re-opening this issue at this stage and decide this issue accordingly against the petitioner and in favour of the respondent management.

## Issue No. 2 :

4. The respondent project was being run by the Ministry of Energy, Government of India prior to 20-1-1978 when it was transferred to the control of the National Hydroelectric Power Corporation of India with all assets and liabilities. In my view, the relationship of master and servant did not cease to exist. I, therefore, for the reasons recorded decide this issue in favour of the petitioner and against the respondent management.

## Issue No. 3 :

5. I believe the statement/version of the petitioner that he is a member of the Chamera Hydel Workers union and the dispute has been properly referred, as the matter pertains to that period when he was very much a member of the union even at time. I, therefore, for the reasons recorded decide this issue accordingly in favour of the petitioner and against the respondent management.

## Issue No. 4:

6. In view of my findings on issue No.1, this issue does not need any separate findings. I, therefore, for the reasons recorded decide this issue accordingly in favour of the respondents and against the petitioners.

## Relief :

7. In view of my findings on issues and the reasons recorded, I pass an award accordingly in favour of respondent management and against the petitioners holding that the petitioner is not entitled to any relief at

this stage. Let a copy of this award be sent to the Government of Himachal Pradesh, Shimla-171002, for its due publication in the Official Gazette i.e. Himachal Pradesh Rajpatra in accordance with the law. A copy be also supplied to the parties free of costs, if applied for by them. The parties are, however, left to bear their own costs of these proceedings. The file after its due completion be consigned to the record room.

Announced on this 15th day of February, 1992 at Shimla.

Seal.

R. K. MAHAJAN,  
Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Himachal  
Pradesh, Shimla, 15-2-1992.

Before Shri R. K. Mahajan, Presiding Officer, Labour  
Court, Himachal Pradesh, Shimla

Ref-Case-7/91

Bhola Ram.

..Petitioner.

Versus

Management of  
Himachal Road Transport  
Corporation, Shimla.

..Respondents.

Shri Bhola Ram, Petitioner in person.

Shri Seth Chand, Authorised representative of the  
respondents.

## AWARD

1. Shri Bhola Ram, petitioner was employed by the respondent management as daily rated driver w.e.f. 26-5-1982. It is alleged by him that his services were regularised on 21-3-1983. According to the petitioner, he was performing the duties of driver satisfactorily. He was working in Rampur Region of the respondent management. On 13-11-1983, petitioner was not on duty of Bus No. HPS-7089 and he was stated to be on leave. He was forced to drive the vehicle from Chandigarh to Solan at about 6-10 P.M. The bus reached Solan at 9.30 P.M. There was a mutual understanding between the petitioner and the management regarding the another reliever who was to take this bus from Kandaghat to kapla. The petitioner handed over the bus at Solan and after handing over the charge of the bus, he took a mild dose of liquor. The Police arrested him and after having been pleaded guilty he was fined for Rs. 200/- The petitioner was discharged from the service after taking into consideration the penalty imposed on the petitioner. The grievance of the petitioner is that it is a capital punishment for him and the circumstances did not warrant so. It is also alleged by him that he has been victimised by the respondent management as he was a member of the workers' union. The petitioner prays for his re-instatement with all consequential benefits. The petitioner took up the matter with the conciliation officer for conciliation proceedings and on failure of the conciliation proceedings, the following matter has been referred to this court for adjudication by the Government of Himachal Pradesh :-

"Whether the termination of the services of Shri Bhola Ram, Ex-driver of HRTC Rampur Shimla by the management of HRTC Rampur Shimla on the basis of the fine of Rs. 200/- imposed on him by the Chief Judicial Magistrate, Solan for alleged use of liquor during working hours is justified and in order? If not, to what service benefits and amount of compensation, Shri Bhola Ram, ex-driver is entitled ..

2. The case of the respondent is that conduct of the petitioner was not satisfactory during the probation period as he was found so many times in a drunken condition while working in Rampur Depot of the respondents. He was also issued warnings on 8-1-1985 to

reform him but of no avail. The petitioner was found in a drunken condition during duty hours and was quarrelling at the Bus Stand, Kalka before the present occurrence i.e. 13-11-1985. He was arrested on 13-11-1985 with respect to duty of Bus No. HPS. 7089 at Solan at 9.00 P.M. He was taken into custody by the police and was fined with Rs. 200/- on 14-11-1985 by the Chief Judicial Magistrate, Solan. The management after taking into consideration nature of the offence under section 117 of Motor Vehicle Act while the petitioner was driving the Bus, found desirable to discharge him from the service, during the probation period. It is also alleged that the petitioner's appeal was also rejected by the Sessions Court. From the pleadings of the parties, Sessions Court. From the pleadings of the parties, the following issues were framed by me on 3-10-1991 :—

1. Whether the petitioner's services have been terminated wrongly by the management ? (OPP)

## 2. Relief.

### Findings:

3. Mr. R. L. Kaur, representative of the petitioner has submitted that the services of the petitioner have been terminated illegally as no enquiry was held against him. He further submits that the petitioner was on leave from 11-11-1983 to 15-11-1983 and there was no question of detaining him for service. His main emphasis is that the petitioner has been dealt with very harshly in matter of applying the penalty upon him. The management has submitted that show cause notice was served on the petitioner after receiving the information regarding the conviction of the petitioner by the Chief Judicial Magistrate, Solan on 14-11-1985 with respect to the alleged incident. The order of conviction on a plea of guilty and explanation regarding the incident and the show cause given to him regarding the proposed penalty are on the record. The petitioner knew the incident. He also knew regarding the plea of guilty and having suffered fine of Rs. 200/- under section 117 of the Motor Vehicle Act. The reply filed by the petitioner to the charge-sheet was not found satisfactory according to Mr. R.L. Chauhan, representative of the respondents. He was also warned so many times by the respondent management for his past misconduct as is apparent from his personal record shown to this court by the management at the time of arguments. In my view, in hilly areas, the driver should not take liquor while driving the bus as they have to negotiate the dangerous curves. So many accidents leading to the lives of unfortunate victims have occurred in the past in hilly regions especially Himachal Pradesh on account of this act of drivers. This court would have taken a lenient view had he not been given warnings earlier regarding consuming of liquor while on duty. It is not a fit case where a lenient view is required to be taken regarding the punishment and I think that the respondent management was fully justified in terminating the services of the petitioner in the fact and circumstances of this case. There is no need to hold an enquiry once there is a conviction from the judicial court and it is not disputed during the course of arguments also. I do not also attach credibility to the assertion that he was not on duty. I am not inclined to agree with the submissions of the petitioner. I, therefore, for the reasons recorded see no force in the submissions of the petitioner and decide this issue accordingly in favour of the respondents and against the petitioner on the grounds of misconduct on the part of the petitioner unbecoming of a Government/Public Servant while on duty.

### Relief:

4. In view of my findings on issues and the reasons recorded, I pass an award accordingly in favour of the respondents and against the petitioner holding that the petitioner is not entitled for any relief. Let a copy of this award be sent to the Government of Himachal Pradesh, Shimla for its due publication in the official gazette i.e. Himachal Pradesh Rajpatra in accordance with law. A copy be also supplied to the parties free of costs, if applied for by them. The parties are, however, left to bear their own costs of these proceedings.

The file after its due completion be consigned to the record room.

Announced on this 24th day of February, 1992, at Shimla.

Seal.

R. K. MAHAJAN,  
Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Himachal  
Pradesh, Shimla 24-2-92.

Before Shri R. K. Mahajan, Presiding Officer, Labour  
Court, Himachal Pradesh, Shimla

Case Ref. 32/89.

Om Datt Sharma

...Petitioner.

Versus

M/s Baira Sieul Project, Surangani, District Chamba,  
(H.P.) ...Respondents.

Shri Tilak Raj Bhardwaj, Authorised Representative  
of the petitioner.

Shri Vijay Kumar Goyal, Authorised Representative  
of the respondents.

### AWARD

1. Shri Om Datt Sharma, Petitioner as appears from his statement in this court and the claim petition filed by him, was appointed as Wireless Operator in the pay scale of Rs. 260—400 on 22-9-1974 by the respondent management vide annexure P.1. His grievance is that Shri P.C. Guleria, Shri Puran Chand and Prem Singh who joined on 19-10-1975, 26-10-1974 and 20-11-1974 respectively by the respondent management were promoted from 1-1-1982 in the pay scale of Rs. 380—560 from the pay scale of Rs. 330—560. They were originally appointed in the pay scale of Rs. 260—430 and Rs. 196—232. One Shri Puran Chand was appointed in the pay scale of Rs. 196—232 as a wireless messenger. It is further alleged that the petitioner was designated as Mechanic without his consent from a Wireless Operator which affected his scale and prays for justice in the matter of rationalisation of pay scale from the date when his junior counterparts have been given that scale on wireless operator side. He represented to the respondent management so many times and also through the union but of no avail. Later on he raised a demand notice and the matter went into conciliation proceedings. On failure of the conciliation proceedings, the following matter has been referred to this court for adjudication by the Government of Himachal Pradesh :—

1. Whether the Management of M/s Baira Sieul Hydroelectric Project, Village & P.O. Surangani, District Chamba, was justified in changing the designation of the workman Shri Om Datt Sharma from Wireless Operator to Wireless Mechanic unilaterally?"
2. Whether the management of Baira Sieul Hydroelectric Project, Surangani was justified in not considering Shri Om Datt Sharma, Wireless Mechanic in the pay scale of Rs. 260—400 for promotion to higher pay scale of Rs. 330—560 in 1976 when his juniors, S/Shri P.C. Guleria, Puran Chand and Prem Singh, Wireless Operators were promoted in the higher pay scale of Rs. 330—560. If the management was not justified, to what relief and service benefits, Shri Sharma is entitled ?"

2. The case of the respondent management is that the dispute does not fall within the ambit of section 2 (k) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (hereinafter shortly referred to as the 'Act' as it is not a case of termination and discharge etc. According to them, the Labour Court has no jurisdiction to try this reference. The management has also raised a plea that ex-employer of the project was Ministry of Energy, Government of

India before it was transferred to the National Hydro-electric Power Corporation on 20-1-1978. At the time of transfer of the Project to the Corporation, the position of the employees in their respective grades were kept in tact. Since the petitioner was a machine and his counterparts were in higher grades and as such they could not be disturbed. The petitioner was given a requisite training of mechanic and he has been working as such. The respondent has raised a dispute that the cause of action arose in the year 1976 and the petitioner raised the dispute only in the year 1985 and as such the dispute is not maintainable before the Labour Court on account of laches. It is also maintained by the respondent that agreement was arrived at between the workers' Union and the management at the national level and it was agreed that barring the promotion of work-charged employees who have completed five to six years of service, would be considered for promotion in the higher grade and no old cases would be re-opened. Agreement is dated 19-6-1984. The management has also given the history of the promotion of the workers in his own line. The petitioner was promoted as Senior mechanic in the Rs.330—480 w.e.f. 1-4-1977. Next promotion was affected as Wireless mechanic grade-I, in the pay scale of Rs.425—800 w.e.f. 1-11-1983 and he was also promoted as Wireless mechanic Grade-I in the pay scale of Rs.550—900 w.e.f. 1-1-1989. In short, the management pray that the claim of the petitioner is frivolous and deserves to be dismissed. From the pleadings of the parties, the following issues were framed by my learned predecessor on 22-8-1989 :—

1. Whether the designation of the petitioner's post from wireless operator to wireless mechanic is unilateral ? (OPP).
2. Whether petitioner was not considered for promotion in 1976? (OPR)
3. What is the effect of promotion of juniors S/Sh. P. C. Guleria, Puran Chand and Prem Singh, on the services of the petitioner? (OPP).
4. Whether dispute is covered under section 2 (k) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 ? (OPP)
5. Relief.

#### Findings:

##### Issue No.1:

3. Mr. Bhardwaj, representative of the petitioner has submitted that change of designation from Wireless operator to wireless mechanic was without the consent of the petitioner and as such it was illegal and detrimental to the interests of the petitioner. The respondent has submitted that it is its function to see who is fit for a particular job. The respondent imparted training to the petitioner for the trade of wireless mechanic. The duties of wireless mechanic are different from that of the wireless operators. He would normally effect repairs according to the management. Wireless mechanic is a superior post. The duties of Wireless operator are only to operate the wireless system by responding, "Hallow, Hallow". Wireless operator would stagnate on a special grade of Rs.425—800 whereas the mechanic would reach to the level of Rs.550—900. I am at a loss to understand as to how the union can take the function of the management in matter of functional aspects of the administration and assignment of job to a particular person suiting to his trade and consistant with qualifications. I am, therefore, of the view that at the time of transfer of the project from the Government of India, Ministry of Energy to the National Hydro-electric and Power Corporation on 20-1-1978, the petitioner was working as a senior Wireless mechanic in the pay scale of Rs. 300—480 as admitted by the petitioner and he was to be retained in the same position. It is not a case of change of designation unilaterally. The management has given a very liberal offer during the course of arguments that if the petitioner wants to revert to his own line and to become wireless operator, his demand would be considered by the management sympathetically. I personally feel that he would be at a loss and union should have weighed the pros and cons before filing the claim in the interest of the petitioner when he is enjoying the better grade. I, therefore, for the

reasons recorded decide this issue accordingly in favour of the respondent and against the petitioner.

##### Issue No. 2 & 3:

4. Both these issues are inter-connected and I would dispose them off together in avoid the duplicity in discussion.

5. The grievance of the worker's representative is that Shri Prem Singh along with other worker Shri Puran Chand were appointed as Wireless operators. Later on, they were given promotion qua the petitioner whereas as the petitioner was ignored by the respondent management in the matter of promotion. According to the management, the petitioner underwent a refresher training course of the trade of wireless mechanic at the cost of the management and on successful completion of the said training was promoted as Wireless mechanic by the management w.e.f. 1-4-1977. His line became different from the Wireless operators and he cannot be considered for the post of Wireless operators with his counterparts as claimed by him. The question of ignoring him from promotion with his counterparts does not arise either in 1976 or thereafter. He was selected for training and from that date when he completed the training successfully, he became eligible for the post of Wireless mechanic i.e. 1-4-1977. I, therefore, for the reasons recorded decide these issues accordingly in favour of the respondents and against the petitioner. Regarding the delay, it has no significance in view of the findings on merit.

##### Issue No. 4:

6. The matter has been argued at length on this issue on the following aspects. Firstly, it being an individual dispute, it does not fall under section 2 (k) of the Act. Secondly, the union has not sponsored the dispute properly. Mr. Bhardwaj, representative of the petitioner negativates these submission by placing on record Ex. R.W.1/A, a letter addressed to the Conciliation Officer for starting the conciliation proceedings. In my view the matter may be of the individual nature but if it is sponsored through the union and if it has a connection with the terms of employment, it is certainly an industrial dispute within the meaning of section 2 (k) of the Act. Individual dispute can also assume the shape of the Industrial dispute if sponsored by the union. From the record of the case, and especially the agreement has been reached at the highest level, regarding non-re-opening of old issues, the union should have not have taken up especially this matter as it is not a case of ingross injustice. I, therefore, for the reasons recorded decide this issue accordingly in favour of the respondents and against the petitioner.

##### Relief:

In view of my findings on issues and the reasons recorded, I pass an award accordingly in favour of the respondents and against the petitioners holding them entitled for no relief. Let a copy of this award be sent to the Government of Himachal Pradesh, Shimla for its due publication in the official gazette i.e. Himachal Pradesh Rajpatra in accordance with law and a copy be also supplied to the parties free of costs, if applied for by them. The parties, are, however left to bear their own costs of these proceedings. The file after its due completion, be consigned to the record room.

Announced on this 10th day of February, 1992.  
Shimla.

Seal.

R. K. MAHAJAN,  
Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Himachal Pradesh,  
Shimla, 10-2-1992.

Before Shri R. K. Mahajan, Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Himachal Pradesh, Shimla

Raj Kumar

Petitioner.

Versus

M/S Baira Sieul Project, Surangani, District Chamba (H.P.) Respondents.

Shri Raj Kumar, Petitioner in person.

Shri V. K. Gupta, Authorised Representative of the respondent.

## AWARD

Shri Raj Kumar, petitioner joined with the respondent management as Carpenter Grade-II in the scale of Rs. 260 -350 on 23-5-1974 and at present is working as a Carpenter special grade w.e.f. 1-1-1989. His grievance is that one Shri Tej Singh s/o Shri Sohnu who joined as a Carpenter in grade-II on 9-11-1974 was promoted as carpenter Grade-I in the pay scale of Rs. 320-400 on 4-9-1975. He was promoted as Carpenter grade-I in the scale of Rs. 330-560 on 1-11-1983 along with his co-workers. He claims Rs. 40,000/- on account of loss and prays that the directions be given to the respondent to make good his loss and rectify this error regarding promotion and seniority. The plea of the respondent as appeared from the written statement filed by them is that the dispute is not covered under section 2 (k) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (hereinafter shortly referred to as the 'Act') as it is purely a promotion matter and this court has no jurisdiction to try this reference. The petitioner along with other co-workers was working in Baira Sieul Project, Surangani at that time being controlled by the Ministry of Energy, Government of India. It was transferred to the National Hydro-electric and Power Corporation of India on 20-1-1978. The workers were taken by the new management and under section 25 FF of the Act, the management cannot change their service conditions with respect to pay, allowances, status etc. It is also pleaded by the management that seniority was maintained by circle-wise and not by the department as a whole. Shri Tej Singh must be belonging to a different circle. The petitioner did not raise a dispute with the ex-employer as well as he also delayed the matter and raised a demand notice sometime in 1990, after two settlements arrived at between the workers and the management i.e. dated 19-6-1984 and 30-12-1984 *vide* Ex.P.W.1/A and P.W.1/B. The petitioner was a member of the union through whom the settlements have been arrived at. The theme of the settlement is that the work-charged employees who have more than 5 years' service, would be promoted and the past cases would not be re-opened. D.P.C. was held and one thousand persons were promoted w.e.f. 1-11-1983. It is also submitted by the management that matter is not properly referred. The matter was taken up for conciliation proceedings and on failure of the conciliation proceedings, the following point has been referred to this court by the Government of Himachal Pradesh for adjudication :—

"Whether the claim of Shri Raj Kumar, Carpenter Grade-I in Baira Sieul Project, Surangani for allowing the benefit of promotion/higher pay scale or to rectify the same from retrospective effect (i.e. prior to his juniors namely Shri Tej Singh Carpenter Chargeeman) is legal. If so, to what relief and amount of compensation, Shri Raj Kumar is entitled?"

2. From the pleadings of the parties the following issues were framed by me on 7-11-1990 :—

1. Whether the claim of Shri Raj Kumar, Carpenter Grade-I being senior to Shri Tej Singh with respect to grade-I has been ignored illegally and without justification? If so, its effect? (OPR)
2. Whether the petitioner's claim is not an individual dispute and could not be referred to this court under section 10 of the ID. Act ? (OPR)
3. Whether the claim of the petitioner is justified from retrospective effect ? (OPR)
4. Whether the claim is barred in view of the settle-

- ments, dated 19-6-1984 and 30-12-1984 ? (OPR)
5. Whether the petitioner's case can be represented by Shri D. P. Malhotra, advocate? (OPR)
6. Relief,

## FINDINGS:

## Issue No. 1, 3 and 4 :

3. Issue No. 1,3 and 4 are inter-connected and would be disposed off together in order to avoid the duplicity in discussion.

L.R. 1

4. Admittedly, Shri Raj Kumar petitioner joined earlier than his junior counterpart Shri Tej Singh as referred to above. Mr. Gaikwad, Personnel Manager of the respondent as well as Mr. V.K. Gupta, authorised representative of the respondents have produced guidelines of promotion along with the proceedings of the Departmental Promotion Committee. The guidelines are mentioned are, 901,902 and 903 of the letter, dated 23-12-91 placed on record. 904 deals with the promotion and seniority rules for promotion and circle for seniority purpose would be treated as an unit for work charged persons in each category. In exceptional cases, sub-divisions located far away from headquarters can be treated as the units for the purpose of seniority. There is a package deal RW.1/A regarding promotion of work-charged employees which has been referred in earlier part of my judgement. D.P.C.s. were held and promotions were effected from 1-11-1983 and 1-5-1984 and demand notice, dated 6-3-1984 was resolved. This settlement was approved later on by the Corporate office at Delhi. It was also specifically agreed that the promotion in ignored cases would follow soon and the union was requested to bring number of such ignored cases into the notice of the respondent management. This settlement is Ex. RW. 1/B (Demand charter) RW.1/C is a final settlement arrived at between the management and the workers. It was clearly laid down in this settlement/minutes, RW.1/C that the persons who have completed their qualifying service of 5 or 6 years in their respective grades w.e.f. 1-11-1984 would be promoted and remaining would be promoted only after the completion of qualifying service by them. In a package deal, the promotions were given w.e.f. 1-11-1983. Some workers are to lose and some others are to gain. The unions in a collective bargaining see the larger interests of the workers and then arrive at a settlement with the management. It would be unfair and unreasonable if they back out of the earlier settlements. The entire stabilisation in the affairs of continuity of administrative aspect would be disturbed. Industrial law and Service law based on common sense, disfavours unsettling of the things. Union has not pleaded that this settlement was unfair as a result of coercion or fraud. The matter was raised after five years of the settlements for re-opening the matter and if the Labour Court goes on re-opening the matter, there would be no end to the litigation and then the litigation from the graveyard can be dug up by the parties concerned. It is a fit case where the court on the basis of the settlement and delay would hesitate to concede the submissions of the petitioner. Even otherwise, the seniority is stated to have been maintained circle-wise and the D.P.C. proceedings have been placed on record. The name of the petitioner does not figure *vide* Annexure 'A'. D. P. C. proceedings relate to the date 28-7-1975. In view of the reasons recorded, the settlements were binding on the parties. I, therefore, for the reasons recorded decide all these three issues accordingly in favour of the respondents and against the petitioners.

## Issue No. 2:

5. The respondent management's plea is that since it is a matter of promotion and does not fall within the ambit of the Act. It has also been argued that the sufficient number of workers have not espoused the dispute through the union and as such the reference is not valid and maintainable. The representative of the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner was an

Up-pardhan of the union and the demand charter sent to the Chief Engineer on 27-2-1981. He further submits that the petitioner is a scheduled caste person and a good carpenter and has been ignored unnecessarily from promotion from the date of promotion of his juniors. If he was an Up-pardhan, he should have been more vigilant at the time to the settlement and briefed the representatives for proper negotiations so that his case was not left out. The union of which he was the member should have pressed his claim for promotion from retrospective effect. I am told that the regular workers get more benefits than the workcharged ones and the union considered this aspect and entered into this settlement. It achieved great justice ignoring the little injustice. In view of the reasons recorded, it is clear that the matter has been espoused properly through the union but the unions should desist themselves from raising the demand charters against the old and settled cases through settlements and should be vigilant if there is injustice to the workers. They should raise the demand immediately. I, therefore, for the reasons recorded decide this issue accordingly against the petitioners and in favour of the respondents.

## Issue No.5:

6. This issue need not be answered as this issue has not been pressed during the course of arguments.

## Relief:

7. In view of my findings on issues and the reasons recorded, I pass an award accordingly in favour of the respondents and against the petitioner holding him entitled for no relief. Let a copy of this award be sent to the Government of Himachal Pradesh Shimla for its due publication in the official gazette, i.e. Himachal Pradesh Rajpatra in accordance with the law. A copy of this award be also given to the parties free of costs if applied for by them. At this stage, the parties are, however, left to bear their own costs of these proceedings. The file after its due completion, be consigned to the record room.

Announced on this 15th day of February 1992, at Shimla.

R. K. MAHAJAN,  
Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Himachal Pradesh,  
Shimla-171001.  
15-2-1992.

Seal.

Before Shri R. K. Mahajan, Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Himachal Pradesh, Shimla-1

Case No. 2/88-

Smt. Roshani Devi ... Petitioner.

Versus

General Manager, Tea Estate, Chail, District Kangra, (H.P.) ... Respondent.

Shri Ajay Dogra, Authorised Representative of the petitioner with the petitioner in person.

Shri Naresh Pal Sharma, Authorised Representative of the respondent.

## AWARD

The parties have arrived at a settlement. The respondent management has paid Rs. 3800/- (Rupees Three thousand and eight hundred) only to the petitioner in full and final settlement of her claim relating to her past wages. She will, however, be given continuity of the service by the respondent management. She will also be given all other benefits such as provident fund benefits and other statutory benefits. The previous award made on ex parte basis on 21-2-1991 by this Court stands modified to that extent. Award as passed ac-

ordingly in favour of the petitioner and against the respondent management. Let a copy of this order be sent to the Government of Himachal Pradesh, Shimla for its due publication in the official gazette, i.e. Himachal Pradesh Rajpatra in accordance with the law. A copy be also supplied to the parties free of costs, if applied for. The parties are, however, left to bear their own costs of these proceedings. The file after its due completion, be consigned to the record room.

Arraigned this 23rd January, 1992 in Open Court at Nurpur.

Seal.

R. K. MAHAJAN,  
Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, H.P., Shimla,  
Camp at Nurpur, 23-1-1992.

Before Shri R. K. Mahajan, Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Himachal Pradesh, Shimla

Case-Ref-73/89

Ram Rattan

... Petitioner.

Versus

Management of H.R.T.C., Nahan through its Regional Manager ... Respondents.

Shri Ashwini Kumar Gupta, Authorised Representative of the petitioner.

Shri K. C. Chauhan, Authorised Representative of the respondents.

## AWARD

1. Shri Ram Rattan, petitioner was employed by the respondent management i.e. Himachal Government Transport in the year, 1972. He remained employed upto March, 1975, when the Himachal Road Transport Corporation had come into being on 2-10-1974. His grievance is that his services were terminated by the respondent management arbitrarily without serving any chargesheet or holding any enquiry against him in consonance with the principles of natural justice. He further alleges that compliance of section 25I of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 has not been made (hereinafter shortly referred to as the 'Act' and since it is a case of retrenchment and violation of section 25I of the Act as the other junior persons have been retained and subsequently also many other persons have been appointed without affording any opportunity to him for re-employment. He prays that he may be re-instated with all the consequential benefits. The matter was taken up at the conciliation level and on failure of the conciliation proceedings, the following matter has been referred to this court by the Government of Himachal Pradesh, Shimla for adjudication :—

"Whether the termination of the services of Shri Ram Rattan, Ex-conductor, by the Management of M/s H.R.T.C., Nahan is legal and maintainable? If illegal, to what relief and amount of compensation, Shri Ram Rattan is entitled?"?

2. The case of the respondent management is that the petitioner was engaged as cleaner-cum-conductor on 12-2-1972 as a casual worker and his services were terminated or dispensed with as he was found involved in eight cases of irregularities committed by him regarding carriage charges etc with respect to the load and the passengers. The period is from 3-4-1972 to 9-12-1974. He was also arrested for loss of consignment. He was given warning to improve satisfactory but to no avail. It is also alleged that he was employed on contractual basis and his case fell under section 2(1b) of the Act. There was contract between the parties that the services of the petitioner can be terminated

without assigning any reasons. The petitioner is also debarred to file a claim as he has come to the court after 18 years. From the pleadings of the parties, the following issues were framed by me on 3-12-1990:—

1. Whether the provisions of section 25 F of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 has not been followed by terminating the services of the petitioner and termination is bad in the eyes of law? (OPP).
2. Whether the principle of "Last come, first go" has not been followed and the petitioner has been discriminated in case of re-employment? (OPP).
3. Relief.

#### Findings:

3. Issue Nos. 1 & 2 are inter-connected and would be disposed off together in order to hasten the expeditious discussion.

4. The representative of the petitioner has submitted that no regular enquiry was held against the petitioner after serving the charge-sheet on him and as such it is a case of violation of section 25 F of the Act. Mr. Chauhan representative of the respondents has submitted that the petitioner was employed as a casual worker and was on probation. I am inclined to agree with the contention of Mr. Chauhan representative of the respondent as the petitioner is stated to be involved in eight cases of irregularities as discussed above. The relevant record has also been placed on record, which is R.W. I/A. It contains the detail of case, the explanation called I and other allied documents and thereafter termination order was passed on 22-1-1975 at page 15 of R.W.I/A. Even the appeal was rejected by the Divisional Manager and conveyed to him on 8-11-1988 *vide* page 16 of R.W. I/A. The appeal was considered to be time barred. There is also an order, dated 21-2-1989 that the appeal has been evaded and rejected by the competent authority. Those documents are contained in R.W.I/A. It appears that the appeal was filed by the petitioner after 12 years and he approached this court after 15 years. The petitioner wanted the court to dig up the matter from the graveyard as he might have heard from other contractors that their cases have been considered and allowed by the court. In my view, every case has its own facts. Petitioner was not found satisfactory in conduct and the respondent was within his right to dispense with the services of the petitioner. The respondent issued so many warning letters to the petitioner giving him green signals to reform but of no avail. Thus the respondent were left with no alternative except to terminate his services and that too during the probation period. Even otherwise, I am of the view that a disciplinary enquiry has been held by issuing so many reformatory letters. It was a case of loss of confidence in the petitioner and in some eventuality, taking the conduct of the petitioner and surrounding circumstances, the order for dispensing with the services can be passed by the management immediately. It is also not a case of stigma against the petitioner. The petitioner has also approached this court after a period of 15 years and the appellate authority after more than 12 years. He is not entitled to equitable relief from this court in the facts and circumstances of this case. I therefore decide both these issues accordingly in favour of the respondents and against the petitioner. The petitioner has also not specified the date of appeal before the authority.

#### Relief:

4. In view of my findings on issues and the facts as recorded, I pass an award accordingly in favour of the respondent management and the petitioner. The petitioner is not entitled to any relief. Let a copy of this order be sent to the Government of Himachal Pradesh, Shimla for its due publication in the official gazette i.e. Himachal Pradesh Rupgata in accordance with law. A copy be also supplied to the parties free of costs if applied for by them. The parties are, however,

left to bear their own costs of these proceedings. The file after its due completion, be consigned to the record room.

Annotated on this 24th day of February, 1992, at Shimla.

Seal.

**R. K. MAHAJAN,**  
Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Himachal Pradesh,  
Shimla, 24-2-1992.

Before Shri R. K. Mahajan, Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Himachal Pradesh, Shimla

Ref. Case No. 56/91

General Secretary, Municipal Committee Karamchauri Union, . . . Petitioners,

*Versus*

Administrator, Municipal Committee, Nahan (H.P.) . . . Respondents,

Shri Jagdish Chauhan, General Secretary of the Workers' Union, for the petitioners.

Shri Ali Sher, authorised representative of the respondents.

#### AWARD

The Municipal Committee Union, Nahan has raised a demand on behalf of the petitioners who are low paid employees that increments after 8 and 18 years of service which are permissible under the rules, are not being paid to them by the respondents with retrospective effect as arrears. They claim arrears w.e.f. 1-1-1986 which is due to them. They have stated in their demand the respondent is getting grant-in-aid from the Government but is not properly being distributed to the workers. The vacant posts of Saffai Karamcharies numbering 7 are also lying vacant with the respondents to maintain cleanliness and to avoid extra burden on them. The remaining demands have not been pressed by the petitioner. The demand notice was sent to the respondents. The respondent on account of financial difficulty did not concede the demand of the workers before the Conciliation Officer. Thus the conciliation talks failed and the following matter was referred to this court for adjudication by the Government of Himachal Pradesh. . . .

"Was for the three demands raised by the Municipal Committee, Nahan Employees' Union with the Administrator Municipal Committee, Nahan w.r.t. their demand charter, dated 10-10-1990 are legal and justified? If so, to what relief, these workmen are entitled?"

2. The representative of the respondent was fair enough to concede the demands of the workers by agreeing as R.W.I by putting in of the written statement. The crux of the respondent is that grant-in-aid from the Government is not being increased or released with the sufficient amount to meet the liabilities. The tax proposal was also sent to the Government for raising the funds which is also hanging fire. The total grant-in-aid is to the tune of Rs.1,91,000/- and the liabilities are to the tune of Rs.2,35,000/- per month of the pay and allowances of the municipal staff only. The posts cannot also be filled due to paucity of funds. The court gave directions for the last hearing and was also pressing the management to take up the matter with the Government for providing the funds. I am informed by the management that the matter has been taken up with the Government so many times but the funds are not being made available. In my view, it will take so many months or years to settle this arrears and there is no use to wait as there are so many bureaucratic hurdles to release

the funds immediately and the workers cannot be left to starve indefinitely with respect to the money due to them. As regards the demands for filling up of the vacant posts, I hope the respondent would fill up these posts within one year subject to the availability of funds as I am informed by the respondents that they are in need of these posts. These posts are mainly meant for the functions which can only be performed by the petitioners. I also allow the demand of the petitioners for release of the arrears due to them on account of 8 or 18 years' increments due to them. I, therefore, for the reasons recorded decide this issue accordingly in favour of the petitioners and against the respondents.

#### Relief:

3. In view of the discussions above, I pass an award accordingly in favour of the petitioners and against the respondents with respect to their demand for arrears of increments due to them. The respondents will pay this amount within one month from the date of publication of this award in the Himachal Pradesh Rajpatra failing which 12% interest will be payable on amount payable to the petitioners on equitable. Reference is answered accordingly in affirmative. Let a copy of this award be sent to the Government of Himachal Pradesh, Shimla for its due publication in the official gazette i.e. Himachal Pradesh Rajpatra in accordance with the law and a copy be supplied to the parties free of costs if applied for by them. The parties are, however, left to bear their own costs of these proceedings. The file after its due completion be consigned to the record room.

Announced on this 26th day of February, 1992 in Open Court at Shimla.

Seal.

R. K. MAHAJAN,  
Presiding Officer.

Labour Court, Himachal Pradesh,  
Shimla, 26-2-1992.

Before Shri R. K. Mahajan, Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Himachal Pradesh, Shimla

Case-Ref. 140/90

Ram Babu

..Petitioner.

Verdicts

M/s Agro Industries, Village Sarajmara, Tolihil Nagar, District Solan (H.P.) ..Respondents.

Shri J. C. Bhardwaj, AR of the petitioner,

None for the respondents.

#### AWARD

The petitioner was employed by the management as Electric Welder on 20-6-1989 on the emoluments of Rs. 551/- p.m. The grievance of the petitioner is that he was terminated by the management on 1-6-1990 without giving any charge-sheet or holding any enquiry against him. He was also not paid any retrenchment compensation as required under section 25 F of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (hereinafter shortly referred to as the 'Act'). He prays for his re-instatement with all the consequential benefits. The respondent proceeded *ex parte* on 27-7-1991 as he did not appear before this court despite service. A chance was given to the management to participate in the proceedings for payment of costs of Rs. 80/- after setting aside the *ex parte* proceedings against him but the management did not take any interest in the case. I have recorded the statement of the petitioner who has supported his case on oath and I am satisfied that this is clear cut case of violation of section 25 F of the Act and set aside the impugned termination order passed by the respondent against the petitioner. It is further ordered that the petitioner will be entitled to all the consequential

including full back wages. I, therefore, for the reasons recorded decide the case in favour of the petitioner and against the respondent management.

#### Relief:

2. In view of the above discussions, I pass an award accordingly in favour of the petitioner and against the respondent. The petitioner is entitled to be re-instated in the service of the respondent with all consequential benefits including back wages. Reference is answered in affirmative accordingly. Let a copy of this award be sent to the Government of Himachal Pradesh, Shimla for its due publication in the official gazette i.e. Himachal Pradesh Rajpatra in accordance with law and copy be supplied to the parties free of costs, if applied for. No orders as to the costs. The file after due completion, be consigned to the record room.

Announced on this 27th day of February, 1992, in Open Court at Shimla.

Seal.

R. K. MAHAJAN,

Presiding Officer.

Labour Court, Himachal Pradesh,  
Shimla, 27-2-1992.

Before Shri R. K. Mahajan, Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Himachal Pradesh, Shimla

Ref.-Case-469/86

Mohinder Singh

..Petitioner.

versus

M/s Bara Sieul Project, Surangani, District Chamba (H.P.) ..Respondents.

Shri Tilak Raj Bhardwaj, Authorised Representative of the petitioner.

Shri Vijay Kumar Gupta, Authorised Representative of the respondents.

#### AWARD

1. Shri Mohinder Singh, Petitioner claims that he joined the respondent management before the transfer of the said Project to the control of the National Hydro-electric and Power Corporation of India on 20-1-1978 from the Ministry of Energy, Government of India as fitter grade-II in the pay scale of Rs. 125—205 on 30-5-1972. He states that he has studied upto 8th class and his duty was to repair and maintenance. He was promoted in the pay scale of Rs. 380—560 as Mechanic Grade-II w.e.f. 1-9-1973. Shri Romesh Chand was employed as Mechanic Grade-I in the pay scale of Rs. 380—560 directly in the workcharged cadre on 12-11-1975 as referred to above on *ad hoc* basis and later on, his services were regularised on 8-1-1976 in the workcharged cadre as mentioned in the claim petitioner. The grievance of the petitioner is that he was senior to Shri Romesh Chand and Romesh Chand was promoted in the pay scale of Rs. 425—700 as Foreman Grade-II and his services were regularised Mechanic Grade-I w.e.f. 8-1-76. The petitioner has been promoted as Grade-I Chareman in the pay scale of Rs. 425—700 w.e.f. 1-11-1978. He challenges the promotion of Shri Romesh Chand on the ground that he was senior to him and has been ignored for promotion as they were performing more or less the identical duties or same nature of the job. He further alleges that seniority was maintained project-wise and not circle-wise and the petitioner ranked senior when he was transferred from Bara Sieul Project to Chamera Project *vide* Ex.P. 9 & 10. He made representations against the seniority list, Ex.P.7 issued by the management and also sent various representations to the management with regard to the redressal of his grievances *vide* representations P.2 to P.5., but of no avail. P.4 and P.5 are the representations are dated

1985. P.2 relates to dated 11-3-1978. He took up the matter with the conciliation officer through the Union *vide* Ex. P.13 on 1-12-1985. The union then passed a resolution on 22-9-1985 that 'matter may be treated as an Industrial Dispute *vide* P.12. In other words, he claims all the consequential benefits with respect to the promotion from the date his juniors have been allowed the same. The conciliation proceedings failed and ultimately the following dispute has been referred to this court for adjudication by the Government of Himachal Pradesh :-

'Whether the action of M/s Baira Sieul Project, Surangani, District Chamba, Himachal Pradesh in promoting Shri Mohinder Singh, Foreman w.e.f. 30-10-1978 is justified and in order or he was to be promoted on the date Shri Romesh Chand was promoted w.e.f. 12-1-1977. In the latter case to what relief Shri Mohinder Singh, Foreman is entitled ?'

2. The plea of the respondent management is that it is purely an individual dispute and is not covered under the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (hereinafter shortly referred to as the 'Act'). It is further alleged that the petitioner is doing supervisory job and drawing Rs. 1600/- per month and does not fall within the definition of the workman under the Act. It is also pleaded that the petitioner and Shri Romesh Chand were working in different circles and getting different grades and rule mentioned is 9.04 of the C.P.W.D. Manual and is applicable. The respondent Shri Romesh Chand was drawing the higher scale at the time of taking over the control of the Project by the National Hydroelectric and Power Corporation of India from the Ministry of Energy, Government of India on 20-1-1978 and his status and tenure could not be disturbed as per policy of the Corporation at the time of transfer of the project to the corporation. There was also an agreement between unions on 1-10-1984 arrived at with the management regarding the consideration of the ignored cases. The copy of the said conciliation settlement is EX.P.W. 1/B placed on record (Item 16-A). Ignored cases were considered by the management and after holding the meeting of the departmental promotion committee, the promotions were given from 1-11-1983 and 1-5-1984 *vide* Ex.P.W.1/A. It was the duty of the union as per the conciliation settlement to point out any individual case to the respondents if there was any injustice and then the management was expected to consider it. The plea of the respondent management is that the unions are bound by the conciliation settlement and the matter cannot be yanked up at this stage.

3. From the pleadings of the parties, the following issues were framed by my learned predecessor on 21-8-89 :-

1. Whether the present dispute is an industrial dispute within section 2 (k) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 ? (OPP).
2. Whether the petitioner is not a workman ? (OPR)
3. Whether the petitioner is not a employee of the respondent ? (OPR).
4. Whether the petitioner is entitled for promotion w.e.f. 12-1-1977 instead of 30-10-1978 ? (OPP).
5. Relief.

#### FINDINGS:

4. Issue No.1 & 2 are inter-connected and would be disposed off together in order to avoid the duplicity in discussion.

5. Mr. Bhardwaj, representative of the petitioners has submitted that the promotion matter is not an individual dispute. He further submitted that the petitioner is a workman. The management has controverted the pleas of the petitioner. I am of the view that the promotion matter can also lead to strife and tension to the industrial peace. It is a dispute between the employer and the employee and if a reference is made to

this court through proper channels and especially when it has been espoused by the union, it is certainly an industrial dispute. The plea of the management that the union has no authority to take up the matter as it related to the period prior to the transfer of the respondent project to the National Hydroelectric and Power Corporation of India on 20-1-1978 from the Ministry of Energy, Government of India. Cause of action arose but he was transferred to the Chamera Project and present union has certainly the right to take up the case of the petitioner. Regarding other plea that the petitioner is not a workman, it is also not sustainable. The petitioner is a technical person and is doing his job with skill of hers. The scope of the workman has expanded to such an extent that even if a person is doing the manual type of duties and is drawing more than Rs. 1600/- per month but is doing the manual job, he is certainly a workman within the meaning of section 2 (k) of the Act. I, therefore, for the reasons recorded decide both these issues accordingly in favour of the petitioner and against the respondent management.

#### Issue No. 3:

6. The plea of the respondent that the petitioner has been transferred to Chamera and is not an employee of the respondent, is also not technically right. In fact, this plea has been superfluously raised especially when the management after the transfer of the respondent project to the National Hydroelectric and Power Corporation of India is the same for both the Projects i.e. Baira Sieul Project, Surangani and Chamera Project and in view of this the National Hydroelectric Power Corporation of India is fully responsible for assets and liabilities of the erstwhile Projects. I, therefore, for the reasons recorded decide this issue accordingly in favour of the petitioner and against the respondent management.

#### Issue No. 4:

7. Mr. Bhardwaj's submission that the seniority was projectwise and not circumscribed is not acceptable in the facts and circumstances of this case. According to the C.P.W.D. manual-9.04, rule No.1, stipulates that ordinarily the circle would be treated as an unit for the purpose of seniority. Exception was made where divisions and sub-divisions are located far away from the headquarters. In this case, the scale of the petitioner and respondent Shri Romesh Chand were different and service according to rule No. 2.2 in equivalent category with independent scale of pay will also count for the purpose of the seniority. Shri Romesh Chand joined in a better grade than the petitioner enjoying better status so the petitioner cannot be put at par with respondent Shri Romesh Chand if entire C.P.W.D. manual ratio is gone through in its entirety.

8. According to the policy decision, P.8., which was arrived at in the years, 1978 regarding the transfer of the Baira Sieul Project and Chamera Project to the National Hydroelectric and Power Corporation of India, the status and salary of the individuals was not to be disturbed which they were enjoying prior to 20-1-1978. This decision is based on reasons and the petitioner cannot claim the benefits *qua* Shri Romesh Chand respondent. There was a conciliation settlement between the parties regarding the ignored cases as referred to above in the earlier part of my award and the conciliation settlement is binding on the parties under section 18 of the Act, as the majority of the unions took part in it. So many promotions were effected. It was a package deal for the benefit of the workers. The union did not point out the injustice done at the earlier stage after this package deal to the respondent management and took up the matter with the conciliation after long time i.e. in the year, 1985 when the things had stabilized. It would amount to digging of the matter from the graveyard at this stage. Even otherwise, the plea of the petitioner is not sustainable as he and Shri Romesh Chand were having the different grades and status. I, therefore, for the reasons recorded decide this issue accordingly in favour of the respondent management and against the petitioner.

## Relief:

9. In view of my findings on issues and the reasons recorded, I pass an award accordingly in favour of the respondent management and against the petitioner holding that the petitioner is not entitled to any relief at this stage. Reference is answered accordingly in negative. Let a copy of this award be sent to the Government of Himachal Pradesh, Shimla for its due publication in the Official Gazette i.e. Himachal Pradesh Rajpatha in accordance with law. A copy of this award be also given to the parties free of costs, if applied for by them. The parties are, however, left to bear their own costs of these proceedings. The file after its due completion, be consigned to the record room.

Announced on this 29th day of February, 1992 at Shimla.

Seal.

R. K. MAHAJAN,  
Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Himachal Pradesh,  
Shimla. 29-2-1992.

Before Shri R. K. Mahajan, Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Himachal Pradesh, Shimla

Ref-Case-35/86-

Chain Lal ..Petitioner.

Versus

M/s Baira Sieul Project,  
Surangani, District  
Chamba (H.P.) Respondents.

Shri Tilak Raj Bhardwaj, Authorised Representative of the petitioner.

Shri Vijay Kumar Gupta, Authorised Representative of the respondents.

## AWARD

1. Shri Chain Lal, petitioner was appointed by the respondent management as carpenter in the pre-revised pay scale of Rs. 110—155 and he joined duty on 16-8-1971. The grievance of the petitioner is that he was senior to Sukhdev carpenter and Sukhdev was appointed on 23-10-1971 in the pay scale of Rs. 110—155. Sukhdev has been given promotion in the higher grade i.e. Rs.320-400 w.e.f. 1-9-1973 as carpenter grade-II ignoring the petitioner. It is also alleged that promotions after promotions were given to Shri Sukhdev i.e. on 1-8-1975, 14-3-1976 and 1-11-1983 whereas he was not given due promotions when he was senior to him and nothing was adverse against him. Ultimately Sukhdev was promoted on 1-11-1983 as Foreman Grade-I in the pay scale of Rs. 550—900 whereas he was promoted as chargeman in the grade of Rs. 330-480 on 1-11-1983. His grievance is that he represented to the respondent management so many times but of no avail. His representations are placed on record. He took up the matter through the union with the conciliation Officer and on failure of the conciliation proceedings, the following matter has been referred to this court by the Government of Himachal Pradesh for adjudication :-

"Whether Shri Chain Lal worker Electrical Division, Baira Sieul Project Surangani (HP) is senior to Shri Sukhdev (worker) of the Baira Sieul Project, Surangani (HP)? If so, the promotion of Shri Chain Lal prior to Shri Sukhdev is justified and in order? If not, what relief and amount of compensation, Shri Chain Lal is entitled to?"

2. The plea of the respondent management is that the respondent project was taken over by the National Hydroelectric and Power Corporation of India in the

year, 1978 i.e. on 20-1-1978 from the Ministry of Energy, Government of India and there was a policy decision that the workers would be absorbed in the same staff's tenure and condition of service as prevalent on 20-1-1978 i.e. on the date of transfer of the project to the Corporation and the status of both workers with regard to their position and grade could not be disturbed. It is also alleged that the matter is not triable by this court as it is an individual dispute. Demand notice is belated and on this ground also, the relief cannot be granted to the petitioner. The function of the management is to select the person for a particular job and it cannot be interfered with on the demand of the union. Sukhdev has not been made a party and as such the petition is not maintainable. Shri Sukhdev was working in a senior positional grade and in a different circle. The circles have different seniority lists and they cannot be equated. Promotions have been effected rightly and cannot be disturbed as per policy decision. Promotion cannot be claimed as a matter of right as it is always effected on the basis of merit-cum-seniority. From the pleadings of the parties, the following issues were framed by my learned predecessor on 2-11-1986 :-

1. Whether the reference is bad as the dispute has not been sponsored by the union of the workmen and also allegations made in paras a to e of para 1 of the reply ? (CPR).
2. Whether the promotion of Shri Sukhdev is invalid and cannot be made in supersession of the petitioner, Shri Chain Lal ? (OPP).
3. Relief.

## FINDINGS :

## Issue No. 1 :

3. The respondent has submitted that the matter has not been properly sponsored and is also an individual dispute. Mr. Bhardwaj, representative of the petitioner has submitted that the matter is properly sponsored as it has been taken up through the union. It is also not belated reference in view of the representations made by the petitioner to the authorities from time to time. I would refer to the resolution of the workers dated 15-1-1985 raising the industrial dispute of the petitioner by the Chamera Employees' and Workers' Union, Banikhet before the conciliator officer. It was requested that conciliation proceedings may be held. The copy of the said resolution is Ex.P.8 dated 12-8-1984. The petitioner has also written a letter to the conciliator officer on 17-5-1984 requesting to start the conciliation proceedings. The copy of the letter is Ex.P.5. In my view, the matter has been referred properly by the union to the conciliator officer and union can raise dispute regarding the promotion as it involves the terms and conditions of the employment of the petitioner with the respondent management. Matter of promotion can also lead to strife and Industrial unrest if it is not resolved by the conciliation machinery through the union. It is certainly covered under section 2 (k) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Hereinafter shortly referred to as the 'Act'). Regarding raising of the dispute after a long time when the matter has been stabilised, is also worth consideration. The petitioner was ignored in the year, 1973, 1975 and 1976 etc. He kept silent. He did not raise a hue and cry himself or through the union. Union also did not raise the matter and the matter was raised only sometime in the year, 1983. In industrial jurisprudence which is based more or less on equitable principles, it would not look reasonable to seek relief after an inordinate delay to disturb the stability of other employees. It would amount to digging of the matter from the graveyard now. I, therefore, for the reasons recorded decide this issue accordingly.

## Issue No. 2 :

4. Sukhdev was appointed as Carpenter in the same scale in which the petitioner was appointed as discussed above in my earlier part of this award. Later on Sukhdev

was promoted as carpenter grade-II in the pay scale of Rs.320—400 w.e.f. 1-9-1973 whereas the petitioner was ignored as alleged. This is the only cardinal point which has been raised by the union and is to be decided as subsequent promotions are interlinked with it. Mr. Bhardwaj's submission that the petitioner was senior to Shri Sukhdev and should have been automatically promoted as there is nothing adverse against him, is not tenable, on the following reasonings. Firstly promotion is a matter of selection on merit and it is purely the function of the management to see the fitness of the person unless it is malafide. There is nothing on record to substantiate that it is a case of malafide. (ii) The union representative's submission that the seniority list was maintained projectwise and not circleywise is also not substantiated, according to point 9.04 of the C.P.W.D. manual. Ordinarily, circles will be treated as units in matter of seniority and promotion unless the divisional headquarter of the circle is situated far away from the division itself. Under point 9.03 of the C.P.W.D. manual, cases of all persons eligible for promotion to the high posts in order of seniority, should be placed by the appointing authority before the departmental promotion committee for consideration. The petitioner was working in a different trade i.e. Electrical Division of the respondents. Management's version is that Shri Sukhdev was working in other division and seniority was also different. I am inclined to agree with the submissions of the respondent that seniority was maintained circleywise and not projectwise as alleged by the petitioner. Workman has also no case as ignored cases of promotions were considered by the respondent management in the year, 1984 *vide* conciliation settlement, Ex.P.I and it was agreed *vide* item No.16 of the said conciliation settlement that process of promotions of the ignored workmen have been initiated and the union can bring to the notice of the respondents within 15 days if any workman disagrees or any workman is hurt. Ultimately ignored persons were considered to have been promoted from 1-11-1983 and 1-5-1984 *vide* different Departmental Promotion Committees. The petitioner was promoted from 1-11-1983 and he was given grade of the post for which he was promoted i.e. Charge man carpenter in the pay scale of Rs.330—480. He has accepted that grade. The minutes regarding meeting held between the management and the petitioner's union to which they owe allegiance, is placed on record *vide* annexure P.5. It was stipulated in para 3 that past cases, if any, would not be re-opened and industrial peace was assured. This conciliation settlement is binding on the parties as the majority of the unions were signatories to the aforesaid conciliation settlement. This is binding under section 18 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 on the parties and it is now not fair to re-open this settlement and to dig the things from the graveyard. In package deal, some workers are to lose and some are to gain and union always watches the interests of the workers and overall justice to them. So many workers were promoted as a result of the said conciliation settlement giving the pack deal to the workers. The petitioner has, therefore, no force in his claim. I, therefore, for the reasons recorded decide this issue accordingly in favour of the respondent management and against the petitioner.

Relief:

5. In view of my findings on issues and the reasons recorded, I pass an award accordingly in favour of the respondent management and against the petitioner holding that the petitioner is not entitled to any relief at this stage. Reference is answered accordingly in negative. Let a copy of this award be sent to the Government of Himachal Pradesh, Shimla for its due publication in the Official Gazette i.e. Himachal Pradesh Rajpatra in accordance with law. A copy of this award be also given to the parties free of costs, if applied for by them. The parties are, however, left to bear their own costs of these proceedings. The file after its due completion, be consigned to the record room.

Announced on this 3rd day of March, 1992 at Shimla.

Seal.

R. K. MAHAJAN,  
Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Himachal Pradesh,  
Shimla, 3-3-1992.

Before Shri R. K. Mahajan, Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Himachal Pradesh, Shimla

Ref-Case No.84/90-

Manohar Singh Thakur

...Petitioner.

*Versus*

M/s Baira Sieul Project,  
Surangani, District Chamba  
(H.P.).

...Respondents,

Shri Tilak Raj Bhardwaj, Authorised Representative of the petitioner.

Shri Vijay Kumar Gupta, Authorised Representative of the respondents.

#### AWARD

1. Shri Manohar Singh Thakur, petitioner was appointed as Work-charges Assistant by the respondent management on *ad-hoc* basis in the scale of Rs.260-350 on 7-9-1974. He claims that he was regularised on 11-12-1975. Requisition was sent to the Employment Exchange, Chamba for sponsoring the candidate for interview/Test of typing for the posts of Assistant Grade-III sometime in November or December, 1975 in the pay scale of Rs.260-430. Interview took place and the petitioner was appointed as Assistant Grade-III w.e.f. 12-1-1976 in the pay Scale of Rs. 260-430. Baira Sieul Project needed Assistants Grade-III i.e. clerks and it was thought proper by the respondent management to give a chance to the workers of the Baira Sieul Project, Surangani to compete for 20 posts of Assistants Grade-III i.e. Clerks in the Baira Sieul Project. Names of persons were sponsored by the Employment Exchange and pre-test was held by the management i.e. Chief Engineer on 14-9-1975, at Baira Sieul Project Surangani, and the petitioner was shown at Serial No. 14 of the panel list. The grievance of the petitioner is that he has been shown at S. No. 3 *vide* Ex.P.W.1/B which is a provisional seniority list circulated after the appointment of 20 persons. Chance was given to represent. This list was drawn up on 29-2-1980. It has been further alleged that the seniority list as again amended *vide* Ex.P.W.1/C which is a revised seniority list of Baira Sieul Project workers i.e. Assistants Grade-III (Clerks). In this list, the petitioner was shown at S. No. 11. The matter was then taken up through the union *vide* Ex.P.W. 1/D with the management for showing the petitioner wrongly in the seniority list. Meetings took place between the management and the workers' union. It is further alleged that on 25-3-1982, the management agreed to discuss the matter with Mr. Bhardwaj, representative of the petitioner and the union and it was decided that list issued on 17th March, 1980 will be considered as the base and upto serial No. 72 will remain as it was and after that serial number, the seniority would be fixed as per panel prepared as per Ex.P.W. 1/F(Annexure P.5). The petitioner has challenged the seniority list published on 30-12-1987 (Annexure P.1) which has been approved by the management on 30-5-1989 *vide* annexure P. 12 with the reply of the management that the seniority list redrawn on 30-12-87 1987 is in order as per merit/panel drawn up for the purpose. The petitioner now seeks quashing of the decision dated 30-5-1989, annexure P. 1, based on the seniority list of 17/18th March, 1980 downgrading the petitioner. He further wants that he be placed at S. No. 3 above Shri Parveen Kumar Assistant Grade-III on 29-2-1980 in the seniority list of Assistants Grade-III and subsequently at S. No. 16 i.e. the seniority list of Assistants Grade-III on 31-12-1983 and further at S. No. 8 in the seniority list of Assistant Grade-I on 20-12-1987 above Shri Jai Singh Thakur, Assistant Grade-I and below Shri P. C. Thakur, Assistant Grade-I of the respondent project. It is further alleged that he has been victimized on account of trade union activities and on these activities, the seniority list has been disturbed. It is further alleged that no chance was given to the petitioner to represent against the provisional seniority and previously the seniority list was drawn up on the basis of date of birth/date of joining of the individuals as final list

was not available or traceable and that was a correct decision. The matter was taken up with the Conciliation Officer and on failure of the conciliation proceedings, the following matter has been referred to this court for adjudication by the Government of Himachal Pradesh:-

"Whether the claim of Shri Manohar Singh Thakur, Assistant Grade-I that he has not been given the appropriate place in Asstt. Grade-III is legal and maintainable ? If so, to what relief and amount of compensation, Shri Manohar Singh Thakur is entitled ?".

2. The case of the respondent management is that it is an individual dispute and it is not covered under section 2 (a) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Hereinafter shortly referred to as the 'Act') and as such is bad in the eyes of law. It was also necessary to circulate the list after taking over of the control of the respondent project by the National Hydroelectric and Power Corporation of India from the Ministry of Energy, Government of India on 20-1-1978. There were representations from the workers regarding the incorrect preparation of the provisional seniority list and ultimately the seniority list was drawn up according to the panel drawn up after the interview/test. The seniority list or panel drawn up was prepared when it was traced out from the record. It has been informed during the course of arguments by the respondent management that a clerk, who was incharge of the record prepared by the selection committee, happened to go to Nepal sometime after the preparation of seniority list or merit list as he had the knowledge about the panel. Later on, a provisional list was prepared and on this selection made by the committee and the panel drawn to redress the grievances of the workers. This is also apparent from the statement of Shri Anil Gaikwad, Personnel Officer of the management. The management circulated the panel prepared on merit and invited the objections, if any. It is also alleged that the petitioner wanted to supersede many senior persons to him who have been shown junior in the seniority list of 1980 but otherwise they are senior on the basis of the panel drawn up by the selection committee. The case of the petitioner has been thus described as baseless by the respondent management. From the pleadings of the parties, the following issues were framed by me on 7-11-1990 :-

1. Whether seniority of Shri Manohar Singh Thakur has been changed while as Assistant Grade-III prejudice to his rights in the matter of further promotion ? (OPP).

2. Relief.

#### FINDINGS:

3. Mr. Bhardwaj submits that seniority list based on the date of joining or date of birth is final and could not be changed. He further submits that the petitioner has been victimised on account of his trade union activities as he was a leader of the union. He further submits that the new seniority list on the basis of the panel drawn up by the selection committee cannot be prepared once a seniority list has been finally accepted before the locating of the misplaced panel. The plea of the respondent management is that a provisional seniority list was prepared and circulated and again on the pressure of the union seniority list was again circulated via telex, dated 21-3-1983, placed on record and it is not wrong to rectify the mistake committed in the seniority list of 1980. I am of the view that seniority list drawn up on the basis of a panel prepared by the selection committee on the basis of typing test/interview in which the petitioner has been shown at S. No. 14 is proper and correct. This list has been prepared for considering the candidates for their regular absorption in the Bajra Sieal Project in a regular cadre. It is basic rule that seniority is to be counted from the position of panel drawn up by a selection committee on merits and not either from the date of joining or the date of birth as claimed by the petitioner. If the plea of the petitioner is accepted, then what was the fun of holding a test and preparing a panel on merits. I also accept the plea as well as explanation given by the respondent that panel

was traced later on as the concerned clerk has gone to Nepal for sometime. I have myself seen the panel list and there are no chances of tinkering with it. Nobody is to suffer for the mistakes of officials or irreversibility of the record. It cannot be ruled out that sometime management in order to gain time in a private management and also in public enterprises, prepare provisional list just to favour the leaders and later on, they rectify when the mistake is discovered. I do not think that it is a case of victimisation. I do not also see any force in the claim of the petitioner that he has been superseded in the matter of seniority or promotion. I, therefore, for the reasons recorded decide this issue accordingly in favour of the respondent management and against the petitioner. Regarding the contention that it is an individual dispute and cannot be agitated in this court is also not tenable. The matter has been sponsored by the union and it relates to the terms and conditions of the employment of the petitioner. I, therefore, see no force in the submissions of the respondent management and decide this point accordingly in favour of the petitioner and against the respondent management.

#### Relief:

4. In view of my findings on issues and the reasons recorded, I pass an award accordingly in favour of the respondent management and against the petitioner. The petitioner is not entitled to any relief at this stage. Reference is answered accordingly in negative. Award is passed. Let a copy of this award be sent to the Government of Himachal Pradesh, Shimla for its due publication in the Official Gazette i.e. Himachal Pradesh Rajpatra in accordance with law. A copy be also given to the parties free of costs, if applied for by them. The parties are, however, left to bear their own costs of these proceedings. The file after its due completion, be consigned to the record room.

Announced on this 6th day of March, 1992, at Shimla.

Seal.

R. K. MAHAJAN,  
Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Himachal Pradesh,  
Shimla, 6-3-1992.

निर्माण विभाग

प्रधानमंत्री

लिम्ना-171002, 12 मई, 1992

मस्ता नं० १० नि० (म) ७ (१) २६२/१।—देश हिमाचल प्रदेश के राज्यपाल को यह प्रतीक होता है कि हिमाचल प्रदेश सरकार का सरकारी व्यवस्था दर भवित्वानि प्रबोधन नामनि गात गावनी, नहरीन गंडह, जिला शिमला में अदान-वाणना सहकर के निर्माण हेतु भूमि नी जानी ग्रामान्शत है, प्रत्याप गान्डूराग वह प्राप्ति दिया जाता है कि नीचे विवरण में दिए गए उपर्युक्त प्रयोगन के लिए अधिकृत है।

2. यह प्राप्ति, भूमि अधिनि अधिकृतम् 1894 की धारा 6 के उपर्याके अधीन इसमें अधिकृत नामी दर्तायारी का नवना तैयारी की जानी है या उक्त अधिकृतम की धारा 7 के उपर्याके अधीन भूमि अधिनि भूमाहर्ता (1), नामी निर्माण विभाग, लिम्ना-171002 को उक्त भूमि के अधिनि करने के आदेश देने वा गान्डूराग निर्माण दिया जाता है।

3. भूमि का नामक भू-दर्तायारी गमाहर्ता (1), नामी निर्माण विभाग, लिम्ना-171002 के कार्यालय में निर्गमित किया जा सकता है।

विवरणी

| निवास : लिम्ना | नहरीन : गंडह |
|----------------|--------------|
| मात्र          | लिम्ना २०    |
| भावनी          | ३२९ ० ०३ २८  |
| किनी           | १ ० ०३ २८    |

प्राप्ति दर्ता।

लिम्ना विभाग।

प्राप्ति दर्ता।

## इक्षतहार

ब अदालत श्री मनोज कुमार, समाहर्ता, उप-मण्डल धुमारवी, जिला बिलासपुर, हिमाचल प्रदेश

मिसल नम्बर तारीख रजूप्रा तारीख पेशी राम  
52/2 OF 91. 17-5-91. 25-5-92. नवयार।

भ्रहो देवी बेवा सीता राम, याम नवयार, परगना बच्छरेट, तहसील पुमारवी, जिला बिलासपुर, हिमाचल प्रदेश।

## बनाम

1. बाबू राम पुत्र गजन राम, याम नवयार, परगना बच्छरेट, तहसील धुमारवी, 2. भागीरथ पुत्र बजीरा, याम नवयार, परगना बच्छरेट, तहसील धुमारवी, 3. प्रेम चन्द पुत्र सीता राम, याम नवयार, परगना बच्छरेट, तहसील धुमारवी, 4. रणजीत कुमार पुत्र सीता राम, याम नवयार, परगना बच्छरेट, तहसील धुमारवी, 5. श्रीतम चन्द पुत्र सीता राम, याम नवयार, परगना बच्छरेट, तहसील धुमारवी, 6. रामेश्वर चन्द पुत्र सीता राम, याम नवयार, परगना बच्छरेट, तहसील धुमारवी, 7. राम प्रकाश पुत्र सीता राम, याम नवयार, परगना बच्छरेट, तहसील धुमारवी, 8. कमला देवी पुत्री सीता राम, याम नवयार, परगना बच्छरेट, तहसील धुमारवी, 9. प्रोमिला देवी पुत्री सीता राम, याम नवयार, परगना बच्छरेट, तहसील धुमारवी, 10. ठैं राम पुत्र लक्ष्मण, याम नवयार, परगना बच्छरेट, तहसील धुमारवी, 11. भन्त राम पुत्र लक्ष्मण, याम नवयार, परगना बच्छरेट, तहसील धुमारवी, 12. धुकुर राम पुत्र लक्ष्मण, याम नवयार, परगना बच्छरेट तहसील धुमारवी, 13. गहन लाल, 14. प्रकाश चन्द पुत्रान प्रन्त राम, याम नवयार, परगना बच्छरेट, तहसील धुमारवी, जिला बिलासपुर, हिमाचल प्रदेश।

## अपील तकसीम

हरगाह उपरोक्त उनवान अपील तकसीम में प्रत्यार्थीगण नम्बर 2 ता 9 व 14 के नाम पर कई बार समन/नोटिस जारी किए गए, मगर उनकी तामील होने नहीं पाई जा रही है। अदालत को यकीन हो चुका है कि तामील उपरोक्त प्रत्यार्थीगण नम्बर 2 ता 9 व 14 की तामील साधारण तरीका से नहीं हो सकती। अतः उपरोक्त प्रत्यार्थीगण नम्बर 2 ता 9 व 14 को बजरिया इक्षतहार राजपत्र, हिमाचल प्रदेश सूचित किया जाता है कि मगर आपको उपरोक्त अपील तकसीम में किसी किसम का कोई उजर/एतराज हा तो तो प्राप्त इस अदालत में भलालतन व बकालतन दिनाक 25-5-1992 को या इससे पूर्व मुबह 10 बजे हाजिर होकर पेश कर सकते ह। तारीख पेशी गजर जान के बाद आपको कोई मुनवाई नहीं होगी। अन्यथा गैर-हाजिरी की सूत में यक तरका कार्यवाही अमल में लाई जावेगी।

आज दिनाक 28-4-1992 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी किया गया।

मोहर।

मनोज कुमार,

समाहर्ता,

उप-मण्डल धुमारवी,  
जिला बिलासपुर, (हि० प्र०)।

ब अदालत जनाव सीता राम चौधरी, रहायक समाहर्ता प्रथम श्रणी, ज्वाली, जिला काशगड़ा, हिमाचल प्रदेश।

मिसल नम्बर तारीख दायरा तारीख पेशी किसम मुकद्दमा 18/90. 16-1-1990. 21-5-1992. तकसीम भूम।

श्री रामो उके राये सिंह बनाम श्री छुणूर राम आद।

2. बाबू राम सुपुत्र दलम्बू, 5. संध्या देवी, 6. विद्या देवी, 7. विमला देवी सुपुत्रियां छुणूर, 8. छुणूर राम सुपुत्र होंसारी, 9. होंसारी देवी विधवा मुन्नी राम, 10. उत्तम चन्द सुपुत्र, 11. किशनी देवी सुपुत्री मुन्नी, 12. बसन्ता सुपुत्र खजाना, 14. धर्म सिंह, 15. जे देवी विधवा वकील सिंह, 20. नेक राज सुपुत्र टेक चन्द, साकान टीका हाड़, मोजा फतेहपुर, तहसील ज्वाली, जिला काशगड़ा, हिमाचल प्रदेश।

दरखवास्त बराये तकसीम आराजी खाता नम्बर 124, खत्तनी नम्बर-रान 397 ता 402, खसरा नम्बर-रान किता 46, रकवा तादादी 2-90-30 हैमटेयर, बाज्या टीका हाड़, मोजा फतेहपुर, तहसील ज्वाली, जिला काशगड़ा, हिमाचल प्रदेश।

उपरोक्त उनवान मुकद्दमा में प्रतिवादीगण को कई बार समन द्वारा तनब फिया गया मगर नौकरी पेशा होने के कारण उनकी तामील साधारण ढग से न हो रही है। अतः इस अदालत को यह विश्वास हो चुका है कि बजरिया समन प्रतिवादीगण की तामील होनी असम्भव है। इसलिए उन्हें इस इक्षतहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि वे दिनांक 21-5-1992 को प्रातः 10.00 बजे अदालतन या बकालतन हाजिर आकर पैरवी मुकद्दमा करें अन्यथा उनके खिलाफ न आने की सूत में एक तरफा कार्यवाही अमल में लाई जावेगी।

आज दिनाक 29-4-1992 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी होगा।

मोहर।

सीता राम चौधरी,  
रहायक समाहर्ता प्रथम श्रणी,  
ज्वाली, जिला काशगड़ा।